

STUDY

Requested by CONT Committee



The Largest 50 Beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

PRE-RELEASE

Policy Department for Budgetary Affairs

Authors: Willem Pieter DE GROEN, Jorge NUNEZ, Daina BELICKA, Roberto
MUSMECI, Damir GOJSIC and Silvia TADI
Directorate-General for Internal Policies
PE 679.107 – January 2021

EN

The Largest 50 Beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

PRE-RELEASE

Abstract

This report provides the preliminary findings of the study on "The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds" prepared at the request of the CONT committee.

It provides the results of an assessment of almost 300 systems for the public disclosure of the beneficiaries of the common agricultural policy (CAP) and cohesion policy. Moreover, it provides the preliminary results for the analysis of about 10 million beneficiaries of the CAP in 2018 and 2019 and more than 500 000 projects receiving cohesion funds between 2014 and 2020. Finally, it assesses the barriers to more data transparency and the possibilities to enhance the transparency.

NOTE: This is a pre-release version of the study. Changes may occur based on the final results of the research. For internal use only.

This document was requested by the European Parliament's Committee on Budgetary Control. It designated Ms Monika Hohlmeier to follow the study.

AUTHORS

Willem Pieter DE GROEN, CEPS

Jorge NUNEZ, CEPS

Daina BELICKA, CSE COE

Roberto MUSMECI, CEPS

Damir GOJSIC, CEPS

Silvia TADI, CEPS

The authors would like to thank Daniele Genta, Babak Hakimi and Xinyi Li for their valuable contributions to this report.

ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSIBLE

Kaare BARSLEV

András SCHWARCZ

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Lyna PÄRT

LINGUISTIC VERSIONS

Original: EN

ABOUT THE EDITOR

Policy departments provide in-house and external expertise to support EP committees and other parliamentary bodies in shaping legislation and exercising democratic scrutiny over EU internal policies.

To contact the Policy Department or to subscribe for updates, please write to:

Policy Department for Budgetary Affairs

European Parliament

B-1047 Brussels

Email: <mailto:Poldep-Budg@ep.europa.eu>

Manuscript completed in January 2021

© European Union, 2021

DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

The opinions expressed in this document are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the European Parliament.

Reproduction and translation for non-commercial purposes are authorised, provided the source is acknowledged and the European Parliament is given prior notice and sent a copy.

CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	7
LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	8
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	13
Background	13
Objective	13
Methodology	13
Reporting systems	14
Transparency	14
Policy recommendations	15
1. INTRODUCTION	17
1.1. Background	17
1.2. Main objectives and scope	18
1.3. Reading guide	18
2. METHODOLOGY	19
2.1. Assessment of existing reporting systems	19
2.1.1. Step 1. Identifying reporting systems with beneficiary information	20
2.1.2. Step 2. Assessment of the reporting systems	20
2.2. Identification of beneficiaries	20
2.2.1. Step 3. Scraping beneficiary information from national websites	20
2.2.2. Step 4. Data Consolidation	21
2.2.3. Step 5. Determining types of beneficiaries	21
2.2.4. Step 6. Matching top 200 recipients with company database	22
2.2.5. Step 7. Identifying ultimate beneficiary' holdings	22
2.2.6. Step 8. Preparation of top 50 beneficiaries per Member State	22
2.3. Identifying legal barriers	23
2.4. Stakeholder interviews	24
2.5. Limitations	24
3. REPORTING SYSTEMS	27
3.1. Reporting systems	27
3.2. Presentation	29
3.2.1. Format	29

3.2.2. Languages	29
3.2.3. Presentation of the EU	33
3.3. Disclosed information	33
3.4. Ultimate beneficiaries	35
3.5. Data accessibility	37
3.5.1. Download options	37
3.5.2. Sorting and searching possibilities	38
3.5.3. User manual and contact	40
3.6. Data protection information	41
3.7. Other information	41
3.8. Compliance with legal requirements	43
4. TRANSPARENCY	45
4.1. Barriers to more data transparency	46
4.1.1. Legal requirements on data disclosure	46
4.1.2. Legal barriers to obtaining and disclosing data	48
4.1.3. Technical aspects of data disclosure	50
4.1.4. Technical barriers to obtaining and disclosing information	51
4.1.5. Overview of data availability and disclosure for CAP and Cohesion funds	52
4.2. Possibilities to enhance transparency	53
4.2.1. Common EU funds financed project database	54
4.2.2. Project implementers, owners and beneficial owners of the companies	55
5. CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS	59
5.1. Conclusions	59
5.2. Policy recommendations	60
REFERENCES	63
ANNEX 1. SCORE CARD	65
ANNEX 2. INTERVIEW GUIDE	71
Introduction	71
About interviewer	71
About interviewee	71
Identifying elements for identification of best practice(s)	72
Existing legal barriers	73
Changes to transparency rules	74
ANNEX 3. LEGAL FRAMEWORK	75
ANNEX 4. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS DIRECT MANAGEMENT	79

ANNEX 5. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS SHARED MANAGEMENT EU FUNDS PROGRAMMING PERIOD 2014-2020	81
ANNEX 6. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS SHARED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMING PERIOD 2021-2027	87
ANNEX 7. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES CAP 2018	91
ANNEX 8. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES CAP 2019	145
ANNEX 9. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES COHESION POLICY 2014-2020	199

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AML	Anti-Money Laundering
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy
CAPTCHA	Completely Automated Public Turing test to tell Computers and Humans Apart
CF	Cohesion Fund
CPR	Common Provisions Regulation
CSV	Comma Separated Values
DG	Directorate-General
DTA	Stata Data Format
EAFRD	European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development
EAGF	European Agricultural Guarantee Fund
ECA	European Court of Auditors
EMFF	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund
ENISA	European Union Agency for Cybersecurity
ERDF	European Regional Development Fund
ESF	European Social Fund
ESF+	European Social Fund Plus
ESIF	European Structural and Investment Funds
ETC	European Territorial Cooperation
EU	European Union
FTS	Financial Transparency System
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
HTML	Hyper Text Markup Language
LEFs	Legal Entity Forms
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NUTS	Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics
OPs	Operational Programs
PBLEF	Private Law Body Legal Entity Form
PDF	Portable Document Format
TC	Territorial Cooperation
TED	Tenders Electronic Daily
TXT	Text
VAT	Value Added Tax
XML	Extensible Markup Language

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Methodology for the study	19
Figure 4.1 Beneficiaries of EU funds	45

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Number of reporting systems	27
Table 3.2 Number of reporting systems by country	28
Table 3.3 Format of reporting system (% of reporting systems)	29
Table 3.4 Number of EU languages in the reporting systems (% of reporting systems)	31
Table 3.5 EU languages CAP reporting systems	31
Table 3.6 EU languages in Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs) reporting systems	32
Table 3.7 Additional EU languages in Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs) databases	32
Table 3.8 Indication of operations (Cohesion Policy) (% of reporting systems)	32
Table 3.9 Visibility of EU funding (% of reporting systems)	33
Table 3.10 Information about beneficiaries (% of reporting systems)	34
Table 3.11 Indication of operations (Cohesion Policy) (% of reporting systems)	35
Table 3.12 Indication of company identification numbers (% of reporting systems)	37
Table 3.13 Number of download formats offered (% of reporting systems)	37
Table 3.14 Download formats offered (% of reporting systems offering download options)	38
Table 3.15 Number of sorting possibilities offered (% of reporting systems)	38
Table 3.16 Sorting offered (% of reporting systems offering sorting)	39
Table 3.17 Number of searching possibilities offered (% of reporting systems)	39
Table 3.18 Searching possibilities offered (% of reporting systems offering searching)	40
Table 3.19 Tools to ease the use of the reporting system (% of reporting systems)	40
Table 3.20 Contact information provided (% of reporting systems)	41
Table 3.21 Data protection/privacy information (% of reporting systems)	41
Table 3.22 Additional policies besides CAP/Cohesion Policy covered (% of reporting systems)	43
Table 3.23 Other indicators (% of reporting systems)	43
Table 3.24 Types of other indicators (% of reporting systems with other indicators)	43
Table 4.1 Summary of data availability and disclosure	53
Table 4.2 Recommendations for creation of common EU funds financing database	54
Table 4.3 Recommendations for transparency improvement	55
Table A7.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Austria	91

Table A7.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Belgium	93
Table A7.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Bulgaria	95
Table A7.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Cyprus	97
Table A7.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Czech Republic	99
Table A7.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Germany	101
Table A7.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Denmark	103
Table A7.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Estonia	104
Table A7.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Spain	106
Table A7.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Finland	108
Table A7.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – France	109
Table A7.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Greece	111
Table A7.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Croatia	114
Table A7.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Hungary	116
Table A7.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Ireland	118
Table A7.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Italy	119
Table A7.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Lithuania	122
Table A7.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Luxembourg	124
Table A7.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Latvia	125
Table A7.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Malta	127
Table A7.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Netherlands	129
Table A7.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Poland	131
Table A7.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Portugal	133
Table A7.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Romania	135
Table A7.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Sweden	135
Table A7.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Slovenia	137
Table A7.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Slovakia	139
Table A7.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – United Kingdom	140
Table A7.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Total	142
Table A8.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Austria	145
Table A8.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Belgium	147
Table A8.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Bulgaria	149
Table A8.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Cyprus	151
Table A8.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Czech Republic	153
Table A8.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Germany	155

Table A8.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Denmark	157
Table A8.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Estonia	158
Table A8.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Spain	160
Table A8.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Finland	162
Table A8.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – France	163
Table A8.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Greece	165
Table A8.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Croatia	168
Table A8.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Hungary	170
Table A8.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Ireland	172
Table A8.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Italy	174
Table A8.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Lithuania	176
Table A8.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Luxembourg	178
Table A8.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Latvia	179
Table A8.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Malta	181
Table A8.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Netherlands	183
Table A8.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Poland	185
Table A8.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Portugal	187
Table A8.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Romania	189
Table A8.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Sweden	189
Table A8.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Slovenia	191
Table A8.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Slovakia	192
Table A8.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – United Kingdom	194
Table A8.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Total	196
Table A9.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Austria	199
Table A9.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Belgium	201
Table A9.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Bulgaria	203
Table A9.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Cyprus	205
Table A9.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Czech Republic	207
Table A9.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Germany	209
Table A9.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Denmark	211
Table A9.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Estonia	213
Table A9.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Spain	214
Table A9.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Finland	216
Table A9.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – France	218

Table A9.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Greece	220
Table A9.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Croatia	222
Table A9.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Hungary	224
Table A9.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Ireland	227
Table A9.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Italy	228
Table A9.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Lithuania	230
Table A9.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Luxembourg	232
Table A9.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Latvia	234
Table A9.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Malta	236
Table A9.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Netherlands	238
Table A9.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Poland	240
Table A9.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Portugal	242
Table A9.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Romania	244
Table A9.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Sweden	246
Table A9.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Slovenia	247
Table A9.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Slovakia	249
Table A9.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – United Kingdom	251
Table A9.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Total	253

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) and the Cohesion Policy are the two largest spending areas. In 2020 they were collectively responsible for more than two-thirds of the EU budget. The monitoring systems and procedures for implementation according to the applicable legal provisions have improved significantly in recent years. Despite these efforts, the error rate (2.7% in 2019) in the disbursement of the EU funds remains above the materiality threshold.

Public and private reporting requirements are essential to allow for public and private accountability and scrutiny to detect errors. Data on the beneficiaries of the CAP and the Cohesion Policy are currently fragmented in regional, national and inter-regional reporting systems, which disclose basic information on the direct beneficiaries (i.e. company, public entity or natural person receiving payment). This makes it more difficult to get a comprehensive overview of the ultimate beneficiaries (i.e. ultimate beneficial owner of the direct beneficiary) and the amounts of EU funds received.

Objective

The objective of this study is to address the existing difficulties in identifying direct and ultimate beneficiaries. First, it aims to assess the reporting systems set up by the Member States to disclose the direct beneficiaries. Second, it attempts to identify the direct and the largest ultimate beneficiaries of CAP and Cohesion Policy based on the existing reporting systems. Third, it identifies data protection and other regulations that might prevent that data concerning payments to companies and natural persons from being made public, as well as suggests possibilities to enhance transparency.

Methodology

The methodology to achieve these objectives consists of three pillars. For the first pillar all the reporting systems related to the implementation of the CAP and the Cohesion policy are identified based on the information provided by the European Commission. Subsequently, these reporting systems are assessed based on specific criteria concerning the presentation, disclosure, accessibility, data protection and other information. This allows to assess the legal compliance as well as additional features and information provided.

The second pillar consists of the identification of ultimate beneficiaries of the CAP and Cohesion Policy. The information on the direct beneficiaries is obtained from national reporting systems and consolidated in a single database. The largest beneficiaries are identified and classified by types (natural person, company, public body, etc.). The largest direct beneficiaries are matched against a company database to identify the ultimate beneficiaries of corporate direct beneficiaries. Subsequently all the companies owned by ultimate beneficiaries are identified and matched to the direct beneficiaries. Finally, the amounts of EU funds are aggregated by ultimate owner to determine the 50 largest beneficiaries by Member State for both the CAP and Cohesion policy. The work to identify the largest ultimate beneficiaries is ongoing at the time of publication of the pre-release. The lists of beneficiaries in this report show the direct beneficiaries.

The third pillar consists of the identification of legal and technical barriers preventing the publication of information related to the beneficiaries of EU funds in a common EU reporting system. To this end, legal frameworks governing data disclosure and data protection have been the subject to a regulatory framework analysis, complemented with stakeholder interviews.

Reporting systems

There exist in total 292 reporting systems covering the implementation of the CAP and the Cohesion Policy in EU Member States and the UK. All Member States have only one system each disclosing the CAP beneficiaries, while they tend to have several ones on the implementation of the Operational Programs (OPs) under the EU Cohesion Policy. The largest number of reporting systems have been found in countries with a regional or federal structure, such as Germany (30), Italy (30), and France (25). However, in most instances these countries also offer one consolidated reporting system. There are in total six countries offering no consolidated reporting system.

Regarding the information allowing the identification of beneficiaries, almost all CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems display the full legal name of direct beneficiaries with legal personality (e.g. companies). The CAP portal also provides the names and surnames of natural persons receiving EU financial support. This information is, however, concealed by most of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems. Similarly, information related to the location of the direct beneficiaries are more often available in the CAP reporting systems than in the Cohesion Policy systems.

None of the CAP and Cohesion policy reporting systems contains information on the ultimate beneficiaries. Disclosing this information is also not legally required. About half of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems disclose the national identification number of the beneficiary, which constitute an important indicator to determine the ultimate beneficiary. This information is available in only a minority of the CAP reporting systems. Moreover, only in exceptional cases do the reporting systems signal or indicate the natural persons or other types of beneficiaries that are ultimate beneficiaries.

In almost all the Cohesion Policy reporting systems, users can download the lists of beneficiaries. In turn, this functionality is available for about half of the CAP reporting systems. In general, reporting systems offer a very limited set of functionalities to navigate the information on direct beneficiaries. In many systems, users cannot sort or search for specific information. Finally, the majority of the systems are available only in the official national language(s). The use of other languages is mostly confined to reporting systems covering Inter-regional OPs under Cohesion Policy.

Transparency

The main legal barriers for obtaining and disclosing information on direct and ultimate beneficiaries relate to the disclosed indicators, period and amount. More specifically, the CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems are currently not required to disclose the registration number of the direct beneficiaries. Alternative possibilities to identify unique beneficiaries such as a combination of name, country of residence, nationality and birth information required under the Anti-Money Laundering (AML) legislation are not required either. There are different time frames for the public disclosure of information. The CAP reporting systems are required to remove the beneficiary information two years after the financial year of funding, while the AML requires information to be stored for 5 years. Moreover, unlike for the disclosure of CAP beneficiaries, the regulatory proposal for EU funds programming period 2021-2027 governing the Cohesion Policy systems does not set any limit for the amount under which information does not need to be disclosed.

The technical barriers for obtaining and disclosing information on direct beneficiaries and ultimate beneficiaries relate to the data stored in the systems (whether they are machine readable, accessible, findable and reusable), interoperability of systems, data formats, data exchange and storage platforms, ways how the access to the data is granted and managed and the security requirements. Importantly, not all information on beneficial owners of the companies is available in the national central registers of all Member States. If the information on beneficial owner is available in a national central register, not in all Member States the information is made available to the general public.

A common EU level database on all EU funded projects is under development and only a pilot project has been initiated, so far. However, the information is not publicly disclosed.

Policy recommendations

The policy recommendation are aimed to overcome the current fragmentation in the publicly disclosed CAP and Cohesion Policy beneficiary information as well as the inability to accurately determine the total funds received by ultimate beneficiaries.

A common database at EU level would cover all projects financed by CF, ESF, EAFRD, EAGF, EMFF and ERDF. A common EU database of EU funds will rely on many regional, national, inter-regional and EU organisations to provide the data. In order to create a uniform database with all beneficiaries, the disclosure requirements need to be harmonised and the data provided in the same format. This needs to be open, machine readable, accessible, findable, and re-usable, fulfilling the Open data requirements.

A common database at EU level with information on beneficiaries would not necessarily need to cover ultimate beneficiary information, though it would need to have the indicators to identify those ultimate beneficiaries. This means that it would need at least an indication of the type of beneficiary (public authority, NGO, foundation, etc.). The indicators would further have to be defined in a way that they allow to identify the ultimate beneficial owners in the databases created under the AML legislation (company registration number, VAT registration number, etc.).

Additionally, there is a need to define obligations concerning the minimum disclosure amounts for Cohesion Policy. The disclosure period should be extended to at least 5 years for the purpose of audit, control, and transparency. Finally, the beneficiaries should be informed at the time of their application for funding about the use of project, beneficiary and beneficial owner data for control and transparency purposes.

1. INTRODUCTION

KEY FINDINGS

Adequate control and transparency on the spending is essential to receive the public support for public spending, whether the funds are under central management or shared with Member States.

The absence of a single database with information of the ultimate beneficiaries of the EU funds makes it more difficult to get a complete overview of the funds received by natural persons.

This study aims to collect the information on the ultimate beneficiaries from the CAP and cohesion funds in each Member State. Additionally, the study assesses the reporting systems and the barriers and possibilities to enhance the transparency on beneficiaries of EU funds.

1.1. Background

The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) and Cohesion Policy represent the two largest spending areas in the EU budget. In 2020, more than two-thirds of the commitments and payments were for economic, social and territorial cohesion policies and the CAP.

Adequate control and transparency on the spending is essential to receive the public support for this spending, whether the funds are under central management or the management is shared with Member States. In the past few years, the EU has made important progress in ensuring that the funds are spent in line with the applicable legislation and that the number of identified errors ("error rates") falls. Nevertheless, the error rate after adjusting for corrected errors ("material error") was still 2.7% in 2019¹, above the maximum 2.0%, that the European Court of Auditors (ECA) considers acceptable to fully approve the expenditures².

Following the Financial Regulation (EU) No 2018/1046, the European Commission has set up a database with information of the recipients of funds managed centrally or under indirect management for third countries. For the funds under shared management the information on the recipients is held by the Member States, this includes the direct payments under the CAP. Data on the beneficiaries of these funds is published on the websites of the respective managing authorities, for which the European Commission provides a country by country list with links (see section 0).³

The absence of a single database with beneficiaries of all EU funds makes it more difficult to get a complete overview. Moreover, the reporting systems currently only cover direct beneficiaries (the legal or natural person receiving the payment), which are not necessarily the end or ultimate beneficiaries (the ones who benefit from the money). For example, if a natural persons, company or public body owns various companies that receive funds across countries, the companies receiving the funds appear in the reporting systems of the respective countries.

¹ Ineligible costs and infringements of public procurement rules formed the main types of errors.

² https://www.eca.europa.eu/Lists/ECADocuments/annualreports-2019/annualreports-2019_EN.pdf

³ https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/key-policies/common-agricultural-policy/financing-cap/financial-assurance/beneficiaries_en; https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/atlas/beneficiaries/

Indeed, there are two complicating factors in obtaining a full understanding of the ultimate beneficiaries of EU funds. First, the information on the recipients of the funds is not publicly available in a single database. Apart from creating a difficulty to find the beneficiaries, the split-up also reduces the ability to aggregate the information for beneficiaries receiving funds from multiple sources and/or in multiple Member States. Second, the funds are received by natural persons, public bodies and companies (limited liability companies, partnerships, sole traders, etc.). The companies receiving the funds can be connected through shareholder relations. The identification of the ultimate beneficiaries would allow naming the natural persons and companies that receive funds through various companies.

1.2. Main objectives and scope

Against this background, we can summarise the main objectives of the study as follows:

- Objective 1: Identify the ultimate beneficiaries of CAP and cohesion funds. This should identify the beneficiaries within and across Member States, by funds and by legal status.
- Objective 2: Assess the reporting systems that have been set up at EU and Member State level to identify recipients and end beneficiaries of funds (e.g. possibilities and/or difficulties to identify owners of companies, identifying best practices).
- Objective 3: Identify data protection regulations and other regulations that might prevent that data concerning payments to companies and natural persons are made public and/or are given to authorities responsible for ensuring the sound management of EU funds, including the European Commission and the European Parliament as discharge authority.

The current study aims to address these objectives by seeking to collect the information on the ultimate beneficiaries from the CAP and cohesion funds in each Member State. Additionally, the study assesses the reporting systems and the barriers and possibilities to enhance the transparency on beneficiaries of EU funds.

1.3. Reading guide

The remainder of this study first provides in **chapter 2** an **overview of the methodologies** used to gather and analyse the information collected for this study. This includes descriptions of the compilation of two databases with beneficiaries and reporting systems respectively. Moreover, provides results of the interviews held with various stakeholders to identify best practises among reporting systems as well as possibilities to enhance the transparency. Additionally, the main limitations of the methodology are discussed.

Chapter 3 gives an overview of the reporting systems currently used for both CAP and cohesion funds beneficiaries. Moreover, the chapter also identified best practices among these reporting systems.

Chapter 4 delves into the legal aspects related to the transparency of the beneficiary information. The legal barriers to the disclosure of ultimate beneficiaries are identified and the potential alternatives are assessed.

Finally, **chapter 5** draws **conclusions** regarding the beneficiaries, reporting systems and transparency about beneficiaries of CAP and cohesion funds. Moreover, it provides policy recommendations to enhance the transparency.

2. METHODOLOGY

KEY FINDINGS

Identifying the largest ultimate beneficiaries of CAP and cohesion funds is a complicated process, requiring several steps; including identifying and harvesting the reporting systems, consolidation of the obtained data, matching the receivers with the available company database, identification of the ultimate beneficiaries holdings and preparation of the list with the top 50 beneficiaries.


Due to the lack of unique identifiers and inability to access ultimate ownership databases, it is currently impossible for non-public authorities to determine the ultimate beneficiaries with complete certainty.

The legal barriers and possible policy solutions are assessed based on a combination of desk research and interviews.

2.1. Assessment of existing reporting systems

This section describes and assesses the existing systems to report information on the beneficiaries of the CAP and Structural Funds. It covers both the rules and technical aspects of the reporting systems to disclose the information on the recipients of the funds. In this sense, it also forms the base for the identification of the ultimate beneficiaries (see Figure 2.1).

Figure 2.1 Methodology for the study



Source: Authors' elaboration.

2.1.1. Step 1. Identifying reporting systems with beneficiary information

In the first step, all the reporting systems were identified. The webpages of the European Commission with links to the national and cross-national websites with information on the beneficiaries of the CAP⁴ and the cohesion policy⁵ were used to identify all websites with information on the beneficiaries.

The beneficiaries of the CAP are all included in one reporting system for each Member State. There were in total 28 reporting systems assessed for 2018 and 2019. Even though the UK is no longer a member of the European Union, it is also included in order to cover the entire budget for the years analysed. The information on the beneficiaries of the Cohesion Policy instruments dispersed across in approximately 250 reporting systems. There are between 1 and 31 reporting systems in place for each Member State covering the beneficiaries in these countries.

Important to note, that the European Commission's official list of reporting systems for the Cohesion Policy was incomplete, missing approximately 31 reporting systems (about 10% of the total number of databases for Cohesion Policy). Most of these missing reporting systems could actually be identified with the support from the European Commission. Ultimately, there were six platforms for which the information had to be obtained from the responsible authorities and organisations after one or more requests from the research team.

2.1.2. Step 2. Assessment of the reporting systems

Under the second step, the reporting systems identified under the first step were assessed. The assessment was based on the presentation and accessibility of the information required to be published according to the transparency rules as well as additional information disclosed beyond the legal requirement. More specifically, each reporting system was assessed using the same criteria included in a scorecard (see Annex 1. Score card).

The scorecard is based on the legal requirements, assessment of a small number of national reporting systems and the research team's extensive expertise. The legal requirements consider both Chapter IV of Title VII of the CAP Regulation⁶ on Transparency and Chapter VI of the CAP Implementing Act on Transparency⁷. Based on the sample of national reporting systems, several additional indicators (other information, contact possibility, etc.) were included to assess the accessibility, user-friendliness and usefulness of the information. For example, unique identifiers are important to avoid double counting as well as linking the databases with other data sources.

The results of the assessment described above are presented in Chapter 3 on the reporting systems of both the CAP and Cohesion Funds.

2.2. Identification of beneficiaries

This section discusses the approach to identify the biggest beneficiaries of funds from the Common Agricultural Policy and the structural funds in each Member State.

2.2.1. Step 3. Scraping beneficiary information from national websites

Based on the Commission list identified in step 1, the national reporting systems with databases are identified. For each of the national databases, the lists with beneficiaries and additional related

⁴ https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/key-policies/common-agricultural-policy/financing-cap/financial-assurance/beneficiaries_en

⁵ https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/atlas/beneficiaries/

⁶ Articles 111 to 114 of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013.

⁷ Articles 57 to 62 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 908/2014.

information were retrieved to create consolidated databases for the CAP (2018 and 2019) and Cohesion Policies (one database covers the period from 2014 to 2020).

Not all reporting systems provide market capitalisation in a download-friendly format, and some limit the number of downloadable search results (e.g. 1 500 for the CAP beneficiaries in Germany), the display of search results (e.g. 10 to 100 beneficiaries per page) or search possibilities. For these websites web-scraping had to be used to obtain all information on the beneficiaries.

The CAP information was available only for years 2018 and 2019 when the exercise started (August 2020). Information for Cohesion Funds for most countries is available for a longer period (i.e. 2014 to 2020). This means that the amounts for CAP are covering a single year, whereas the figures for the Cohesion funds cover seven years.

2.2.2. Step 4. Data Consolidation

The possibility to determine the top 50 ultimate beneficiaries per Member State is limited by various factors. First, there are about 5 million beneficiaries of the CAP and around 500 000 beneficiaries of the Cohesion Funds each year, which makes it nearly impossible to determine for each of the beneficiaries the ultimate beneficiaries. Second, for a substantial number of beneficiaries it is difficult, if not impossible, to attribute the receipts from CAP and Cohesion funds to private individuals. This includes companies (e.g. cooperatives) with a dispersed ownership for which the shareholder names are not (publicly) available, non-limited liability companies such as partnerships of which the ownership information is not always available in public registers, but also public bodies and non-profit organisations without clear ownership. Third, a significant part of the beneficiaries is anonymised, specifically those receiving amounts up to EUR 1 250.

Considering these limitations, this exercise aimed to retrieve the top 50 ultimate beneficiaries per Member State as accurately as possible. For this, the focus was on the largest beneficiaries, which are most likely to be linked to the largest ultimate beneficiaries.

Therefore, the largest beneficiaries were identified by Member State. To determine the largest beneficiaries for each of the three consolidated databases (CAP 2018 and 2019 as well as Cohesion Policy 2014-2020) the aggregated amount of funds received was calculated. Indeed, this took account of the fact that some beneficiaries received funds from more than one measure. As the names of several beneficiaries could be identical also the city and/or postal code was used to identify beneficiaries that have multiple lines in the database.

2.2.3. Step 5. Determining types of beneficiaries

In step 5, for each of the beneficiaries were categorised according to their legal form (i.e. natural person/proprietary trader/partnership, limited liability company, non-for profit or public body).

The legislation requires to provide the names of both the natural persons and companies. This, in theory, allows to determine the type of beneficiary fairly easily as the legal form should be identifiable based on an acronym of the legal form in the name of the company. The natural person names can be identified using the construct of personal names (first and last name). In practice, it is more difficult as not all reporting systems provide the full official name with the acronyms. Similarly, based on the names it is often not possible to differentiate between proprietary traders/partnerships and natural persons as there is no indication in the name. Moreover, the anonymised recipients cannot be categorised as per legal requirement. The issues of anonymization and that of natural persons vs proprietary traders was primarily a challenge concerning the CAP databases.

This identification of the types of beneficiaries is currently being fine-tuned, especially the identification of public bodies and not for profit beneficiaries requires significant efforts as they often do not have indicators of their legal form in their names.

2.2.4. Step 6. Matching top 200 recipients with company database

In order to determine the ultimate beneficiaries of the funds as well as their potential other receipts, additional information is required which the reporting systems currently do not provide. This information was obtained by matching the lists of the 200 largest beneficiaries per Member State to the entries in the databases of Bureau van Dijk (**Orbis Europe**)⁸. This matching with the databases allowed adding information on owners, shareholders and their other holdings (subsidiaries, partnerships, etc.). In addition, manual double-checking of several of the individual businesses matched were performed.

In order to be able to provide accurate lists of the top 50 ultimate beneficiaries per Member State, at least the 200 largest direct beneficiaries were matched with the company database. The largest agricultural companies and owners with more than 25% ownership were also matched to ensure that the most likely ultimate beneficiaries are checked.

2.2.5. Step 7. Identifying ultimate beneficiary' holdings

The typology is used in combination with the ownership data obtained from the Bureau van Dijk Orbis databases to identify the ultimate beneficiaries. For these ultimate owners the potential subsidiaries and partnerships were determined. For all these subsidiaries and partnerships, it was determined whether they have themselves beneficiaries of EU funds or if they have interests in other beneficiaries.

This step was performed based on the ownership information obtained from Orbis Europe for the largest beneficiaries as well as matches of the former with the names of the other beneficiaries. Importantly, this step took into consideration both domestic as well as foreign subsidiaries and partnerships in the EU28.

In line with the current practice to identify ultimate beneficiary owners under the Anti-Money Laundering legislation in most Member States, a minimum threshold of 25% is applied to the ownership. The EU funds received are fully attributed to the owners of more than 50% of the companies, while the EU funds are attributed pro-rata to the owners of 25% to 50% equity.

This step has only been partially completed in this version of the report. The current version only takes into consideration owners that hold more than 50% of the shares, while other undertakings of natural persons/sole proprietors/partnerships that benefit from EU funds still need to be identified.

2.2.6. Step 8. Preparation of top 50 beneficiaries per Member State

In step eight, the lists with the largest 50 ultimate beneficiary owners per Member State are to be prepared.

For this the information obtained from the previous steps is used. The amounts received are aggregated by ultimate beneficiary (e.g. natural person/partnership, company, not for profit or public body). Based on this a ranking of the top 50 ultimate beneficiaries by Member State is presented in separate lists.

⁸ <https://www.bvdinfo.com/en-gb/our-products/data/international/orbis>

In this version of the report the preliminary list of top 50 beneficiaries per Member State for the CAP and Cohesion funds are presented in Annex 7, 8 and 9. These lists will be revised when the steps above have been completed and additional sub-lists by type of beneficiary will be added.

2.3. Identifying legal barriers

Desk research was used to identify the legal barriers. This assessment covers:

- Identification of requirements set in regulatory framework regarding beneficiary data disclosure including directly managed funds and funds under shared management. For instance, Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union⁹, Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046¹⁰, Commission Regulation (EU) No 1407/2013¹¹, Regulation (EU) No 1291/2013¹², REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013¹³, Regulation (EU) 2016/679¹⁴. EU funds management related reporting requirements were recorded in a table format in order to be used to assess Member State compliance with reporting requirements;
- Identification of requirements set in the proposals for regulatory framework for EU funds 2021-2027 programming period, for instance, proposal for Common Provisions Regulation¹⁵;
- Providing examples of national legislation governing EU funds beneficiaries data disclosure, for instance, Information on the processing of personal data on the websites of the Ministry of Funds and Regional Policy¹⁶ (Poland); General rules for the processing of personal data as part of European Funds¹⁷ (Poland); The General Data Protection Regulation and ESF¹⁸ (UK); Procedures for monitoring and implementing Structural Funds¹⁹ (Latvia);
- Complementing the interview results where results had not been provided by respondents, e.g. on particular aspects of the barriers;
- Complementing the interview results with additional information to assure that all barriers are identified and assessed.

In addition, different guidance materials regarding GDPR requirements applicability were assessed. The European Data Protection Board has published several guidelines²⁰ on implementation of General Data Protection Regulation, as well as other questions regarding data protection, for instance, Guidelines 05/2020 on consent under Regulation 2016/679²¹, Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects²².

⁹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT>

¹⁰ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32018R1046>

¹¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1407>

¹² <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:347:0104:0173:EN:PDF>

¹³ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32013R1303>

¹⁴ https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/LV/TXT/?uri=uriserv%3AOJ_L_2016.119.01.0001.01.ENG

¹⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2018%3A375%3AFIN>

¹⁶ <https://www.funduszeeuropejskie.gov.pl/strony/klauzula-informacyjna-ministerstwa/>

¹⁷ <https://www.funduszeeuropejskie.gov.pl/strony/o-funduszach/ogolne-zasady-przetwarzania-danych-osobowych-w-ramach-funduszy-europejskich/>

¹⁸ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/704535/018-18_General_Data_Protection_Regulation_GDPR_and_ESF.pdf

¹⁹ <https://likumi.lv/ta/id/272807#p18>

²⁰ https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/general-guidance/gdpr-guidelines-recommendations-best-practices_en

²¹ https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/our-documents/guidelines/guidelines-052020-consent-under-regulation-2016679_en

²² https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/our-documents/smjernice/guidelines-22019-processing-personal-data-under-article-61b_en

The European Union Agency For Network and Information Security (ENISA) has studied application of data protection measures on technologies, such as Privacy and data protection in mobile applications²³, Recommendations on shaping technology according to GDPR provisions²⁴, An overview on data pseudonymisation²⁵. ENISA developed a Handbook on Security of Personal Data Processing²⁶ ahead of the GDPR, providing examples and recommendations of application of data processing measures. EU guidance on personal data²⁷.

The results of the assessment of the legal barriers is presented in Chapter 4 on Transparency.

2.4. Stakeholder interviews

In order to obtain the views from stakeholders on the best practices, possibilities for standardisation, regulatory barriers and ideas to improve the existing rules for transparency a selected group of stakeholders were interviewed.

Ten interviews with key stakeholders were conducted at EU level (DG AGRI, DG BUDGET, DG REGIO, European Data Protection Supervisor, European Court of Auditors, European Parliament) and Member State level (selection of Ministries and authorities responsible for operating a national database).

The stakeholders provided their insights on the strengths and weaknesses of the reporting systems, the existing regulations restricting the disclosure of beneficiaries as well as the possible policy solutions. The interview guide used for the interviews is presented in Annex 2.

The results of the interviews are used throughout the report, but primarily in Chapter 4 on Transparency.

2.5. Limitations

Most of the challenges in identification of the ultimate beneficiaries have been overcome using the methodology described above. The only remaining uncertainty with the selected methodology is that the largest 50 ultimate beneficiaries cannot be found among the top 200 direct beneficiaries or in their ownership structure. To reduce the chance of this, also the EU funds received by the main actors in the agricultural sector in each of the EU28 countries were identified. Nevertheless there remains a small possibility that there are other ultimate beneficiaries behind a series of direct beneficiaries that should be in the top 50.

The main limitations, however, relate to mismatches between databases and incomplete information.

The matching in the database has to occur based solely on the names of the beneficiaries, as there are no other indicators available in most databases that allow for more accurate identification, such as corporate and personal registration numbers. The main challenge with matching based on names only is that not in all instances are the official names used in the list, names may be spelled differently, name may have changed between the times of receiving the EU funds and conducting this study, also names may not be unique within a certain geographical area.

²³ <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5d1a8d45-0af0-11e8-966a-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196990>

²⁴ <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/a8e7a463-29c5-11e9-8d04-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196990>

²⁵ <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/0e1ca64f-29c7-11e9-8d04-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196990>

²⁶ <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1a860879-1dce-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196923>

²⁷ https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-topic/data-protection/reform/what-personal-data_en

The company database used for this project is based on the available corporate registers at national level. However, not all of these registers provide up to date and complete information on the ownership of companies. Moreover, there are also differences between types of beneficiaries and the size of these beneficiaries. Indeed, the coverage of large limited liability companies is in general much better than small sole proprietaries. The quality of the identification of the ultimate beneficiaries therefore differs across countries, type of beneficiaries and company size.

These uncertainties will remain unless access to the ultimate beneficial owner databases is granted, or the ultimate beneficiary information is added to the reporting systems as well as the unique personal or corporate identification numbers.

Finally, in this report the EU funds are attributed to the direct beneficiaries, based on which the ultimate beneficiaries are identified. In practice, other parties than the direct beneficiaries may also benefit from EU funds for the implementation of the actions. Information on these indirect beneficiaries are only included in a few of the reporting systems for the Cohesion funds.

3. REPORTING SYSTEMS

KEY FINDINGS

The information on CAP beneficiaries is collected in 28 reporting systems, one for each Member State. The Cohesion Policy reporting systems are more fragmented, amounting to about 264 databases, with no single national reporting system in six countries. This fragmentation complicates the aggregation of the data at both country and EU level.

The identification of ultimate beneficiaries is primarily complicated by a lack of unique identifiers and clear indication of type of beneficiaries. For several reporting systems, especially for CAP, it is already difficult to obtain the lists with direct beneficiaries without download options provided by the system.

This chapter provides an overview of the current reporting practices based on the results of the assessment of the reporting systems for both the beneficiaries of CAP and Cohesion funds.

3.1. Reporting systems

In total 292 reporting systems were identified in the EU27 and the UK covering the CAP and Cohesion Policy beneficiaries (see Table 3.1). Most of the reporting systems are for the Cohesion Policy: there exist 264 systems reporting on beneficiaries. The latter can be divided into 180 systems covering national and regional Operational Programs (OPs) and 84 covering the implementation of the Territorial Cooperation (TC) programs. TC programmes cover beneficiaries from two or more countries. The remaining 28 reporting systems cover the beneficiaries of the CAP in the EU27 and UK. Indeed, one reporting system for each country.

Table 3.1 Number of reporting systems

Type of platform	NR
Common agricultural policy	28
Cohesion Policy	264
<i>o/w national and regional OPs</i>	180
<i>o/w inter-regional OPs</i>	84
Total	292

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Taking a closer look at the number of reporting systems for Cohesion Policy, there is a large difference across the EU27 Member States and the UK (see Table 3.2). France (25 reporting systems), Germany (30), Italy (30) and Poland (21) account combined for more than half of the national reporting systems for Cohesion Policy, with each more than 20 reporting systems. In addition, Greece (16) and Portugal (11) Netherlands (5) and Slovakia (6) also have numerous Cohesion Policy reporting systems. There are

nine more countries with more than one reporting systems. The remaining eleven Member States have one reporting system each for Cohesion Policy.

Table 3.2 Number of reporting systems by country

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)	Total
AT	1	3	0	4
BE	1	3	0	4
BG	1	1	0	2
CY	1	1	0	2
CZ	1	1	0	2
DE	1	30	0	31
DK	1	1	0	2
EE	1	1	0	2
ES	1	2	0	3
FI	1	3	0	4
FR	1	25	0	26
GR	1	16	0	17
HR	1	1	0	2
HU	1	1	0	2
IE	1	3	0	4
IT	1	30	0	31
LT	1	1	0	2
LU	1	2	0	3
LV	1	1	0	2
MT	1	1	0	2
NL	1	5	0	6
PL	1	21	0	22
PT	1	11	0	12
RO	1	1	0	2
SE	1	3	0	4
SI	1	2	0	3
SK	1	6	0	7
UK	1	4	0	5
TC	0	0	84	84
Total	28	180	84	292

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The availability of multiple reporting systems in a Member States does not necessarily mean that the information is fragmented. Most Member States have at least one reporting system providing a consolidated list compiling all beneficiaries. Indeed, in some Member States the operational programmes themselves also publish their own lists, which are de facto a subset of the consolidated list. In fact, there are only six countries for which no consolidated list of beneficiaries has been identified. In most of these countries the Cohesion Policy reporting systems are divided by funds

(Austria, Spain), but there also countries where they are split by region (Finland, UK) or a combination of funds and region (Ireland, Germany).

The lack of consolidated reporting systems makes it more difficult to obtain an overview of the direct beneficiaries in a particular country.

3.2. Presentation

The presentation of the reporting systems covers three elements, including the format used for presenting the beneficiaries, languages used for the reporting systems as well as the presentation of the EU as donor.

3.2.1. Format

Most of the CAP and Cohesion Policy National and regional OPs use web-based systems (see Table 3.3). While also a substantial part of the Inter-regional OPs uses web-based systems, the majority of these reporting systems are only made available via Excel spreadsheets. Finally, a minority of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems uses PDF format for the reporting.

Table 3.3 Format of reporting system (% of reporting systems)

Format	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Web-based	100%	62%	37%
Excel	0%	35%	54%
PDF	0%	2%	8%
<i>No information provided</i>	0%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.2.2. Languages

The information in the reporting systems is easier to follow when presented in a language mastered by the user.

There are large differences across policies as well as across countries in the number of languages used for reporting systems (see

Table 3.4). The majority of the reporting systems allows users to navigate the content in one language only. A significant number of reporting systems include a second language, while only a marginal number of reporting systems is available in three or more languages. The Cohesion Policy Inter-regional OPs more often provide more languages than the CAP and Cohesion Policy National and regional OPs.

Table 3.4 Number of EU languages in the reporting systems (% of reporting systems)

Number of EU languages	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
0	0%	1%	0%
1	32%	59%	46%
2	54%	37%	27%
3	7%	3%	20%
4	7%	1%	4%
5	0%	0%	2%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Note: Reporting systems not disclosing any information are indicated to have no language.

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The CAP reporting systems are required to have the information available in their national language as well as in a widely spoken language. The CAP reporting systems are all at least available in the national language (see Table 3.5). English is the most commonly used language as second language. In total 22 reporting systems are provided in English, including three countries with English as their national language (Ireland, Malta and the UK). Additionally, there is only one language other than the official national language used in a reporting system (French).

Table 3.5 EU languages CAP reporting systems

EU language	Total number of reporting systems in a language	o/w official national language	Used as national language, % of total
National language	28	28	100%
English	22	3	14%
French	3	2	67%
German	4	4	100%
Greek	2	2	100%
Hungarian	2	2	100%
Italian	2	2	100%
Swedish	2	2	100%
Total	28

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The use of languages in Cohesion Policy National and regional OP reporting systems is similar to that of the CAP reporting systems (see Table 3.6). All the public reporting systems are available in the national language. English is used in about 44% of the reporting. Other languages such as German, France and Italian are used at a significant number of reporting systems as national language. Finally, Spanish is used only on a small number of platforms, but it is after English the most commonly used foreign language.

Table 3.6 EU languages in Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs) reporting systems

EU language	Total	o/w official national language	% of total
National language	179	179	100%
English	79	7	9%
French	29	29	100%
German	36	36	100%
Italian	30	30	100%
Spanish	6	2	33%
Total	180

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The Inter-regional OPs are all covering areas across two or more countries. These reporting systems are therefore often also offered in several languages (see Table 3.7). However, about a quarter of the reporting systems are not provided in any of the national languages, but exclusively in English (22). Similarly, those that cover just a part of the national languages of the countries covered, also exclusively offer English if the reporting system is available in another language. Finally, those reporting systems that cover all national languages of the Member States involved are more likely to be offered in English and other EU languages such as French, German, Italian or Spanish.

Table 3.7 Additional EU languages in Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs) databases

National language(s)	No additional languages	English	French	German	Italian	Spanish	Total
None	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
Partial	8	5	0	0	0	0	13
All	28	18	3	3	2	2	49
Total	36	45	3	3	2	2	84

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The language is also relevant for the headings in the downloads. In about fourth-fifth of the reporting systems, the heading of the data fields are available in at least one other official language of the EU.

Table 3.8 Indication of operations (Cohesion Policy) (% of reporting systems)

	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)		Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Headings of data fields provided in at least one other official language of the Union	81%	19%	77%	23%

3.2.3. Presentation of the EU

Most of the recipients of EU funds such as Cohesion Policy shall acknowledge the support they receive under programmes by including the European emblem in their communication. The reporting systems for Cohesion Policy are also required to show the EU emblem visible on their websites. The large majority of the Cohesion Policy National and regional OPs' reporting systems and all those of the Inter-regional OPs show the EU emblem (see Table 3.9). On nearly all the reporting systems there are also other logos that are larger than the EU emblem. Moreover, users on most of the reporting systems need to scroll down the web page to see it. Finally, virtually all the systems make explicit reference to the name of the EU fund.

Table 3.9 Visibility of EU funding (% of reporting systems)

National languages	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
EU emblem visible on the website	89%	100%
EU emblem is the largest logo on the website	8%	17%
Reference to the relevant fund included	98%	98%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.3. Disclosed information

The EU Regulations governing the implementation of the CAP and the Cohesion Policy set specific requirements for the reporting systems. In particular, reporting systems should include identification information of the beneficiaries of the EU funds, location information, and funding related information.

The information reported on beneficiaries with legal personality is more complete in both CAP and Cohesion policy reporting systems than the reporting on natural persons or without legal personality (sole proprietaries, partnerships, etc.). More specifically, all of the reporting systems on CAP (100%) display the first name and the surname of natural persons receiving EU financial support measures (see Table 3.10). In turn, nearly none of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems conceal both the first and surname when the recipient is a natural person. Nearly all the reporting systems on CAP (100%) and on national and regional OPs under Cohesion Policy (94%) display the legal name of beneficiaries with legal personality. This information is missing in all the reporting systems on Cohesion Policy covering Inter-regional OPs.

Reporting systems covering the implementation of the CAP report more information about the location of beneficiaries compared to the Cohesion Policy reporting systems. In particular, 100% of the CAP systems report the municipality in which the beneficiary resides or is registered. About 61% of them report the postal code, while the region is available in only 7% of the reporting systems. The coverage is significantly lower when looking at the Cohesion Policy reporting systems. About 56-57% of the systems on national and regional OPs and 29% of those on Inter-regional OPs report the name of the municipalities and the postal code. Moreover, less than one-fourth of the systems on national and regional OPs displays information about the region (18%). This share is somewhat higher (31%) for reporting systems of Inter-regional OPs.

All the CAP reporting systems and nearly all the Cohesion Policy reporting systems display information on the amounts by beneficiaries in one or more forms. The reporting systems without information on the EU funds; 1) do not disclose any information on the beneficiaries at all, 2) do not disclose this information without indicating motivation, or 3) indicated that it did not disclose the funds because of

the specificities of the programme²⁸. Only the reported indicators vary among systems, but primarily among policies, in line with the respective regulations. For example, information about the funds paid to each beneficiary and the allocation by fund is available for about four-fifths of all the Cohesion Policy reporting systems, while it is available for about one-fifth of the CAP reporting systems. The same is applicable for the indicator related to the eligible expenditure and co-financing rate. In turn, the large majority of the CAP reporting systems discloses information about the fund paid both by beneficiary and support measure, while this information is basically absent on the Cohesion policy reporting systems.

Table 3.10 Information about beneficiaries (% of reporting systems)

Indicator	Common agricultural policy		Cohesion Policy (National & Regional OPs)		Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Identification information						
First name and the surname (natural person)	100%	0%	6%	94%	0%	58%
Full legal name as registered (legal person with the autonomous legal personality)	100%	0%	74%	26%	31%	69%
Location information						
Municipality name (resident / registered)	100%	0%	56%	43%	29%	71%
Postal code	61%	39%	56%	44%	29%	71%
Province	7%	93%	18%	82%	31%	69%
Funds-related information						
Funds by measure and beneficiary	100%	0%	2%	98%	5%	95%
Funds by fund and beneficiary	21%	79%	94%	6%	82%	18%
Funds by beneficiary	100%	0%	99%	1%	89%	11%
Funds by beneficiary provide both total and amounts by measure	89%	11%	2%	98%	4%	96%
Funds financed by EAFRD include both EU and national contributions	100%	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total eligible expenditure allocated to the operation	N/A	N/A	82%	18%	71%	29%
Union co-financing rate, as per priority axis	N/A	N/A	69%	31%	60%	40%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

²⁸ The programme "Suomen takausohjelma kasvuyritysten rahoituksen saatavuuden parantamiseksi" is a programme for utilizing financial instruments to facilitate financing to SMEs in Finland. It is operated under the SME Initiative framework by the European Investment Fund. Under this programme guarantees are issued on loans by private banks to their clients. Due to specificities of the financial sector, detailed information of beneficiaries is not published.

The large majority of the reporting systems on Cohesion Policy report the mandatory information about the operations financed by the EU. In particular, the name of the operation is basically always reported (see Table 3.11). About 7% of the reporting systems on national and regional OPs and 2% of the systems on Inter-regional OPs do not contain this information. These are in general systems that do not provide any information about the beneficiaries on their public systems. The large majority of the systems display a summary of the operation as well as the operations' start and end date. Nevertheless about a tenth of the national and regional OPs and about one in twenty Inter-regional OPs does not provide this information.

Table 3.11 Indication of operations (Cohesion Policy) (% of reporting systems)

	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)		Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Operation name	93%	7%	98%	2%
Operation summary	85%	15%	85%	15%
Operation start date	91%	9%	96%	4%
Operation end date	89%	11%	95%	5%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.4. Ultimate beneficiaries

None of the reporting systems publishes ownership information, as this is not a regulatory requirement. However, it means that the ultimate beneficiaries of the EU funds are not obvious from the reporting systems.

Additionally, the reporting systems do in general not indicate the type of beneficiary, (for example, natural person, public body, etc.) which could contribute to identifying the ultimate beneficiaries. In fact, there are only a few Cohesion Policy reporting systems that distinguish between private and public beneficiaries. The indication of the type of beneficiary is useful as there are certain types of direct beneficiaries that are at the same time also the ultimate beneficiaries. For example, natural persons and most of the public bodies are the ultimate beneficiaries by definition and could be identified as such just by this piece of information. In exceptional cases, however, the way of reporting allows to identify one type of beneficiaries fairly easily. For example, the Polish CAP reporting system exceptionally reports the first and surname of natural persons in columns distinct from other entities, which allows to identify the natural persons with certainty.

For corporate beneficiaries with legal personality knowing just the type is insufficient. However, there exists a set of identification information of the direct beneficiary that can ease tremendously the identification of the ultimate beneficiary as well as the aggregation of the funds received by ultimate beneficiaries. The most important one is the national identification number. This information is available in about half of the Cohesion policy reporting systems, while it is generally absent in those monitoring the implementation of the CAP (see

Table 3.12).

Table 3.12 Indication of company identification numbers (% of reporting systems)

ID Numbers	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Yes	11%	57%	48%
No	89%	42%	52%
N/A	0%	1%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.5. Data accessibility

The accessibility of the data on beneficiaries is an important attribute of reporting systems. It encompasses those aspects allowing the users to access and navigate the contents efficiently. Features improving accessibility include, for instance, the opportunity to download the data, sort or search the information as well as access to a user manual and a contact point.

3.5.1. Download options

A download option is the easiest manner for most users to obtain a complete and structured overview of the direct beneficiaries. The majority of both the CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems allow users to download the data (see Table 3.13). The share of reporting systems allowing to download the data is very high for Cohesion policy systems reporting on national and regional OPs (90%) and for those covering Inter-regional OP (86%), which have a legal requirement to provide this possibility. Most of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems that do lack this option either do not disclose information at all or only have a few beneficiaries. The CAP reporting systems provide the option to download the beneficiary information in fewer instances. Moreover, the CAP reporting systems that provide a download option only provide this in one format, while the majority of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems provide the download in two or more formats. The CAP does not have a legal requirement to provide a download possibility.

Table 3.13 Number of download formats offered (% of reporting systems)

Download options	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
0	46%	10%	14%
1	50%	34%	74%
2	0%	50%	8%
3	4%	6%	4%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Most of the reporting systems allowing to download the beneficiary information provide the data in Comma Separated Values (CSV) and/or Excel format (see Table 3.14). Other machine-readable formats such as Text (TXT), Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML) and Extensible Markup Language (XML) are much less frequently provided. There are also some reporting systems offering the possibility to download data in a Portable Document Format (PDF), which is much more difficult to read for machines. For all of the CAP reporting systems and a significant number of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems offering the possibility to download the information in PDF is combined with other

options. However, there are also several Cohesion Policy reporting systems that offer the lists with beneficiaries just in PDF.

Table 3.14 Download formats offered (% of reporting systems offering download options)

Download formats	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
CSV	60%	55%	18%
Excel	27%	90%	79%
HTML	7%	1%	0%
PDF	7%	22%	17%
TXT	7%	0%	0%
XML	7%	1%	4%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Among the reporting systems that provide a download possibility there are some, where the downloads provide no or incomplete data.

3.5.2. Sorting and searching possibilities

The possibility to sort and search data is mostly important to find specific information, but in quite a few cases also the only possibility to access the data.

Most of the CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems do not offer **sorting** possibilities of either the entire database and/or search results. Only 14% of the CAP reporting systems, 8% of the Cohesion Policy national and regional OPs and 19% of the Inter-regional OPs (see Table 3.15). Those CAP reporting systems offering sorting possibilities, in general offer sorting according to three to five indicators. The Cohesion Policy national and regional OPs offer fewer sorting possibilities ranging between one and four. The Inter-regional OPs offer mostly a similar number of sorting possibilities, but there are exceptions with up to 7.

Table 3.15 Number of sorting possibilities offered (% of reporting systems)

Sorting offered	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
None	86%	93%	83%
1 indicator	0%	2%	4%
multiple indicators	15%	6%	15%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Looking at those reporting systems that offer sorting, there are some clear differences in the sorting criteria (see Table 3.16). All CAP reporting systems offering sorting possibilities allow to sort according to the name of the beneficiary, municipality and amount of EU funds received. In some instances complemented with sorting possibilities according to postal code, measure and fund. For the Cohesion Policy reporting systems there is no particular pattern.

Table 3.16 Sorting offered (% of reporting systems offering sorting)

Indicator	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter Regional OPs)
Name	100%	31%	56%
Municipality	100%	54%	25%
Postal code	25%	23%	25%
Province	0%	38%	31%
Measure	25%	46%	88%
Fund	25%	54%	44%
Amount	100%	31%	38%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

In the large majority of the CAP reporting systems, users can **search** for specific information within the portal. In about 89% of the CAP reporting systems there are four or more fields that can be searched (see Table 3.17). The searching possibilities for the Cohesion Policy are significantly fewer. The option to search the information is offered only by about one-third of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems. These systems have fewer search fields than the CAP reporting systems. The searching possibility is also less important for Cohesion Policy as the beneficiaries are mostly available in Excel, which has relatively easy to use search and filtering functions. Moreover, there are in general much fewer beneficiaries reported on a single Cohesion Policy platform than in a CAP one, which makes searching less necessary.

Table 3.17 Number of searching possibilities offered (% of reporting systems)

Searching possibilities	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
None	7%	70%	64%
1 possibility	0%	3%	12%
multiple possibilities	93%	27%	24%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Taking a closer look at the online reporting systems (see Table 3.18), CAP systems are always offering the possibility to search information by beneficiary name, municipality and amount. The search option by measure is also very common. Search by postal code, province and fund are less available. The search of the Cohesion Policy is often limited to a simple search by name. The other search possibilities (municipality, postal code, province, measure, fund and amount) are offered on between one-fifth and half of the reporting systems covering national and regional OPs. Most of the Inter-regional OPs offering search functions allow also to search the name (83%). The other search possibilities are only offered on between one-tenth and four-tenths of the reporting systems with searching possibilities. A possible explanation for the more limited search possibilities on Inter-regional OPs than National and regional OPs reporting systems might be that the Inter-regional OPs cover, on average, fewer beneficiaries and, therefore, have simpler reporting systems.

Table 3.18 Searching possibilities offered (% of reporting systems offering searching)

Indicator	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Name	100%	85%	83%
Municipality	100%	57%	30%
Postal code	46%	24%	10%
Province	8%	31%	23%
Measure	88%	22%	43%
Fund	31%	57%	27%
Amount	100%	20%	27%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

An important element of the search possibility is also the restrictions to the searches and presentation of the search results. Indeed, when there is no downloadable overview with all beneficiaries provided the search option becomes often the best possibility to obtain the information. Almost half of the CAP reporting systems, for instance, do not provide a download possibility. Nevertheless, the collection of information on the complete list of CAP beneficiaries from the reporting systems using the provided search tools is in some cases hampered by:

- Restrictions on the provided search tool allowing only to search a name with at least a minimum number of characters;
- Restrictions on the provided search tool allowing only to search using a combination of items (e.g. municipality needs to be combined with funds);
- Restrictions on performing many searches through CAPTCHAs.
- Limiting the number of results shown per search (e.g. 1 500 beneficiaries per search in a database containing several hundreds of thousands of beneficiaries);
- Separation of the results across many pages (up to several tens of thousands of pages); and,
- Technical limitations to loading more results on the results page or when multiple pages are shown.

3.5.3. User manual and contact

Almost all of the CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems provide tools to make the use of the reporting system easier (see Table 3.19). Manuals (instructions on optimal usage of reporting system) are most frequently provided, but there are a few Cohesion Policy reporting systems also providing glossaries (description of main vocabulary). Nevertheless, there are some Cohesion Policy reporting systems not providing any such tools. These are mostly Inter-regional OPs with few beneficiaries.

Table 3.19 Tools to ease the use of the reporting system (% of reporting systems)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Manual	100%	98%	87%
Glossary	0%	1%	2%
None	0%	1%	11%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

For enquiries about the reported information, it is important to be able to contact the organisation responsible for the reporting system. All CAP and nearly all Cohesion Policy reporting systems provide an email or contact form and a phone number to contact (see Table 3.20). In the context of this study, no systematic assessment of the responsiveness of the reporting system has been conducted. However, the few organisations responsible for the reporting systems that were approached during the preparation of this study responded to the data request. However, often several reminders were required as well as the use of other contacts then indicated on the reporting system.

Table 3.20 Contact information provided (% of reporting systems)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Email address or contact form	100%	99%	98%
Phone number	100%	98%	98%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.6. Data protection information

Both the CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems contain personal data, which needs to be adequately processed and presented. Information about the data protection and privacy measures is a mandatory requirement. Almost all CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems comply with this requirement (see Table 3.21). In particular, reporting systems usually contain a description of data processing in relation to the relevant legislation and the large majority of the systems refers users to the data protection authority website.

Table 3.21 Data protection/privacy information (% of reporting systems)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Description of data processing in connection with data protection legislation	100%	99%	95%
Link to data protection authority	96%	97%	93%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.7. Other information

The CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems are usually fully dedicated to one of both policies. In fact, only 4% of the reporting systems on national and regional OPs under Cohesion Policy contains information about other existing policies (see

Table 3.22). This share increases to 15% for reporting systems on Inter-regional OPs. The highest share of reporting systems containing information on other policies is registered among the CAP reporting systems, almost one-third (32%).

Table 3.22 Additional policies besides CAP/Cohesion Policy covered (% of reporting systems)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Yes	32%	4%	15%
No	61%	96%	83%
N/A	7%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

In addition to the common set of information, some reporting systems contain other indicators. This is the case for the large majority of the portal systems for Cohesion Policy, while it is really exceptional for CAP reporting systems (4%) (See Table 3.23).

Table 3.23 Other indicators (% of reporting systems)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Yes	4%	77%	88%
No	96%	17%	12%
N/A	0%	3%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

For CAP reporting systems the other indicators refer to the responsible paying agency (see Table 3.24). In the most cases, additional information in Cohesion Policy reporting systems refers to the category of intervention. Finally, a marginal number of reporting systems contains additional information on the priority axis and the investment priority.

Table 3.24 Types of other indicators (% of reporting systems with other indicators)

	Common agricultural policy	Cohesion Policy (National and regional OPs)	Cohesion Policy (Inter-regional OPs)
Responsible paying agency	100%	N/A	N/A
Category of intervention	N/A	89%	84%
Priority axis	N/A	5%	5%
Category of intervention & priority axis	N/A	5%	8%
Investment priority	N/A	1%	3%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: Authors' elaboration.

3.8. Compliance with legal requirements

All the CAP reporting systems are compliant with the legal requirements, insofar they could be assessed based on the information disclosed. The CAP disclosure has been assessed against the basic requirements in Articles 111 and 113 of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013, such as the disclosure of name, municipality, amount and measures and information about the rights under the GDPR.

Similarly, the CAP reporting systems seem to comply with the implementing acts in Articles 57 to 59 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 908/2014. Though, there are some borderline issues. There are two countries publishing the information only in Excel (Croatia and Cyprus), which has search possibilities but one can debate whether it is a "search tool allowing the users to search for beneficiaries by either name, or municipality" as defined in Article 59. Moreover, there is one reporting system (Hungary), which has the beneficiaries for the last three instead of the two years online. They shall have the information of the last two financial years, so reporting three years is a deviation from this requirement.

Turning to the Cohesion Policy, all EU Member States have reporting systems in place to disclose the information on the Cohesion Policy OPs. However, for six countries (incl. UK) there was not a single website summarising all OPs in the country identified, as required under Article 115 1(b) of the Common Provision Regulation EU 1303/2013. These countries are Austria, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Spain and the UK. Moreover, there were several reporting platforms in eight EU Member States (Finland, France, German, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Slovakia and Sweden) for which no downloadable list of OPs could be identified. For all these reporting systems – except Germany – it concerned regional or fund reporting systems, for which alternative national reporting systems with all information were available. In Germany, it concerned the national reporting system, for which regional and fund reporting systems were available as alternative sources. In addition, there are several reporting systems in countries such as Finland, France and Greece, which do not offer their information in a spreadsheet allowing sorting (for instance, publication in PDF) as required under Article 115 (2) of the CPR.²⁹

National reporting systems covering the implementation of Cohesion Policy OPs are to a large extent compliant with the CPR provisions on information disclosure. Some of the national reporting systems do not comply with the more detailed disclosure requirements in Annex XII of the CPR. In particular, seven countries do not explicitly disclose the country of the operations (Bulgaria, Estonia, France, Latvia, Malta, Poland and Slovakia). However, in most countries (except in Slovakia), this information can be obtained based on the more detailed location information (e.g. region, municipality, postal code). Slovakia is the only member states that does not report location information in its national portal. Finally, the date of the last update is not reported in the national reporting systems of seven countries (Denmark, Estonia, Greece, Lithuania, Malta, the Netherlands and Romania).

Countries without a single national reporting system have either fund-specific or region-specific reporting systems. Overall, most of them are to a large extent compliant with the information disclosure provisions. The fund-specific reporting systems available in Austria and Spain are fully compliant with the existing legal provisions, while some gaps emerge in a small set of regional reporting systems across Germany, Finland, Ireland and the UK. In particular, it was not possible to retrieve the list of beneficiaries from three reporting systems in Germany and one portal in Finland. Finally, information related to the EU co-financing rate, the category of intervention and the date of last update is missing in some of the regional systems in Finland, Ireland and the UK.

²⁹ The list of operations are supposed to be updated at least every 6 months under Article 115 (2) of the Common Provision Regulation EU 1303/2013. Due to the insufficient information disclosed on the national reporting systems on the last update or updating frequency, it cannot be ruled out that there are some reporting systems that are updated less than once every 6 months.

4. TRANSPARENCY

KEY FINDINGS

There are legal barriers to obtaining and disclosing information on beneficiaries of EU funds, project implementers, their owners and beneficial owners. These are the limited information disclosed for natural persons, especially the lack of unique identifiers to match with the ultimate beneficiary ownership databases created according to anti-money laundering (AML) regulatory framework.


The technical barriers primarily relate to the data stored in the systems (machine readability, accessibility, findability and reusability), interoperability of systems, data formats, data exchange and storage platforms, ways of granting and managing data access.

The main policy possibilities to enhance transparency of direct beneficiaries, ultimate beneficiaries, project implementers and (ultimate beneficial) owners of implementing companies are to provide a common central database using unique personal and corporate identifiers that can be connected to the databases created for the AML policies.

This chapter identifies the barriers to the disclosure of information on beneficiaries (companies and natural persons) of CAP and Cohesion funds. Moreover, it also explores potential avenues to enhance the transparency of these EU funds. The barriers are identified through review of EU legal framework, interviews and desk research.

Barriers to disclosure of information also relate to direct and ultimate beneficiaries. In addition, large amounts of Cohesion funds are granted to public entities, and are implemented by companies referred hereafter as *project implementers*. The project implementers and beneficial owners of the project implementers are not subject of this analytical study.

Figure 4.1 Beneficiaries of EU funds



Source: Authors' elaboration.

Information on projects, beneficiaries, project implementers, owners and ultimate beneficial owners of companies and project implementers could be collected for: (i) audit and control purposes; (ii) transparency purposes; and (iii) programme implementation monitoring and evaluation. In this chapter the focus is on the disclosure of beneficiary information for transparency purposes, which needs to find a balance between public interest and the general data protection rights of natural persons and reporting costs.

4.1. Barriers to more data transparency

This section provides the legal and technical barriers information disclosure on beneficiaries, project implementers, owners and beneficial owners. It includes an analysis of rules and operational practices concerning data collection, disclosure, protection and other factors that might prevent the publication of data and/or the sharing of it with the European Commission and the European Parliament as discharge authority concerning end beneficiaries of EU funds.

Barriers to data transparency relate to three topics: i) data about projects financed by CAP and Cohesion funds (data disclosed about each project); ii) data about companies and natural persons that are the final beneficiaries of funding received and beneficial owners of the companies; and iii) data about project implementers and owners or beneficial owners of the project implementers.

4.1.1. Legal requirements on data disclosure

The Financial Regulation is the main point of reference for principles and procedures governing the establishment, implementation and control of the EU budget. The study relates to the EU programming period 2014-2020, therefore it assesses the legal framework for information disclosure requirements for this programming period. The recommendations for system enhancement mostly relate to the EU programming period 2021-2027, therefore the regulatory framework proposal for the new programming period is analysed in relation to data disclosure requirements.

This analytical study relates to not only beneficiaries but also owners and beneficial owners, therefore an analysis of the anti-money laundering regulatory framework governing data collection and disclosure on owners and beneficial owners is included. The analytical study also relates to project implementers, therefore public procurement data disclosure requirements are assessed.

Beneficiaries - data disclosure under the Financial Regulation

The Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget requires disclosing the name and locality of the EU funds recipient (see Annex 3). It also highlights situations when this information does not need to be disclosed, e.g. funding received under a certain limit. However, the Financial Regulation does not require to disclose the owner and beneficial owner and does not require to provide the unique identification of a natural person (e.g. individual identification number). Where natural person data are published, the information shall be removed two years after the end of the financial year in which the funds were legally committed. According to General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), personal data concerns the name, identification number, location data and an online identifier of a natural person (see Annex 3).

Beneficiaries - data disclosure under EU funds direct management

EU funds can be implemented under direct or shared management. Direct management is implemented by the European Commission, which selects recipients of the funds, signs and manages the contracts with them. Examples of EU funds implemented under direct management include Horizon 2020 or the Connecting Europe Facility.

The Financial Transparency System (see Annex 4) is used to record and give access to information about beneficiaries under EU funds direct management system. It does not include data on natural persons, only data on corporate beneficiaries is provided. The company data does not include information on owners and beneficial owners.

Data on natural person beneficiaries is collected using the Natural Person Legal Entity Form, which is used by the European Commission for accounting and contractual purposes. It includes personal data, for instance, name, identification number, E-mail address, and personal phone number. In general, 8

out of 27 Member States do not use a personal identification number allowing the identification of natural persons. Personal data is collected as part of different contractual obligations under EU funds direct management but personal data is not publicly disclosed.

For legal entities the Private Law Body Legal Entity Form is used by the European Commission for accounting and contractual purposes. It includes company information, for instance, legal name and registration number but does not require disclosing the owner and beneficial owner. The company data is collected as part of different contractual obligations under EU funds direct management but only limited information, e.g. company name, is publicly disclosed.

Beneficiaries - data disclosure under EU funds shared management in EU programming period 2014-2020

In Article 63 of the Financial Regulation, shared management is defined as "where the Commission implements the budget under shared management, tasks relating to budget implementation shall be delegated to Member States. The Commission and the Member States shall respect the principles of sound financial management, transparency and non-discrimination and shall ensure the visibility of the Union action when they manage Union funds." CAP and Cohesion funds are implemented under shared management.

Under the reporting requirements for **CAP** in the EU programming period 2014 – 2020, natural persons are identified only by name, surname and municipality where the direct beneficiary is resident. Therefore, under the current regulatory framework it is not possible to identify unique natural persons with complete certainty as no unique personal identification number is provided. Similarly, companies are identified only by the company name and locality and no information on the company registration number, owner and beneficial owner are provided.

The Common Provisions Regulation for the **European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)**, the **European Social Fund (ESF)**, the **Cohesion Fund (CF)**, and the **European Territorial Cooperation (ETC)** fund (COM 1303/2013) does not specify any requirements regarding beneficiary data disclosure, including beneficiaries of financial instruments financed by EU funds. Financial instruments are implemented under different implementing mechanisms, including commercial banks operating as implementing bodies. In some Member States, banking laws do not allow to disclose borrowers or issuers of shares. In those cases no information is available on either direct or ultimate beneficiaries.

The **European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF)** regulation requires disclosing beneficiary names but only in accordance with national law. Under the reporting requirements for EMFF, natural persons are identified only by name, surname and postcode, therefore under the current regulatory framework it is not possible to identify the natural persons with complete certainty. Companies are identified only by company name and locality, no information on the company registration number, owner and beneficial owner needs to be disclosed (see Annex 5).

Beneficiaries - data disclosure under EU funds shared management in EU programming period 2021-2027

The CAP regulatory framework proposal for the EU programming period from 2021 to 2027 includes requirements regarding information exchange and processing. The beneficiary information disclosure is based on the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) proposal applicable to all funds under shared management (see Annex 6).

The CPR proposal (see Annex 6) includes requirements regarding beneficiary data disclosure (i.e. first name, surname and locality) and those requirements correspond to the requirements included in the

Financial Regulation. Under the CPR proposal, it is not possible to identify unique natural persons with complete certainty, as the natural person's identification code is not provided. Companies are identified only by the company name and locality. No information on the company registration number, owner and beneficial owner of the company needs to be disclosed.

The ETC Regulation proposal does not include any beneficiary data disclosure requirements

Owners and beneficial owners - data disclosure requirements

The Directive (EU) 2015/849 (Fourth anti-money laundering Directive – AMLD IV) on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering and terrorist financing requires the creation of registers of beneficial owners of companies. Member States should collect information on beneficial owners of companies, but access to the information on the beneficial ownership shall be in accordance with data protection rules and the information can only be accessed in a timely manner by competent authorities (see Annex 3).

The Directive EU 2018/843 (AMLD V) enhanced transparency by setting up publicly available registers for companies and trusts. It prescribes in Article 30 that Member States need to ensure that the information on the beneficial ownership is accessible in all cases to: a) competent authorities and Financial Intelligence Units, without any restriction; b) obliged entities, within the framework of customer due diligence; c) any member of the general public, who shall be permitted to access at least the name, month and year of birth and the country of residence and nationality of the beneficial owner as well as the nature and extent of the beneficial interest held. The information on beneficial ownership remains available through the national registers and through the system of interconnection of registers for a minimum of five years.

The Council, publishes biannually the 'EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes' (see Annex 3) and the list is to be taken into account when analysing owners and beneficial owners of companies.

Project implementers - data disclosure under public procurement regulation

Public procurement rules are set out by Directive (EU) 2014/24. EU Member States had until April 2016 to transpose the Directive's procurement rules into national law, while the deadline for e-procurement rules was October 2018. According to the Directive, for each award, the name, of project implementer, the address (including NUTS code), the telephone and fax numbers, the email address, whether the successful tenderer is small and medium enterprise and a group of economic operators, value of the successful tender, and information whether the contract is related to a project and/or a programme financed by Union funds (see Annex 3) have needs to be disclosed.

4.1.2. Legal barriers to obtaining and disclosing data

Based on the regulatory framework analysis and interviews with different stakeholders, the main legal barriers for obtaining and disclosing information on beneficiaries, project implementers, owners and beneficial owners and project implementers are listed below.

There is no legal obligation under the Financial Regulation - the main point of reference for principles and procedures governing the establishment, implementation and control of the EU budget to publicly provide information on the beneficiary company's registration number, owner and beneficial owner. There is also no obligation to disclose the beneficiary's identification number, in case of a natural person. Similar requirements regarding data disclosure are applied to the EU funds regulatory framework. There is no legal obligation under the current EU funds management regulatory framework (EU funds programming period 2014-2020) and regulatory framework proposal (EU funds

programming period 2021-2027) to publicly disclose the company's registration number, owner and beneficial owner. Nor is there legal obligation to provide information on natural persons using their identification number. Therefore, under the current and proposed regulatory framework there is no possibility to access information on all EU financial support received by a beneficiary as beneficiaries cannot be identified by a unique identifier. It is suggested to amend the CPR proposal and if possible to amend the Financial Regulation, in order to make the information on beneficiary's company's registration number publicly available.

The Financial Regulation sets limits for amounts under which the beneficial information is not to be disclosed, however, the regulatory proposal for the management of EU funds in programming period 2021-2027 does not define a limit. Therefore, there is a possibility to further streamline the regulatory framework and to reduce the disclosure requirements by setting limits under which beneficiary information is not to be disclosed. While setting the disclosure requirements limits for the funding received, also the disclosure requirements for funding received through financial instruments could be developed. At the time being there are no requirements nor guidance regarding information disclosure on financial instruments financed by EU funds, including cases when financial instruments are implemented by commercial banks. The proposed changes would relate to the regulatory framework for the EU funds in programming period 2021-2027. It is suggested to set the minimum amount of data disclosure as it is defined in the Financial Regulation – very low value contracts below EUR 15 000 and in case of financial instruments amounts lower than EUR 500 000

The regulatory framework not only sets out what data needs to be disclosed but also the time frame for the public disclosure of information which varies between different regulatory frameworks. According to the Financial Regulation information should be removed two years after the end of the financial year in which funds were legally committed, while according to the CPR proposal the name and surname of the beneficiary should be removed two years from the date of the initial publication on the website. According to the AML regulatory framework information should be stored for 5 years. It is suggested to streamline the regulatory framework and to make information publicly available for at least 5 years. The proposed changes would relate to the CPR proposal and the Financial Regulation.

The most sensitive part of the information disclosure relates to natural persons. The AML Directive on natural persons requires the disclosure of information that is not disclosed under EU funds management including: name, surname, the month and year of birth, the country of residence and nationality. However it still does not allow to identify a natural person as no identification number is used. No changes are suggested towards natural person's identification number disclosure in order to respect GDPR requirements. However, the proposed changes would relate to streamlining AML and EU funds management regulatory framework by publicly disclosing the following information: name, surname, the month and year of birth, and the country of residence. It is suggested not to disclose nationality under EU funds regulatory framework. In addition, a clearer link could be established between the regulatory framework of EU fund management (on EU and national level) and GDPR by requiring the EU funds beneficiary to give consent to the processing and disclosing of personal information prior to entering the contract. The proposed change would relate to the CPR proposal.

In many cases the information on the beneficiary's location will allow to better identify a beneficiary, but the territorial aspect (NUTS level) is differently defined in the regulatory framework. For instance, in the Financial Regulation the disclosure of NUTS2 level information is required for natural persons, in CAP (Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013) municipality level information is required, while in the CPR (Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013) a postcode. In the CPR proposal the operation location is required for legal persons and NUTS2 level location for natural persons. It is recommended to streamline requirements on territorial information disclosure. The operation location should be required for all

projects as it could be used for the evaluation of the project's impact; and municipality and NUTS2 level information should be requested for beneficiaries.

The EU funds are received by the beneficiaries but, in many cases, the projects are implemented by the project implementers, therefore, for transparency reasons and in order to get an information on final recipients of EU funding, it is suggested to link the beneficiaries' data with data on project implementers and to provide transparency reports on funds received by the project implementers. The proposed changes would relate to the CPR proposal.

4.1.3. Technical aspects of data disclosure

This section focuses on the technical aspects of the data collection and disclosure. It includes a description of different systems developed and used at Member State and EU level.

Beneficiaries – Cohesion funds

The European Commission has a common platform for projects financed by ERDF and CF for EU funds programming period 2014-2020³⁰, which includes links to the websites managed by the Member States. Member States are responsible for providing information. The information disclosed should include at least the name of beneficiary, the activity and the amount of public funding allocated. The Member State websites and the information included in national project databases are in national languages and, in some cases, summary information is provided in English. There are more than 200 operational programmes (national and regional) for EU funds in the programming period 2014 – 2020³¹ and in many cases there are separate project databases for each operational programme.

There is an initiative to have consolidated project information at EU level, including development of a common EU level database with all projects from all Member States.

The main objective of the pilot project by the European Commission on the development of a **common project database** is to use this information for planning, supervising and monitoring purposes. At the beginning of 2021, there is a pilot project including six Member States: Ireland, Denmark, Poland, Czech Republic, Italy and France. The plan is to move from the pilot phase to the main project and the main project to be completed by the end of 2021. The objective is to have the system fully operational for the new Multiannual Financial Framework for the programming period 2021 – 2027. The project will achieve this by standardization of information and data formats used by Member States. The legal basis for information consolidation is Common Provision Regulation EU 1303/2013 (Article 115 and Annex XII). As the database includes several EU funds (ERAF, CF, ESF), more data fields were added including fund, programme name, themes (inferred from the category intervention), programming period, and region (inferred from the programme).

Beneficiaries - CAP funds

The European Commission has a common platform providing links to the Member States websites³². The content of these websites is the sole responsibility of the Member State concerned. They publish details of the beneficiaries of CAP payments including the name of beneficiary, municipality, the breakdown of the amounts of payments for each individual measure, amount received by the

³⁰ https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/atlas/beneficiaries

³¹ https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/atlas/programmes

³² https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/key-policies/common-agricultural-policy/financing-cap/financial-assurance/beneficiaries_en

beneficiary, and description of the measure. After publication, the information is available for two years. The information is made available in national languages and in different data formats.

Owners and beneficial owners of the companies

According to Directive (EU) 2018/843 (AMLD V), Member States should develop central registers in which companies' beneficial ownership information is set out. There must be one national central register per Member State and an interconnection of Member States' central registers holding beneficial ownership information through the European Central Platform. The Commission shall adopt by means of implementing acts technical specifications and procedures necessary to provide for the interconnection of Member States' central registers (see Annex 3).

Member States were required to set up beneficial ownership registers for companies and other legal entities by 10 January 2020 and for trusts and similar legal arrangements by 10 March 2020. Central registers should be interconnected via the European Central Platform by 10 March 2021.

By June 2020³³, 23 Member States have created central registers of beneficial owners. Some of the registers are publicly available, for instance, Sweden and Denmark. Some registers are not publicly available, for instance, France and Spain. Some Member States have not yet developed registers: Lithuania, Romania, Hungary, and Italy.

Project implementers

Public procurement results are published in the national or regional procurement systems. Above certain limits, national procurement information also is included in TED (Tenders Electronic Daily),³⁴ which is the online version of the 'Supplement to the Official Journal' of the EU, dedicated to European public procurement.

According to the Public Procurement Directive for each award, the name, the address, the fact that the contract is related to a project financed by EU funds, and other information is to be disclosed. The information is disclosed in the national procurement system, TED, or both. There is no requirement to disclose owner and beneficial owner of the company (award recipient).

4.1.4. Technical barriers to obtaining and disclosing information

Based on the review of national databases and interviews with different stakeholders, the main technical barriers to obtaining and disclosing information on beneficiaries, project implementers, owners and beneficial owners of the companies are listed below. The technical barriers mainly relate to the data stored in the systems (machine readability, accessibility, findability and reusability), interoperability of systems, data formats, data exchange and storage platforms, ways of granting and managing data access.

An important tool to further improve the transparency of EU funds would be the information included in the national central registers on beneficial owners of companies. However, the information on owners and beneficial owners of companies is not available in the national central registers of all Member States. Even in case this information is recorded in a national central register, not all Member States make the information available to the general public. Therefore, it is important to complete the implementation of the requirements defined in the AMLD V. The Common European Platform to link national central registers on owners and beneficial owners is to be operational by March 2021 and it

³³ <https://medium.com/transparent-data-eng/ultimate-beneficial-owners-registers-in-the-eu-2020-5a868e3ff0>

³⁴ <https://ted.europa.eu/TED/misc/aboutTed.do>

will further support information disclosure not only about beneficiaries in each Member State but also across EU.

An important step to further increase data transparency and beneficiary data consolidation across different funds and different Member States would be the development of a common EU level database for all EU funded projects under ESIF. The European Commission initiated a pilot project and an EU level common database is under development, therefore it is very important to continue this initiative. The main technical challenges in relation to the development of a common EU level project database are: the project information recorded in the national databases of EU funded project is stored in different formats and data fields, and the information is available in national languages. The technical issues could be solved by developing guidelines for the Member States and providing training on these guidelines. There will be many benefits to a common EU level database, including beneficiary data consolidation across different funds and EU Member States, therefore standard reports and data request scenarios could be developed to further enhance transparency.

As stated earlier, EU funds are received by beneficiaries but project implementers can realise the projects financed by EU funds, therefore it is important to collect information not only on beneficiaries of EU financed projects but also on the project implementers. However, there is no automatic link between EU funded project databases and the public procurement databases, where the project implementers' information is published. Therefore an automatic link between those two databases would allow to further improve transparency over the recipients of EU funds.

In addition, there is no automatic link between databases of EU funded projects (EU or national level) and national central registers on owners and beneficial owners of the companies. In order to increase transparency the next step would be to provide the information not only about beneficiaries and project implementers but also the beneficial owners of beneficiaries and project implementers. In order to provide this level of transparency three databases would need to be linked: databases of EU funded projects, national or regional public procurement databases and national central registers on beneficial owners of the companies.

Part of the ESIF funds is implemented by using financial instruments, however there are no requirements regarding information disclosure on financial instruments such as equity or quasi-equity; loan; guarantee; ancillary support. It is recommended to develop data disclosure requirements for financial instruments, for instance, based on the type of financial instrument and amount of financing provided.

4.1.5. Overview of data availability and disclosure for CAP and Cohesion funds

Based on the regulatory framework analysis, a review of EU funded project databases at EU and national level, and interviews with different stakeholders, the overview of the current situation in relation to information disclosure for CAP and Cohesion funds is presented in the table below.

Table 4.1 Summary of data availability and disclosure

Data level	Project data / direct beneficiary	Ultimate beneficiary	Project implementer	(Beneficial) owner of the project implementer
Data availability for transparency purposes				
Project or beneficiary level	Project data does not include a unique identifier (company or natural person)	National central register on beneficial owners, not directly linked to databases of EU-funded projects	National public procurement database not directly linked to databases of EU-funded projects	National central register on beneficial owners not directly linked to public procurement database
Aggregated data (beneficiary, owner or beneficial owner)	-	-	-	-
Data availability for audit and control (national and EU level)				
Project or beneficiary level	✓	National central register on beneficial owners, not directly linked to databases of EU-funded projects	National public procurement database not directly linked to databases of EU-funded projects	National central register on beneficial owners not directly linked to public procurement database
Aggregated data (beneficiary, owner or beneficial owner)	Country specific	Country specific	Country specific	Country specific
Data availability for monitoring and evaluation (national and EU level)				
Project or beneficiary level data	National databases of EU-funded projects	Not needed for evaluation	Not needed for evaluation	Not needed for evaluation
Aggregated data (owner or beneficial owner)	Country specific	Not needed for evaluation	Not needed for evaluation	Not needed for evaluation

Source: Authors' elaboration.

4.2. Possibilities to enhance transparency

In this section several proposals for improvement of the transparency are presented. The proposals are based on the assessment of the current regulatory framework and proposals for new regulatory framework, practices applied by the Member States, EU guidance on GDPR, review of national web pages of databases of EU-funded projects and interviews carried out.

The recommendations are divided between legal and technical aspects, where technical aspects can be addressed at national and EU level.

4.2.1. Common EU funds financed project database

Recommendations listed in the table below relate to a common EU funds financed project database developed at EU level and including EU funded project data from all Member States.

Table 4.2 Recommendations for creation of common EU funds financing database

Recommendation	Legal	Technical national level	Technical EU level
Project and beneficiary information			
To develop a common project database at EU level on all projects financed by CF, ESF, EAIFRD, EAGF, EMFF and ERDF by all Member States		√	√
To make the data available for control and audit purposes (to Member State and EU authorities), for monitoring and evaluation purposes, and for data transparency. To provide data search function for different parameters and standard reports.			
To decide on the data to be disclosed to the general public, for instance, beneficiary, project, project objective, funding received, locality of the project, project implementer, beneficial owner of the beneficiary. For natural persons their name, surname, municipality, year and month of birth.	√	√	√
The information in the database to be open, machine readable, accessible, findable, and re-usable in the format set up by Directive 2019/1024 on Open data	√	√	√
To set common data storage and disclosure requirements in the national EU funded project databases for Member States authorities, for instance, project identifiers, currencies, alphabets, etc.	√	√	√
To set the company registration number or VAT registration number as mandatory information under data transparency.	√	√	√
To obtain and disclose the information on the type of beneficiary, for instance, public authority (national, regional level), NGO, foundation, etc.			
To decide whether information should be collected in national languages and machine translated to English or other methods should be applied to ensure that the same database can be used at both national and EU level.	√	√	√
To decide whether data could be downloaded from a common EU database or only viewed by the general public. Data download possibilities to be provided to EU and national authorities.	√	√	√

Recommendation	Legal	Technical national level	Technical EU level
Data to be accessible for at least 5 years for monitoring (audit, control, and transparency) and for an evaluation of a longer period of time.	✓		
To consolidate in the analytical reports the information at beneficiary and beneficial owner level under different EU funds at Member State level and EU level. To use the company registration number as a unique identifier to consolidate data at beneficiary level.		✓	✓

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The recommendations listed above relate to complex action steps including legal and technical issues and some of them have already been started, for instance, the European Commission project on a common EU level database on projects financed by EU funds.

The highest priority could be given to actions related to technical issues at national and EU level. The first priority would be the to continue the work on the development of a common EU level project database including the development of technical guidance and training of Member States authorities.

The development of a common EU level project database could be linked with the proposed changes to the regulatory framework by defining what type of data from a common EU level project database to be available to the general public for transparency purposes, including the development of standard reports available to the general public. The changes to the regulatory framework could relate to changes to the CPR proposal, for instance Article 44, amending the requirement to include a company registration number or VAT number, a location indicator – NUTS2 and municipality level.

4.2.2. Project implementers, owners and beneficial owners of the companies

The recommendations listed in the table below relate to different aspects of transparency improvement on beneficiaries, beneficial owners, project implementers and their beneficial owners.

Table 4.3 Recommendations for transparency improvement

Recommendation	Legal	Technical national level	Technical EU level
Project and beneficiary information			
To harmonise the project and beneficiary data disclosure requirements between EU funds under direct and shared management.	✓		
To harmonise the project and beneficiary data disclosure requirements between ERDF, CF, ESF, EAFRD, EAGF, EMFF and the Financial Regulation.	✓		
To set the minimum amount for disclosure obligations of beneficiaries receiving small amounts. Possibility to harmonize:	✓		

Recommendation	Legal	Technical national level	Technical EU level
reduce or remove the requirement in full or only retain requirement for natural persons.			
To decide whether and under which conditions data is to be disclosed regarding financial instruments, including beneficiaries and beneficial owners.	✓		
To inform beneficiaries at the time for funding application about project, beneficiary and beneficial owner data use for control and transparency. Requirements could be included in national legal acts and also in the agreements with beneficiaries.	✓		
Beneficial owners			
To streamline information disclosure requirements on beneficial owners between AML regulatory framework, Financial Regulation and EU funds management regulatory framework proposal.	✓		
Institutions involved in EU funds management to get access to national central platforms on beneficial owners of the companies.	✓		
To complete AML Directives implementation in all Member States by developing national central registers of beneficial owners and integrating national central registers in a common European Central Platform.		✓	
Irregularities			
To develop a common system at Member State and EU level to record identified risks and irregularities in relation to EU funds management.			✓
To use different data mining and artificial intelligence tools to identify irregularities, for instance, applying those tools to a common database of EU-funded projects at EU level, to develop standard reports.		✓	✓
Project implementers			
To consolidate at national level the information on project implementers and beneficial owners under different EU programs, including ERDF, CF, ESF, EAFRD, EAGF, EMFF.		✓	
To consolidate at EU level the information on project implementers and beneficial owners under different EU programs, including ERDF, CF, ESF, EAFRD, EAGF, EMFF.		✓	✓
To decide whether an automated link is to be provided between national and regional procurement systems and EU funded project database.	✓	✓	

Recommendation	Legal	Technical national level	Technical EU level
To decide whether EU funded project implementer data to be automatically linked with national central registers of beneficial owners of the companies.	√	√	

Source: Authors' elaboration.

The suggested steps to improve transparency relate to the long-term objectives and significant changes to the regulatory framework of EU funds management are needed. The streamlining of information disclosure requirements between different EU funds managed under direct and shared management could not be achieved in the short term. The streamlining of the data disclosure requirements under ESIF is achieved by the CPR proposal, however there are different regulatory frameworks for EU funds management under direct management, therefore it will require a longer period of time and many legal changes to streamline the regulatory framework for EU funds management under shared and direct management. A detailed review of the regulatory basis for EU projects under direct management is not subject to this analytical study.

The same issues highlighted above relate to other regulatory framework changes, for instance, the changes to the Financial Regulation where the requirement for company identification via a company registration number could be included, but it should be taken into account that the Financial Regulation covers all aspects of EU budget and changes could be implemented when there is a decision to amend the Financial Regulation.

At the same time there are certain action steps which could be implemented in a shorter time frame. These mostly relate to the technical aspects at Member State level, for instance, identification of risks and irregularities at national level, to use the data mining and artificial intelligence tools and to consolidate information at national level about projects financed by different EU funds.

In addition, amendments could be submitted to the CPR proposal to set the limit under which information does not need to be disclosed, to define the information to be disclosed, the limits for disclosure under financial instruments, the requirements to disclose owners and beneficial owners of the companies and other changes.

5. PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

KEY FINDINGS

There are about 292 reporting systems disclosing beneficiary information of CAP and Cohesion Policy. The large majority of the reporting systems meets the legal requirements, nevertheless it is currently difficult to aggregate the beneficiary information. Besides the large number of reporting systems, also the difficulty in determining the overlap, obtaining the data from the reporting systems and differences in disclosed information form major obstacles.

It is currently *de facto* impossible to identify the largest ultimate beneficiaries of EU funds with full confidence. There are many reporting systems that do not include natural person and company identification numbers or other indicators that allow precise identification. Indicators of the types of beneficiaries that allow to identify some ultimate beneficiaries directly are exceptional across the identified reporting systems. The ultimate beneficial ownership information is currently not (publicly) available.

In line with these findings, the main legal barriers are the lack of requirements to disclose indicators that allow the unique identification of natural persons and matching with the ultimate beneficiary ownership databases created according to anti-money laundering (AML) rules.

Note: this is a pre-release version of the study. The conclusions and policy recommendations may change based on the final results of the research to be presented in the final version.

5.1. Conclusions

This report aimed to identify the largest ultimate beneficiaries of both CAP and Cohesion Policy in the EU27 and the UK based on the existing publicly disclosed direct beneficiary information. This has proved very challenging given primarily the fragmentation of the reporting systems and the limited information allowing to identify ultimate beneficiaries.

In total 292 reporting systems covering the implementation of the CAP and the Cohesion Policy in EU Member States and the UK were identified. Indeed, the CAP beneficiaries are disclosed in 28 central reporting systems at national level. The approximately 5 million direct beneficiaries annually disclosed with the full name, municipality, amount and measure information, could on about half of the reporting systems be downloaded using machine readable spreadsheets. The other half of the reporting systems required web-scraping, which often depended on the search results. These are in a substantial number of reporting systems limited by maximum number of search results, CAPTCHAs, search requirements and limitations in the display of the results. Nevertheless is it possible to gather all or nearly all direct beneficiaries.

For the Cohesion Policy, obtaining the direct beneficiary information from the reporting systems is more straightforward. There are downloadable machine-readable spreadsheets available basically for all the reporting systems. In turn, the information is much more fragmented. There are over 250 reporting systems disclosing direct beneficiaries of the Cohesion Policy. These cover the direct beneficiaries at regional, national, inter-regional and EU level. However, it is not always clear how the platforms relate, partially due to the lack of unique identifiers for OPs and the absence of a single database. According to the analysis conducted, most of the national beneficiaries of Cohesion Policy

are aggregated in at least one central national reporting systems. Nevertheless there are in total six countries offering no central national reporting systems, which requires the collection of information from tens of additional platforms. Notwithstanding these challenges, it proved to be possible to collect all the direct beneficiaries and aggregate the information in a single database.

By contrast, it is currently impossible to obtain a fully accurate list of ultimate beneficiaries of either CAP or Cohesion Policy. The CAP and Cohesion Policy reporting systems are not legally required and also do not contain information on the ultimate beneficiaries. However, about half of the Cohesion Policy reporting systems disclose the national identification number of the beneficiaries, which are unique and perfectly matching, unlike names of natural persons, partnerships or limited liability companies. The identification numbers are available in more reporting systems, but they do not publish this data. Additionally, the reporting systems indicate only in exceptional cases the types of beneficiaries (company, public, natural person, etc.), which would make the identification of ultimate beneficiaries easier. The information on the direct beneficiary information needs to be matched to company databases with ownership information, to determine the ultimate beneficiaries. These databases do not contain accurate and up-to-date ownership information on all companies.

5.2. Policy recommendations

To overcome the current fragmentation and challenges in identifying the ultimate beneficiaries of EU funds, both the reporting systems as well as the indicators would have to be reviewed.

The creation of a common database at EU level covering all projects financed by CF, ESF, EAFRD, EAGF, EMFF and ERDF would overcome the fragmentation. There has already been a pilot project initiated to develop a common EU level database on all EU funded projects, which is not publicly disclosed. In order to create a uniform database with all direct beneficiaries, the disclosure requirements need to be harmonised and provided in the same format. This needs to be open, machine readable, accessible, findable, and re-usable, fulfilling the Open data requirements.

A common EU database does not necessarily need to cover ultimate beneficiary information based on information retrieved from the reporting systems, which might be too burdensome to keep up-to-date. The ownership relations can in some cases be quite complex and requiring specific expertise that most payment agencies currently are unlikely to possess. Besides that it might incur additional costs for the payment agencies, the ultimate beneficiary information might be more prone to errors than in the specialised databases. Moreover, the use of the proposed approach will avoid duplication of administrative procedures.

In order to, nevertheless, relatively easily identify the ultimate beneficiaries, it is essential that the common EU database includes the type of beneficiary (especially distinction between company and non-company) and identifiers to link the common EU database to the databases with ultimate beneficiary information. Both the identifiers on the companies and the other organisations should be matched to the unique corporate and natural person identifiers in the newly created databases under the AML legislation (company registration number, VAT registration number, etc.). The type of beneficiary eases the linking/matching as for instance natural persons can only be ultimate beneficiary owner and not a company, while companies can be both. The type of beneficiary is for some types already sufficient to identify the ultimate beneficiaries.

There is also a need to define obligations concerning the minimum disclosure amounts for Cohesion Policy, to avoid complete anonymization. Expanding the disclosure period for CAP from 2 to at least 5

years would allow sufficient time for audit, control, and public scrutiny. To protect the privacy of the beneficiaries, they should be informed at the time of their application for funding about the use of project, beneficiary and beneficial owner data for control and transparency purposes.

REFERENCES

- EC (2020), COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL. Data protection as a pillar of citizens' empowerment and the EU's approach to the digital transition - two years of application of the General Data Protection Regulation, European Commission, available at: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52020SC0115>
- ECA (2018), "Transparency of EU funds implemented by NGOs: more effort needed", European Court of Auditors, available at: https://www.eca.europa.eu/Lists/ECADocuments/SR18_35/SR_NGO_FUNDING_EN.pdf
- ECA (2019), 2019 Annual reports, European Court of Auditors, available at: https://www.eca.europa.eu/Lists/ECADocuments/annualreports-2019/annualreports-2019_EN.pdf
- EDPB (2019), Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects - version adopted after public consultation, European Data Protection Board, available at: https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/our-documents/smjernice/guidelines-22019-processing-personal-data-under-article-61b_en
- EDPB (2020), Guidelines 05/2020 on consent under Regulation 2016/679, European Data Protection Board, available at: https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/our-documents/guidelines/guidelines-052020-consent-under-regulation-2016679_en
- ENISA (2017), "Privacy and data protection in mobile applications. A study on the app development ecosystem and the technical implementation of GDPR", European Commission, available at: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5d1a8d45-0af0-11e8-966a-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196990>
- ENISA (2018), "Handbook on security of personal data processing", European Commission, available at: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1a860879-1dce-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196923>
- ENISA (2018), "Recommendations on shaping technology according to GDPR provisions. Exploring the notion of data protection by default", European Commission, available at: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/a8e7a463-29c5-11e9-8d04-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-146196990>
- ESF (2019), The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and ESF, European Social Fund, available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/704535/018-18_General_Data_Protection_Regulation__GDPR__and_ESF.pdf
- Funduszy Europejskich (2020), General rules for the processing of personal data as part of European Funds, Funduszy Europejskich, available at: <https://www.funduszeeuropejskie.gov.pl/strony/o-funduszach/ogolne-zasady-przetwarzania-danych-osobowych-w-ramach-funduszy-europejskich/>
- Funduszy Europejskich (2020), Information on the processing of personal data on the websites of the Ministry of Funds and Regional Policy, Funduszy Europejskich, available at: <https://www.funduszeeuropejskie.gov.pl/strony/klauzula-informacyjna-ministerstwa/>

- Likumi, Procedures for Monitoring and Evaluating the Implementation of the European Union Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund, as well as Establishing and Using the Cohesion Policy Funds Management Information System for 2014–2020, Likumi, available at: <https://likumi.lv/ta/id/272807#p18>
- Medium (2020), Ultimate Beneficial Owners Registers in the EU 2020, Medium, available at: <https://medium.com/transparent-data-eng/ultimate-beneficial-owners-registers-in-the-eu-2020-5a868e3ff0>
- PwC (2016), "Stock-taking of administrative capacity, systems and practices across the EU to ensure the compliance and quality of public procurement involving European Structural and Investment (ESI) Funds", European Commission, available at: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/60bf8ebc-0204-11e6-b713-01aa75ed71a1>

ANNEX 1. SCORE CARD

Indicator	Categories	Comment
General information		
Name		
Organisation responsible		
Type	Government agency/Ministry/Other (specify)	
Country		
Website		
Type of funds covered	CAP/Cohesion Policy	
Type of funds covered (detailed)	CAP: (EAGF / EAIFRD) Cohesion policy: (ERDF, CF)	
Does the database cover additional policies besides the CAP/Cohesion Policy	No, Common Fisheries Policies, Other (specify)	
Language (more than one possible)	BG, ES, CS, DA, DE, ET, EL, EN, FR, GA, HR, IT, LV, LT, HU, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SK, SL, FI, SV, Other (please specify)	According to the CAP Implementing Act the information should be reported in the official language of the Member State and or at least one of the working languages of the Commission (DE, FR, EN). Cohesion funds in one official language of the Member State
Currency (more than one possible)	EUR, BGN, CZK, DKK, HRK, HUF, PLN, RON, SEK, GBP, Other (please specify)	According to the CAP Implementing Act the amounts should be reported in the national currencies. No indication for Cohesion funds.
Years covered	2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, Other	According to the CAP Regulation should the websites cover 2 years of information for the CAP.
Years covered in single database	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Format	Web-based/Excel/Other (specify)	
Name of evaluator (CEPS)		
Date of obtaining the information		
Data provided		<i>Anonymised answers under the CAP will be excluded in the assessment of the compliance in line with the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act</i>
Name		<i>No obligation for structural funds.</i>
First name and the surname (natural person)	Non-compliant	Neither the first nor the surname are provided [e.g. initials instead of full name] (<5%)
	Partially compliant	Full first name and surname are only provided for some (5-50%)
	Largely compliant	Full first name and surname are provided for most (50-95%)

Indicator	Categories	Comment
	Compliant	Full first name and surname are provided for all (>95%) [e.g. initials instead of full name]
	Not applicable	No natural persons among the beneficiaries
Full legal name as registered (legal person with the autonomous legal personality)	Non-compliant	Similar to the above
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Full name of the association as registered or otherwise officially recognised (association without an own legal personality)	Non-compliant	Similar to the above
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Address		
Municipality name (resident / registered)	Non-compliant	Similar to the above
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Postal code	Non-compliant	Similar to above - Only required according to the CAP Regulation when available.
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Province	Non-compliant	Not required according to the CAP Regulation
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Country	Non-compliant	Required for Cohesion funds
	Partially compliant	
	Largely compliant	
	Compliant	
	Not applicable	
Funds paid		
Funds by measure and beneficiary	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Funds by fund and beneficiary	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Funds by beneficiary	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Funds by beneficiary provide both total and amounts by measure	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation.
Funds financed by EAFRD include both EU and national contributions (CAP only)	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	

Indicator	Categories	Comment
Total eligible expenditure allocated to the operation	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	Required for Cohesion funds
Union co-financing rate, as per priority axis	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	Required for Cohesion funds
Operation		Exclusively for Cohesion funds
Operation name	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Operation summary	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Operation start date	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Operation end date	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
The headings of the data fields shall be provided in at least one other official language of the Union.	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Measures financed		
Description of the measure financed	Yes / No / Unclear (specify) Full name / Acronym / Number of measure / Other (specify)	
Identifiers		
Does the database provide any identification numbers?	Yes / No / Unclear (specify) VAT Number / ID Number / Registration Number / Other (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Other indicators		
Are there any other indicators included in the database?	Yes (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	
Sorting offered		Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Sorting offered	Yes, on all entries / Yes, on search results / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	
Name	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Municipality	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Postal code	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Province	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Measure	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Fund	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Amount	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	
Searching possibilities offered		
Name	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	CAP Implementing Act requires search tool with at least either name, municipality or amount searchable.
Municipality	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	CAP Implementing Act requires search tool with at least either name, municipality or amount searchable.

Indicator	Categories	Comment
Postal code	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Province	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Measure	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Fund	Yes, both exact and partial / Yes, dropdown / Yes, multiple choice / Yes, only exact / Yes, only partial / Yes, other (specify) / No / Unclear (specify)	Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Amount	Yes / No / Unclear (specify)	CAP Implementing Act requires search tool with at least either name, municipality or amount searchable.
	Exact amount / Min / Max / All / Range	
Anonymization		
Minimum annual threshold for publication of funds	[Amount] / Not applicable	
Are the anonymised natural persons included in the overview?	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Are the anonymised natural persons indicated with a code?	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Data protection/Privacy		Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Description of data processing in connection with data protection legislation	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Link to data protection authority	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Does the website provide a clear indication about the applicable licensing rules?	Yes (specify)/No/Unclear (specify)	Required for Cohesion funds only
Accessibility		Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Does the website provide any tools to ease the use of the database and the included data?	No/Manual/Glossary/Other (specify)	
Does the website offer the possibility to download the data?	Yes (full – specify), Yes (partially – specify), No	
	Excel, CSV, DTA, Other (specify)	

Indicator	Categories	Comment
Does the website provide a list of operations by operational programme and by Fund? [Cohesion funds only]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Does the website provide information about all operational programmes in the Member State? [Cohesion funds required]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Contact possibility		Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Email address or contact form	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Phone-number	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
EU funding		
Is the EU emblem visible on the website? [Cohesion funds required]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Is the EU emblem the largest logo on the website? [Cohesion funds required]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Is there a need to scroll down the page? [Cohesion funds required]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Is there a reference to the relevant fund included? [Cohesion funds required]	Yes/No/Unclear (specify)	
Other information		Not required according to the CAP Regulation and Implementing Act.
Does the website provide an overview of the total funds paid?	Yes (total only)/Yes (total and by segment)/Yes (other – specify)/No/Unclear (specify)	
Does the website provide any other information related to the CAP or Cohesion funds	Yes (specify)/No/Unclear (specify)	
How frequently is the data updated? [Cohesion funds only]		<i>Minimum every six months</i>
Date of last update of the list of operations: [Cohesion funds required]	Date	

For the assessment of the reporting systems (see Chapter 3) both the website in the national language as well as Commission working language were considered. Moreover, only the part of the website that covers the database will be considered when the database forms part of a website with other information.

ANNEX 2. INTERVIEW GUIDE

Introduction

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this interview on the control and transparency of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) and Structural Funds beneficiaries. Both areas represent the two largest EU spending areas. In 2020, more than two-thirds of the commitments and payments are for economic, social and territorial cohesion policies and the CAP.

This interview will provide input for the assessment of the current control and transparency of the beneficiary information and potential for improvement. Under the service contract "the largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU member state of cap and Cohesion funds" (IP/D/ALL/FWC/2020-001), this study aims at:

- Providing a concrete list of the largest 50 beneficiaries (as natural person) in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion funds;
- Assess the systems that have been set up at EU and Member State level to identify receivers and end beneficiaries of funds;
- Provide an overview of data protection regulations or other regulations that might prevent that data concerning payments to companies and natural persons are made public and/or are given to authorities responsible for insuring the sound management of EU funds; and
- Come up with proposals for improvements to the present set of rules and regulations and the existing systems in order to increase transparency and accountability concerning funds being paid out in support of the EU's Common Agricultural Policy and the structural funds.

The objective of this interview is to collect views on the current transparency practices and possibilities to improve the transparency.

The data of this interview are treated according to GDPR policy. No personal information will be shared, published or kept for longer than the study period. All responses will be assessed and treated anonymously.

In case of any unclarities or questions, please do not hesitate to contact the project coordinator for this interview (NAME - EMAIL).

About interviewer

1. Name:
2. Interview date:

About interviewee

3. First name:
4. Surname:
5. E-mail address:
6. Name of organization:
7. Country:
8. Type of interview (phone/video/physical):

Identifying elements for identification of best practice(s)

9. To what extent are the following elements important for transparency and accountability of the CAP and Cohesion funds? Please note if you see a difference between CAP and Cohesion funds.

	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	DK/NA
National language (web page and beneficiary database)						
English (web page and beneficiary database)						
Other foreign languages (web page and beneficiary database)						
Collection in single database (instead of one database for each year / different types of projects)						
Name of beneficiary						
Address of beneficiary						
Details on funds paid						
Identifiers (registration number, etc.)						
Ability to sort information (per fund, per specific support measure, etc.)						
Ability to search the information						
Publication of receivers of small amounts						
Explanation on data process and appeal to data protection						
Tools to ease the use of the database (glossary, manual, etc.)						
Ability to download the data						
Contact responsible authority						
Overview of the total funds paid (key information)						
<i>Other (please specify)</i>						
..						
..						

Please elaborate

10. The standardisation of which of the above mentioned element(s) would benefit the transparency and accountability of the CAP and Cohesion funds across the EU most?
11. To what extent could standardisation benefit the transparency and accountability of the CAP and Cohesion funds across the EU?
12. Are there any (national) specificities that should be considered would the national databases be standardized?

Existing legal barriers

13. To what extent is the EU legislation limiting the possibility to publish information on beneficiaries? (e.g. full identification of natural persons via personal identification number which is considered as confidential information under GDPR by some of the Member States) (Provide legislation, motivation for the barrier, difference across funds, differences across types of receivers).
14. To what extent is the EU legislation limiting the possibility to exchange information with EU institutions (CAP regulation, GDPR, etc.)? (Provide legislation, motivation for the barrier, difference across funds, differences across types of receivers).
15. To what extent is the national legislation limiting the possibility to publish information (CAP regulation, GDPR, etc.)? (Provide legislation, motivation for the barrier, difference across funds, differences across types of receivers).
16. To what extent is the national legislation limiting the possibility to exchange information with EU institutions (CAP regulation, GDPR, etc.)? (Provide legislation, motivation for the barrier, difference across funds, differences across types of receivers).

Changes to transparency rules

17. What are your views about the following changes to enhance the transparency about EU financial support receivers - beneficiaries?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	DK/NA
To harmonise the requirements for information disclosure about beneficiaries between EU funds under direct and shared management						
To harmonise the requirements for information disclosure about beneficiaries between different EU funds – ERDF, CF, ESF, EAGF, EAFRD and EMFF. Harmonisation includes the information to be disclosed and the period for data disclosure						
To provide not only information on the immediate beneficiaries but also the ultimate beneficiaries						
To expand the information provided in the database, including:						
• National registration number to ease the identification of companies, public bodies and/or natural persons;						
• Type of beneficiary;						
To extend the disclosure period beyond the current two years period for CAP funds (Expanding the disclosure period based on the current disclosure practices or with anonymisation of the natural persons receiving funds)						
To complement the current disclosure at national level with centralised database at EU-level						
To have a more harmonised or prescriptive presentation of the information.						
Other (please specify)						
..						
..						

Please elaborate your replies

ANNEX 3. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Data on beneficiaries - Financial Regulation

According to Article 38 of the Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046³⁵ (Financial Regulation) the European Commission shall make available, in an appropriate and timely manner, information on recipients of funds financed from the budget. The following information shall be published:

- Name of the recipient (for prizes, grants and contracts which have been awarded as a result of contests, grant award procedures or procurement procedures, and for experts selected);
- Locality of the recipient, namely:
 - the address of the recipient when the recipient is a legal person;
 - the region on NUTS 2 level when the recipient is a natural person;
- Amount legally committed;
- Nature and purpose of the measure.

The Article 38 (3) of the Financial Regulation defines exemptions when the name of the recipient shall not be published:

- Education supports paid to natural persons and other direct support paid to natural persons most in need (study, research, training or education support paid to natural persons; direct support paid to natural persons most in need, such as unemployed persons and refugees);
- Very low value contracts awarded to remunerated experts as well as very low value contracts below EUR 15 000;
- Financial support provided through financial instruments for an amount lower than EUR 500 000;
- Where disclosure risks threatening the rights and freedoms of the persons or entities concerned as protected by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union or harming the commercial interests of the recipients.

Where personal data are published, the information shall be removed two years after the end of the financial year in which the funds were legally committed. This shall also apply to personal data referring to legal persons whose official name identifies one or more natural persons.

The scope of the study includes financing received by beneficiaries in the time period before 2018, therefore the requirements included in the previous Financial Regulation also were assessed. According to the Article 35 of the Financial Regulation (EU, EURATOM) No 966/2012³⁶, the European Commission shall make available, in an appropriate and timely manner, information on recipients, as well as the nature and purpose of the measure financed from the budget, and information on recipients as provided by the entities, persons and Member States to which budget implementation tasks are entrusted under other methods of implementation. This information shall be made available with due observance of the requirements of confidentiality and security, in particular the protection of personal data. Where natural persons are concerned, the publication shall be limited to the name and locality of the recipient, the amount awarded and the purpose of the award. The disclosure of those data shall be based on relevant criteria such as the periodicity of award, or the type or importance of the award. The

³⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32018R1046>

³⁶ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2012:298:0001:0096:EN:PDF>

criteria for disclosure and the level of detail published shall take into account the specificities of the sector and of each method of implementation.

The current and previous Financial Regulation require **to disclose the name and locality** of the EU funds recipient. The Financial Regulation also highlights situations when information is not to be disclosed, for instance when the amount of funds received is below a certain limit. However, the information disclosure requirements included in the Financial Regulation **do not require a unique identification number for natural persons**, for instance, by providing personal identification numbers. The Financial Regulation **does not require disclosing the owner and the beneficial owner of the company**.

Data on beneficial owners – 4th Anti-Money Laundering Directive

The Directive (EU) 2015/849³⁷ (4th AML Directive) on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing requires to make registers of ultimate beneficiary owners of the companies. According to Article 30, Member States shall ensure that corporate and other legal entities incorporated within their territory are required to obtain and hold adequate, accurate and current information on their ultimate beneficial ownership, including the details of the ultimate beneficial interests held. Member States shall require that the information can be accessed in a timely manner by competent authorities. Access to the information on beneficial ownership shall be in accordance with the data protection rules.

Data on beneficial owners – 5th Anti-Money Laundering Directive

The Directive EU 2015/849 sets out the requirements for Member States to collect information on ultimate beneficial ownership, while the Directive 2018/843 (the 5th AML Directive) places an emphasis on data availability on ultimate beneficial ownership to any member of general public.

According to the Directive (EU) 2018/843³⁸ (recital 33), Member States should allow access to beneficial ownership information on corporate and other legal entities in a sufficiently coherent and coordinated way, through the central registers in which beneficial ownership information is set out, by establishing a clear rule of public access, so that third parties are able to ascertain, throughout the Union, who are the beneficial owners of corporate and other legal entities.

Recital (35) - the information on beneficial ownership remains available through the national registers and through the system of interconnection of registers for a minimum of five years. It should also be possible for Member States to require online registration in order to identify any person who requests information from the register, as well as the payment of a fee for access to the information in the register.

Recital (37) – there must be an interconnection of Member States' central registers holding beneficial ownership information through the European Central Platform

Recital (38) - Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (10) applies to the processing of personal data under this Directive. As a consequence, natural persons whose personal data are held in national registers as beneficial owners should be informed accordingly.

Article 5 - Member States shall ensure that the information on the beneficial ownership is accessible in all cases to: a) competent authorities and Financial Intelligence Units, without any restriction; b) obliged

³⁷ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32015L0849>

³⁸ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32018L0843>

entities, within the framework of customer due diligence; c) any member of the general public. The persons referred to in point (c) shall be permitted to access at least the name, the month and year of birth and the country of residence and nationality of the beneficial owner as well as the nature and extent of the beneficial interest held.

Ultimate beneficial owners register – Directive (EU) 2018/843

According to the Directive (EU) 2018/843 (recital 38) once the interconnection of Member States' beneficial ownership registers is in place, both national and cross-border access to each Member State's register should be granted.

Article 31 - the Commission shall adopt by means of implementing acts technical specifications and procedures necessary to provide for the interconnection of Member States' central registers. The amendments to Directive (EU) 2015/849 should be transposed by 10 January 2020. Member States should set up beneficial ownership registers for corporate and other legal entities by 10 January 2020 and for trusts and similar legal arrangements by 10 March 2020. Central registers should be interconnected via the European Central Platform by 10 March 2021.

Article 65 – starting from 11 January 2022, an analysis of feasibility of specific measures and mechanisms at European Union and Member State level on the possibilities to collect and access the beneficial ownership information of corporate and other legal entities incorporated outside of the Union should be reported.

By June, 2020³⁹ already 23 Member States have created central register of ultimate beneficial owners. Some of the registers are publicly available, for instance, Sweden, Denmark; some registers are not publicly available, for instance, France, Spain; some Member States have not yet developed registers: Lithuania, Romania, Hungary and Italy.

Member States are required to collect information on beneficial owners on all companies and access to this information shall be granted in accordance with data protection rules (the fourth AML Directive). According to the fifth AML Directive, some information on the ultimate beneficial owners of the companies should be available to the general public, including name, month and year of birth, country of residence and nationality. Not all Member States have developed beneficial owners' registers yet. Moreover, the data exchange between Member States national registers of ultimate beneficial owners and common EU platform will be implemented this year (2021). Natural person identification numbers are not used to identify beneficial owners.

EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes

The Council, at its meeting on 5 December 2017, endorsed the 'EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes'⁴⁰. The list is updated on a regular basis and could be considered when analysing company's and beneficial owners' data.

Personal data protection (GDPR Regulation)

Data transparency and disclosure of natural persons data is based on the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing

³⁹ <https://medium.com/transparent-data-eng/ultimate-beneficial-owners-registers-in-the-eu-2020-5a868e3ff0>

⁴⁰ <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-6237-2018-REV-4/en/pdf>

Directive 95/46/EC (GDPR)⁴¹. The GDPR has been directly applicable to all Member States since 25 May 2018⁴².

According to the Article 4 of the GDPR ‘personal data’ is data allowing to identify natural persons in particular by reference to an identifier such as name, identification number, location data and an online identifier. In addition, for the identification of natural persons the important aspect is personal data processing (Article 6 of the GDPR): “it is lawful if the data subject has given consent to the processing of his or her personal data for one or more specific purposes; processing is necessary for the performance of a contract to which the data subject is party or in order to take steps at the request of the data subject prior to entering into a contract”.

According to the explanations provided by the European Commission⁴³, personal data is any information that relates to an identified or identifiable living natural person. Different pieces of information, which collected together can lead to the identification of a particular natural person, also constitute personal data. Examples of personal data: first name and surname, home address, e-mail address, an identification card number, location data, etc.

The Financial Regulation requires disclosing the following data: name and locality of recipient which according to GDPR is defined as personal data.

Public procurement

Public procurement rules are set by the Directive (EU) 2014/24⁴⁴. EU countries had until April 2016 to transpose the Directive procurement rules into national law and e-procurement rules by October 2018. According to the Directive (EU) 2014/24 for each award the following information has to be disclosed: name, address including NUTS code, telephone, fax number, email address, and information whether the contract is related to a project and/or programme financed by European Union funds.

Public procurement results are published in one or several national e-procurement systems⁴⁵ and above certain limit also in TED (Tenders Electronic Daily)⁴⁶ which is the online version of the 'Supplement to the Official Journal' of the EU, dedicated to European public procurement.

According to the Public Procurement Directive for each award grant the following information needs to be disclosed: name, address, whether the contract is related to a project financed by EU funds. There is no requirement to disclose owner and ultimate beneficial owner of the company (award recipient).

⁴¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj>

⁴² <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52020SC0115>

⁴³ https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-topic/data-protection/reform/what-personal-data_en

⁴⁴ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32014L0024>

⁴⁵ <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/60bf8ebc-0204-11e6-b713-01aa75ed71a1>

⁴⁶ <https://ted.europa.eu/TED/misc/aboutTed.do>

ANNEX 4. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS DIRECT MANAGEMENT

Financial Transparency System

Financial Transparency System (FTS)⁴⁷ is used to store data about projects and beneficiaries under EU funds direct management. Information⁴⁸ on EU grant, prize, financial instrument, budget support recipients and contractors (public procurement, external experts)⁴⁹ is shared via Financial Transparency System, however, the database only includes beneficiaries of funding from the EU budget implemented directly by the European Commission and other EU bodies (for instance, executive agencies), as well as beneficiaries of European Development Fund.

FTS data include country, year, responsible service/department, programme/action type, grant or contract, recipients name (beneficiary can be searched by VAT number but VAT number is not disclosed on the screen, beneficiary name provided in the national language), recipients type (National Focal Point Officer or NGO), number of commitments, estimated amount, committed total amount.

FTS is used to record information about beneficiaries under EU funds direct management. FTS includes only company data and there is no information on owners and ultimate beneficial owners of the companies.

Natural Person Legal Entity and Private Body Legal Entity Form

The Legal Entity Forms (LEFs) for Private Law Body and Natural Person⁵⁰ are used by the European Commission to launch the awarding procedures for a contract or subvention, and the general conditions for low value procurement contracts.

The LEF for Natural Persons includes first name, surname, date of birth, type of identity document (e.g. identity card, passport, drivers licence, other), issuing country, identity document number, permanent private address, private phone, private e-mail and other private data. In addition, if the natural person owns a business without a separate legal personality, the following data on business need to be disclosed: organisation name, VAT number, registration number, place of registration. It should be noted that 8 out of 27 Member States do not use a personal identification number⁵¹, therefore it is difficult to identify the natural person as passport number and drivers licence number can change.

The Private Law Body Legal Entity Form (PBLEF)⁵² includes official name, legal form, main registration number, place of registration, date of registration, VAT number and address of head office. All Member States have unique registration number for companies.

The LEF for Natural Persons used by the European Commission includes personal data, for instance, name, identification number, e-mail address, personal phone. 8 out of 27 Member States do not use a personal identification number allowing to identify natural persons with complete certainty. Personal data is collected as part of EU funds direct management but **personal data is not publicly disclosed**.

The PBLEF includes company information, for instance, legal name and registration number, but there is no information on owner and beneficial owner of the company.

⁴⁷ https://ec.europa.eu/budget/fts/index_en.htm

⁴⁸ https://www.eca.europa.eu/Lists/ECADocuments/SR18_35/SR_NGO_FUNDING_EN.pdf

⁴⁹ https://ec.europa.eu/budget/fts/about_en.htm

⁵⁰ https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/legal-entities_en

⁵¹ https://ec.europa.eu/budget/library/contracts_grants/info_contracts/legal_entities/legEnt_indiv_en.pdf

⁵² https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/about_the_european_commission/eu_budget/legent_privcomp_en.pdf

ANNEX 5. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS SHARED MANAGEMENT EU FUNDS PROGRAMMING PERIOD 2014-2020

Personal data disclosure for direct payments under Common Agriculture Policy in EU funds programming period 2014-2020

The extracts from the Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013⁵³ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 67	<p><i>Notification requirements</i></p> <p>In order to ensure the correct application of the rules set out in this Regulation, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts on the necessary measures regarding notifications to be made by Member States to the Commission for the purposes of this Regulation, for the purpose of checking, controlling, monitoring, evaluating and auditing direct payments or for the purpose of complying with requirements laid down in international agreements which have been concluded by a Council decision, including notification requirements under those agreements. In so doing, the Commission shall take into account the data needs and synergies between potential data sources. Where appropriate, the information obtained may be transmitted or be made available to international organisations and the competent authorities of third countries and may be made public, subject to the protection of personal data and the legitimate interest of undertakings in the protection of their business secrets.</p>
Article 68	<p><i>Processing and protection of personal data</i></p> <p>Member States and the Commission shall collect personal data for the purposes set out previously. They shall not process this data in a way that is incompatible with those purposes. Where personal data are processed for monitoring and evaluation purposes as referred to in Article 67, they shall be made anonymous and processed in aggregated form only.</p> <p>Personal data shall not be stored in a form which permits identification of data subjects for longer than is necessary for the purposes for which they were collected or for which they are further processed, taking into account the minimum retention periods laid down in the applicable national and Union law. Member States shall inform the data subjects that their personal data may be processed by national and Union bodies, and that in this respect they enjoy the rights. Article 68 is subject to Articles 111 to 114 of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013⁵⁴ (Chapter IV, Transparency).</p>

⁵³ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:32013R1307>

⁵⁴ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1306>

The extracts from the Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013⁵⁵ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 111	<p><i>Publication of beneficiaries</i></p> <p>Member States shall ensure annual ex-post publication of the beneficiaries of the Funds. The publication shall contain:</p> <p>The first name and the surname where the beneficiary is a natural person, the full legal name as registered where the beneficiary is a legal person with the autonomous legal personality pursuant to the legislation of the Member State concerned, the full name of the association as registered or otherwise officially recognised where the beneficiary is an association without an own legal personality.</p> <p>The municipality where the beneficiary is resident or is registered and, where available, the postal code or the part thereof identifying the municipality.</p> <p>The amounts of payment corresponding to each measure financed by the Funds received by each beneficiary in the financial year concerned.</p> <p>The nature and the description of the measures financed by either of the Funds and under which the payment referred is awarded.</p> <p>The information referred to in the first subparagraph shall be made available on a single website per Member State. It shall remain available for two years from the date of the initial publication.</p>
Article 112	<p><i>Threshold</i></p> <p>Member States shall not publish the name of a beneficiary as provided for in point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 111(1) of this Regulation in the following situations:</p> <p>(a) in the case of Member States establishing the Small farmers scheme provided for in Title V of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013, where the amount of aid received in one year by a beneficiary is equal to or less than the amount fixed by the Member State as referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 63(1) or the second subparagraph of Article 63(2) of that Regulation;</p> <p>(b) in the case of Member States not establishing the Small farmers scheme provided for in Title V of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013, where the amount of aid received in one year by a beneficiary is equal to or less than EUR 1 250.</p>
Article 113	<p><i>Information of the beneficiaries</i></p> <p>Member States shall inform the beneficiaries that their data will be made public and that the data may be processed by auditing and investigating bodies of the Union and the Member States for the purpose of safeguarding the Union's financial interests</p> <p>In accordance with the requirements of Directive 95/46/EC, where personal data is concerned, the Member States shall inform the beneficiaries of their rights under the data protection rules and of the procedures applicable for exercising those rights</p>

⁵⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1306>

Under the reporting requirements for CAP, natural persons are identified only by name, surname and municipality where the beneficiary is resident, therefore under the current regulatory framework it is not possible to identify the natural person with complete certainty if the person identification number is not provided.

A company is identified only by the company name and no information on the company registration number, owner and ultimate beneficiary is provided.

Personal data disclosure for ERDF, ESF, CF, EAFRD, EMFF in EU funds programming period 2014-2020

The extracts from the Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013⁵⁶ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 115	<p><i>Information and communication</i></p> <p>Member States and managing authorities shall be responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • drawing up communication strategies; • ensuring the establishment of a single website or a single website portal providing information on, and access to, all operational programmes in that Member State, including information about the timing of implementation of programming and any related public consultation processes; • informing potential beneficiaries about funding opportunities under operational programmes; • publicising to Union citizens the role and achievements of cohesion policy and of the Funds through information and communication actions on the results and impact of Partnership Agreements, operational programmes and operations. • Member States or managing authorities shall, in order to ensure transparency concerning support from the Funds, maintain a list of operations by operational programme and by Fund in a spreadsheet data format, which allows data to be sorted, searched, extracted, compared and easily published on the internet, for instance in CSV or XML format. The list of operations shall be accessible through the single website or the single website portal providing a list and summary of all operational programmes in that Member State. • In order to encourage the use of the list of operations subsequently by the private sector, civil society or national public administration, the website may clearly indicate the applicable licensing rules under which data are published. • The list of operations shall be updated at least every six months.

⁵⁶ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32013R1303>

Reference	Requirement
Annex XII	The list of operations referred to in Article 115(2) shall contain, in at least one of the official languages of the Member State, the following data fields: Beneficiary name (only of legal entities, no natural persons shall be named; operation name; operation summary; operation start date; operation end date (expected date for physical completion or full implementation of the operation); total eligible expenditure allocated to the operation; union co-financing rate as per priority axis; operation postcode; or other appropriate location indicator; country; name of category of intervention for the operation; date of last update of the list of operations.

Common Provisions Regulation **does not require** to disclose a company identification number, name of natural person, project implementer, owner and beneficial owner of the company.

Regulation (EU) No 1301/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Regional Development Fund and on specific provisions concerning the Investment for growth and jobs goal and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006.⁵⁷

The ERDF Regulation **does not put any requirements** regarding collection and disclosure of ultimate beneficiary data.

Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013⁵⁸ of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on specific provisions for the support from the European Regional Development Fund to the European territorial cooperation goal.

The ETC regulation **does not require the** collection and disclosure of beneficiary data.

Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013⁵⁹ of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006.

The ESF Regulation **does not require the** collection and disclosure of beneficiary data.

Regulation (EU) No 1300/2013⁶⁰ of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the Cohesion Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006.

The CF Regulation **does not require the** collection and disclosure of beneficiary data.

The extracts from the Regulation (EU) No 508/2014⁶¹ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

⁵⁷ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1301>

⁵⁸ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1299>

⁵⁹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1304>

⁶⁰ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013R1300>

⁶¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32014R0508>

Reference	Requirement
Article 110	<p><i>Electronic information system</i></p> <p>Key information on the implementation of the operational programme, on each operation selected for funding, as well as on completed operations, needed for monitoring and evaluation, including the key characteristics of the beneficiary and the project, shall be recorded and maintained electronically.</p>
Article 111	<p><i>Provision of information</i></p> <p>Beneficiaries of support under EMFF, including FLAGs, shall undertake to provide to the managing authority and/or to appointed evaluators or other bodies to which the performance of functions on its behalf is delegated, all the data and information necessary to permit monitoring and evaluation of the operational programme, in particular in relation to meeting specific objectives and priorities.</p>
Article 119	<p><i>Information and publicity</i></p> <p>Publicising to Union citizens the role and achievements of the EMFF through information and communication actions on the results and impact of partnership agreements, operational programmes and operations</p>
Annex 5	<p><i>List of operations</i></p> <p>Beneficiary name (only legal entities and natural persons in accordance with national law), operation name, Community fleet register, operation postcode, country</p>

The EMFF Regulation requires to disclose **beneficiary name but only in accordance with national law**. Under the reporting requirements for EMFF the natural persons are identified only by first name, surname and postcode, therefore under the current regulatory framework it is not possible to identify the natural person with complete certainty as no person identification number is provided.

A company is identified only by the company name and **no information on the registration number, owners and ultimate beneficial owners of the company needs to be disclosed**.

ANNEX 6. PERSONAL DATA DISCLOSURE UNDER EU FUNDS SHARED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMING PERIOD 2021-2027

Proposal for personal data disclosure for CAP (EAGF and EAFRD) in EU funds programming period 2021-2027

The extracts from the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the Common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶² on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 136	<p><i>Exchange of information and documents</i></p> <p>The Commission, in collaboration with the Member States, shall establish an information system to enable the secure exchange of data of common interest between the Commission and each Member State.</p>
Article 137	<p><i>Processing and protection of personal data</i></p> <p>Member States and the Commission shall collect personal data for the purpose of carrying out their respective management control, monitoring and evaluation obligations.</p> <p>Personal data shall be processed in accordance with the rules of Regulations (EC) No 45/2001 and (EU) No 2016/679. In particular, such data shall not be stored in a form which permits identification of data subjects for longer than is necessary for the purposes for which they were collected or for which they are further processed, taking into account the minimum retention periods laid down in the applicable national and Union law.</p> <p>Member States shall inform the data subjects that their personal data may be processed by national and Union bodies that in this respect they enjoy the data protection rights provided by Regulations (EC) No 45/2001 and (EU) No 2016/679.</p>

The Extracts from the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agriculture policy and repealing regulation 1306/2013 defines requirements⁶³ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

⁶² <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52018PC0392>

⁶³ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2018%3A393%3AFIN>

Reference	Requirement
Article 96	<p><i>Publication of information relating to beneficiaries</i></p> <p>Member States shall ensure annual ex-post publication of the beneficiaries of the Funds in accordance with [Article 44(3)-(5) of Regulation (EU) .../...CPR Regulation] and paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of this Article.</p> <p>2.[Article 44(3)-(5) of Regulation (EU) .../...CPR Regulation] shall apply in respect of beneficiaries of EAFRD and EAGF, where relevant; however, the amounts corresponding to the national contribution and the co-financing rate, as provided for in points (h) and (i) of Article 44(3) of that Regulation shall not apply to EAGF.</p> <p>The information referred to in Article 44(3)-(5) of that Regulation shall be made available on a single website per Member State. It shall remain available for two years from the date of the initial publication.</p> <p>Member States shall not publish the information referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 44(3) of the Regulation (EU) .../...[CPR Regulation] if the amount of aid received in one year by a beneficiary is equal to or less than EUR 1 250.</p>

The proposed CAP regulatory framework includes requirements on information exchange and processing by providing a **reference to Common Provisions Regulation (CPR)**.

Proposal for personal data disclosure for ERDF, ESF+, CF, EMFF in EU funds programming period 2021-2027

The extracts from the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down common provisions on the ERDF, ESF+, CF, and EMFF and financial rules for those and for the Asylum and Migration Fund, the Internal Security Fund and the Border Management and Visa Instrument⁶⁴ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 44	<p><i>Responsibility of the managing authority</i></p> <p>The managing authority shall make the list of operations selected for support by the Funds publicly available on the website in at least one of the official languages of the Union and shall update that list at least every three months. Each operation shall have a unique code. The list shall contain the following data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in the case of legal entities, the beneficiary's name • where the beneficiary is a natural person, the first name and the surname • name of the operation • the purpose of the operation and its achievements • start date of the operation • expected or actual date of completion of the operation • total cost of the operation • Fund concerned • specific objective concerned • Union co-financing rate • location indicator or geolocation for the operation and country concerned • the region on NUTS 2 level where the beneficiary is a natural person

⁶⁴ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2018%3A375%3AFIN>

Reference	Requirement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • for mobile operations or operations covering several locations the location of the beneficiary where the beneficiary is a legal entity • type of intervention for the operation • The name and surname of the beneficiary shall be removed after two years from the date of the initial publication on the website • The managing authority shall inform the beneficiaries that the data will be made public

According to the CPR proposal the following information on beneficiaries shall be disclosed: first name and surname, NUTS2 region. Those requirements correspond to the requirements included in the Financial Regulation.

Under CPR proposal it is **not possible to identify natural persons with complete certainty** as disclosure of the person identification number is not required.

Under CPR proposal the company is identified only by the company name and **no information on the company registration number, owner and beneficial owner of the company needs to be disclosed. Information on beneficiary can be stored only for two years.**

Proposal for personal data disclosure for the European Territorial Cooperation goal (Interreg) in EU funds programming period 2021-2027

The extracts from the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on specific provisions for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) supported by the European Regional Development Fund and external financing instruments⁶⁵ on beneficiary data disclosure requirements are provided in the table below.

Reference	Requirement
Article 35	<p><i>Responsibilities of managing authorities and partners with regard to transparency and communication</i></p> <p>The managing authority shall ensure that, within six months of the Interreg programme's approval, there is a website where information on each Interreg programme under its responsibility is available, covering the programme's objectives, activities, available funding opportunities and achievements.</p> <p>Each partner of an Interreg operation or each body implementing a financing instrument shall acknowledge support from an Interreg fund, including resources reused for financial instruments in accordance with Article [56] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], to the Interreg operation by:</p> <p>providing on the partner's professional website, where such a website exists, a short description of the Interreg operation, proportionate to the level of support provided by an Interreg fund, including its aims and results, and highlighting the financial support from the Union</p>

The ETC proposal **does not include any requirement to disclose information on the beneficiaries.**

⁶⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2018%3A374%3AFIN>

ANNEX 7. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES CAP 2018⁶⁶

Table A7.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Austria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA	AT	32 470 574
2	TIROL PACK GMBH	AT	3 000 000
3	ARGE LK BERATUNG	AT	2 778 521
4	OPSTOBST PARTNER STEIERMARK GMBH	AT	2 494 184
5	WALDPFLEGEVEREIN TIROL	AT	2 432 674
6	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA MARKETING GESMBH	AT	2 364 511
7	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSINSTITUT ÖSTERREICH, KURZ LFI ÖSTERREICH	AT	2 194 302
8	LANDWIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER OBERÖSTERREICH – LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSINSTITUT	AT	2 088 795
9	PINZGAU MILCH PRODUKTIONS GMBH	AT	2 008 466
10	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSINSTITUT NIEDERÖSTERREICH - LFI NÖ	AT	1 993 747
11	ÖSTERREICHISCHE ARBEITSGEMEINSCHAFT DER HEUMILCHBAUERN, DER VERARBEITER UND DER VERMARKTER VON HEUMILCH, KURZ: ARGE HEUMILCH ÖSTERREICH	AT	1 828 275
12	OBERSTEIRISCHE MOLKEREI EGEN	AT	1 759 550
13	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSINSTITUT (LFI) STEIERMARK	AT	1 752 241
14	BIENE ÖSTERREICH - IMKEREIDACHVERBAND	AT	1 745 861
15	BUNDESMINISTERIUM FÜR NACHHALTIGKEIT UND TOURISMUS	AT	1 636 739
16	LGV-FRISCHGEMÜSE WIEN REGISTRIERTE GENOSSENSCHAFT MIT BESCHRÄNKTER HAFTUNG	AT	1 601 340
17	BC REGIONALWÄRME EBENTHAL GMBH	AT	1 477 533
18	ARGE BIO AUSTRIA	AT	1 439 032
19	TIROLER NATIONALPARKFONDS HOHE TAUERN	AT	1 368 056

⁶⁶ In the lists for some Member States some names of direct beneficiaries appear twice or more, due to multiple entries with different locations in the same country or spellings. If these direct beneficiaries have the same ultimate beneficiaries they will be consolidated.

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
20	AMT DER SALZBURGER LANDESREGIERUNG, ABTEILUNG 5 NATUR- UND UMWELTSCHUTZ, GEWERBE	AT	1 297 156
21	CARITASVERBAND DER ERZDIÖZESE SALZBURG	AT	1 296 780
22	STIFTUNG FÜRST LIECHTENSTEIN ZWEIGNIEDERLASSUNG WILFERSDORF GUTS- UND FORSTBETRIEB	AT	1 196 894
23	BIOWÄRME OBERGURGL GMBH	AT	1 156 748
24	ÖSTERREICHISCHES KURATORIUM FÜR LANDTECHNIK UND LANDENTWICKLUNG	AT	1 124 453
25	WALDPFLEGEVEREIN LIENZ	AT	1 112 458
26	NATIONALPARK THAYATAL GMBH	AT	1 038 077
27	ALWERA AG	AT	1 000 000
28	KÄSEREI PLANGGER GES.M.B.H.	AT	1 000 000
29	NATURWÄRME LIEZEN GMBH	AT	964 409
30	BUNDESVERBAND FÜR URLAUB AM BAUERNHOF IN ÖSTERREICH	AT	951 915
31	BIOENERGIE BUCKLIGE WELT GMBH	AT	942 826
32	DI MAXIMILIAN HARDEGG	AT	937 191
33	E-BEREGNUNG GROSS-ENZERSDORF OG	AT	932 075
34	DI MARKUS KÖNIGSEGG-AULENDORF	AT	912 128
35	ÖSTERREICH WEIN MARKETING GMBH	AT	911 827
36	BUNDESFORSHUNGS- UND AUSBILDUNGSZENTRUM FÜR WALD	AT	902 094
37	WÄRME UND MEHR GMBH	AT	896 485
38	OGS OBST-GEMEINSCHAFT-STEIERMARK GMBH	AT	895 189
39	VERNETHUNGSSTELLE FÜR DAS NATIONALE NETZWERK LE14-20	AT	882 400
40	GEO - GEMÜSEERZUGERORGANISATION OSTÖSTERREICH REGGENMBH	AT	882 072
41	SCHULDNERGEMEINSCHAFT WALDLAND NATURSTOFFE GMBH UND WALDVIERTLER SONDERKULTURENVEREIN	AT	879 799
42	UMWELTDACHVERBAND GMBH	AT	867 155
43	VEREIN ZUR FÖRDERUNG VON ARTENVIelfALT, RESSOURCENSCHONUNG UND NACHHALTIGKEIT KURZ: FARN RAMBSCHISSLHOF	AT	833 579

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	GESUNDHEITSFORUM BURGENLAND (KURZ 'GFB')	AT	830 000
45	NATURWÄRME TRIEBEN GMBH	AT	828 963
46	LANDWIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER NIEDERÖSTERREICH	AT	816 541
47	LANDWIRTSCHAFTLICHE BUNDESVERSUCHSWIRTSCHAFTEN GESELLSCHAFT MIT BESCHRÄNKTER HAFTUNG	AT	783 623
48	BANTEL GMBH	AT	735 898
49	HAFLINGER PFERDEZUCHTVERBAND TIROL	AT	728 039
50	ARGE BIO-WIESEN MILCH	AT	725 481

Table A7.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Belgium

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LOGISTIEKE EN ADMINISTRATIEVE VEILINGSASSOCIATIE	BE	38 888 752
2	ORGANISME PAYEUR REGION WALLONNE SA	BE	19 582 486
3	VLAAMS LANDBOUWINVESTERINGSFONDS	BE	14 354 729
4	EUROPEAN FRUIT CO-OPERATION - EUROPESE FRUIT COOPERATIE - EUROPÄISCHE FRUCHT KOOPERATION	BE	6 473 950
5	BELGISCHE FRUITVEILING	BE	4 596 484
6	TELERSVERENIGING INDUSTRIEGROENTEN	BE	3 921 840
7	UNIGROW	BE	3 787 222
8	VLAAMS CENTRUM VOOR AGRO- EN VISSERIJMARKETING	BE	2 058 352
9	NATIONAAL AGRARISCH CENTRUM	BE	1 944 415
10	AGROCAMPUS	BE	1 007 031
11	EXPLOITATION RUE DU CHENET	BE	907 155
12	TRAME SCRL	BE	845 169
13	VLAM - MILKMOMENTS	BE	725 679
14	NEW GREEN	BE	714 637
15	B.N.D. INTERNATIONALE TELERSVERENIGING	BE	571 151
16	INAGRO, PROVINCIAAL EXTERN VERZELFSTANDIGD AGENTSCHAP IN PRIVAATRECHTELijke VORM	BE	527 938
17	GREEN DIAMOND	BE	453 703

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
18	VERENIGING ONAFHANKELIJKE CHAMPIGNONTELERS	BE	391 060
19	LANDWIJZER	BE	347 272
20	AGRILAND SA	BE	340 531
21	VILATCA	BE	322 420
22	MAISON MEDICALE MEDICI ASBL	BE	317 625
23	MAC QUEEN FRUIT	BE	313 039
24	GEFI FRUIT GEERTS	BE	306 295
25	VAN HAELESTRAF	BE	306 049
26	HERDI	BE	302 302
27	VANTHILLO	BE	301 322
28	DEN BOSCHKANT	BE	300 000
29	SLAMOTRA	BE	300 000
30	REDGROW	BE	300 000
31	GONI	BE	300 000
32	MEPETOM	BE	296 416
33	COENS WR	BE	288 046
34	ASS VAN SANTFOORT LEO ET JONAS GR PP	BE	286 876
35	VLAM - VARKENSVLEES	BE	282 534
36	DOURNEZ FILIP	BE	279 896
37	VLAM - TASTE OF EUROPE II	BE	276 898
38	PPS	BE	273 713
39	HENS GUY	BE	262 451
40	VLASBEDRIJF VERHALLE	BE	258 992
41	VAN WAES JOHAN	BE	258 857
42	WIMCECO	BE	256 254
43	HEGRO.DI	BE	249 938
44	VLAAMS BIJENTEELPROGRAMMA	BE	249 313
45	G.A.L. PAYS DES TIGES ET CHAVEES ASBL	BE	245 182
46	LENAERTS	BE	240 078
47	LEGRAND PHILIPPE ET ANNET ANNE-FRANCOISE GR PP	BE	239 627

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	SERON PASCAL ET GUILLAUME ET NAOME ANNE-MARI GR PP	BE	236 850
49	BECELAERE EVELIEN	BE	232 725
50	MICHELSEN	BE	232 693

Table A7.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Bulgaria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ДФЗ (DFZ)	BG	4 008 565
2	СОРТОВИ СЕМЕНА ВАРДИМ-ЕАД (SORTOVI SEMENA VARDIM-EAD)	BG	3 879 301
3	ЕТ АГРО - СВЕТЛОЗАР ДИЧЕВСКИ - ГР. (ET AGRO - SVETLOZAR DICHEVSKI - GR.)	BG	3 608 357
4	ЗЛАТИЯ АГРО ЕООД (ZLATIYA AGRO EOOD)	BG	3 355 878
5	ЕТ ДЕСИ-СВЕТЛА СИМЕОНОВА (ET DESI- SVETLA SIMEONOVA)	BG	2 547 905
6	РУЖ 9 ООД (RUZH 9 OOD)	BG	2 521 956
7	БГ АГРО ЗЕМЕДЕЛСКА КОМПАНИЯ ЕООД (BG AGRO ZEMEDELSKA KOMPANIYA EOOD)	BG	2 134 505
8	ТРОЯ-АВТО ЕООД (TROYA-AVTO EOOD)	BG	2 038 062
9	КРАСИ ЕООД (KRASI EOOD)	BG	1 870 762
10	АГРИПЛАНЕТ ЕООД (AGRIPLANET OOD)	BG	1 869 161
11	РЕСЕН-ЕООД (RESEN-EOOD)	BG	1 726 459
12	ЕЛИТ 95 ЕООД (ELIT 95 OOD)	BG	1 654 276
13	КРИСТЕРА-АГРО ЕООД (KRISTERA- AGROEOOD)	BG	1 635 949
14	АГРО САНТИЯ ЕООД (AGROSANTIYA OOD)	BG	1 588 132
15	НАЦИОНАЛНА СЛУЖБА ЗА СЪВЕТИ В ЗЕМЕД (NATIONALNA SLUZHBA ZA SAVETI V ZEMED)	BG	1 568 421
16	ПРО - АГРО ЕООД (PRO - AGRO OOD)	BG	1 542 004
17	ОБЩИНА БОРОВО (OBSHTINA BOROVO)	BG	1 489 292
18	АГРО САНТИНО ЕООД (AGROSANTINO OOD)	BG	1 333 813
19	НЕДКО НЕДКОВ-ОВЧАРОВОЕООД (NEDKO NEDKOV-OVCHAROVOEOOD)	BG	1 322 882
20	ЕВРОАГРОИМПЕКС ЕООД (EVROAGROIMPEKS EOOD)	BG	1 321 780
21	ПЪРВИ МАЙ АД (PARVI MAY AD)	BG	1 314 286
22	АЖД - АГРО ЕООД (AZHD - AGRO EOOD)	BG	1 262 813

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
23	АГРО АЛФА ООД (AGRO ALFA OOD)	BG	1 225 890
24	БУЛДИРЕКТ ЕООД (BULDIREKT EOOD)	BG	1 221 168
25	ГРАНД АГРО ООД (GRAND AGRO OOD)	BG	1 187 196
26	СЕМЕЛЕ ООД (SEMELE OOD)	BG	1 166 670
27	ХЕРА АГРО ЕООД (HERA AGRO EOOD)	BG	1 160 561
28	МИЛЕНА Ю ЕООД (MILENA YU EOOD)	BG	1 135 770
29	РУБИН СТАНЕВО ЕООД (RUBIN STANEVOE OOD)	BG	1 111 870
30	СИЛВИЯ 7 ООД (SILVIYA 7 OOD)	BG	1 109 852
31	ХОУМ ФУУД ЕООД (HOUM FUUD EOOD)	BG	1 093 559
32	АГРОФАКТОРЕООД (AGROFAKTOREOOD)	BG	1 092 396
33	РОДИНА-АГРОЕООД (RODINA-AGRO EOOD)	BG	1 040 291
34	ЕТМИХАИЛ МИХОВ 88 (ETMIHAIL MIHOV 88)	BG	1 028 610
35	АГРО ММООД (AGRO MMOOD)	BG	1 001 635
36	АГРОТАЙМ ЕООД (AGROTAYM EOOD)	BG	979 901
37	АГРО 2013 ЕООД (AGRO 2013 EOOD)	BG	972 898
38	КАМЕЛИЯ-ВАСИЛ ГЕТОВ 1 ЕООД (KAMELIYA-VASIL GETOV 1 EOOD)	BG	972 174
39	АЛЕКСАНДРА СТОЯНОВА (ALEKSANDRA STOYANOVA)	BG	966 322
40	АГРО - К.П. ЕООД (AGRO - K.P. EOOD)	BG	963 334
41	ЕМ ДЖЕЙ ДЕРИЗ ЕООД (EM DZHEY DERIZ EOOD)	BG	936 578
42	АГРОСПЕКТЪР ООД (AGROSPEKTAR OOD)	BG	932 377
43	ЗКБЕЗМЕР (ZKBEZMER)	BG	926 306
44	ЕТАСОТЕКС-ХАМЗА ЧАКЪР (ET ASOTEKS-HAMZA CHAKAR)	BG	924 823
45	ЕТ СВЕТОСЛАВ ИЛЧОВСКИ (ET SVETOSLAV ILCHOVSKI)	BG	924 682
46	КООПЕРАЦИЯ АГРОКОМЕРС 98 (KOOPERATSIYA AGROKOMERS 98)	BG	903 128
47	ЕТАГРОЕЛИТ-МИТОВ-БОЖИДАР МИТОВ" (ETAGROELIT-MITOV-BOZHIDAR MITOV")	BG	902 087
48	АРЖИЛ ЕАД (ARZHIL EAD)	BG	895 002
49	СЕМЕНА СИНХРОН ООД (SEMENA SINHRON OOD)	BG	864 876
50	БАЛЕЯ ЕООД (BALEYA EOOD)	BG	861 910

Table A7.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Cyprus

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΠΑΦΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI PAFOU)	CY	3 310 103
2	ΤΜΗΜΑ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΕΩΣ ΥΔΑΤΩΝ (TMIMA ANAPTXEOS UDATON)	CY	1 421 425
3	ΛΟΕΛ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΟΙ ΛΤΔ (LOEL VIOMICHANOI LTD)	CY	1 349 554
4	ΠΑΝΑΓΡΩΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΔΕΣΜΟΣ ΚΥΠΡΟΥ (PANAGROTIKOS SUNDESMOS KUPROU)	CY	1 078 419
5	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΛΕΥΚΩΣΙΑΣ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI LEUKOSIAS)	CY	961 097
6	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΑΜΜΟΧΩΣΤΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI AMMOCHOSTOU)	CY	576 957
7	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΛΑΡΝΑΚΑΣ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI LARNAKAS)	CY	519 934
8	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΛΕΜΕΣΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI LEMESOU)	CY	489 175
9	CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD (CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD)	CY	417 207
10	ΧΡΙΣΤΟΔΟΥΛΟΣ ΟΠΛΟΣ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΤΔ (CHRISTODOULOS OPLOS & UIOI LTD)	CY	281 259
11	ΟΡΓΑΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΚΗΣ ΑΣΦΑΛΙΣΗΣ (ORGANISMOS GEORGIKIS ASFALISIS)	CY	275 012
12	ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ ΑΝΤΩΝΙΟΥ (ΑΒΔΕΛΛΕΡΙΤΗΣ ΛΤΔ) (IOANNIS ANTONIOU (AVDELLERITIS LTD))	CY	270 250
13	ΣΕΔΙΓΕΠ ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑΣ ΠΟΛΗΣ ΧΡΥΣΟΧΟΥΣ ΛΤΔ (SEDIGEP PERIFEREIAS POLIS CHRUSOCHOUS LTD)	CY	254 671
14	THE CYPRUS PHASSOURI ESTATES LIMITED (THE CYPRUS PHASSOURI ESTATES LIMITED)	CY	246 216
15	ΑΝΔΡΕΑΣ ΛΕΒΕΝΤΗΣ (ANDREAS LEVENTIS)	CY	242 171
16	ΤΜΗΜΑ ΠΟΛΕΟΔΟΜΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΙΚΗΣΕΩΣ (TMIMA POLEODOMIAS KAI OIKISEOS)	CY	233 333
17	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΑΜΜΟΧΩΣΤΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI AMMOCHOSTOU)	CY	219 354
18	LANITIS FARM LIMITED (LANITIS FARM LIMITED)	CY	209 331
19	THE CYPRUS PHASSOURI (ZAKAKI) LIMITED (THE CYPRUS PHASSOURI (ZAKAKI) LIMITED)	CY	190 702
20	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΠΑΦΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI PAFOY)	CY	190 027

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	PENTELITHARIA PLANTATIONS LTD (PENTELITHARIA PLANTATIONS LTD)	CY	183 293
22	ΑΝΔΡΕΑΣ ΚΑΙΛΑΣ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (ANDREAS KAILAS & UIOI LIMITED)	CY	183 242
23	ΜΙΧΑΗΛ ΜΑΟΣ (MICHAEL MAOS)	CY	178 778
24	ΕΛΑΙΟΚΑΛΛΙΕΡΓΕΙΑ Κ & Κ ΟΙ ΚΗΠΟΙ ΤΩΝ ΕΛΑΙΩΝ ΛΤΔ (ELAIOKALLIERGEIA K & K OI KIPOI TON ELAION LTD)	CY	176 209
25	ΚΛΑΔΟΣ ΧΡΗΣΗΣ ΓΗΣ ΚΑΙ ΥΔΑΤΟΣ (KLADOS CHRYSIS GIS KAI UDATOS)	CY	171 068
26	ZAXAPIAS ZAXAPIOY (ZACHARIAS ZACHARIOU)	CY	167 482
27	CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O) LTD (CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O) LTD)	CY	165 780
28	Δ. ΠΑΝΑΓΗ & ΥΙΟΙ ΦΑΡΜ ΛΤΔ (D. PANAGI & UIOI FARM LTD)	CY	156 767
29	ΧΡΙΣΤΟΣ ΕΛΛΗΝΑΣ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (CHRISTOS ELLINAS & UIOI LIMITED)	CY	156 613
30	ΣΑΒΒΑΣ ΧΡ. ΚΑΛΛΙΝΟΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (SAVVAS CHR. KALLINOS LIMITED)	CY	152 183
31	I & C HADJIYIANNAKOU (FARMS) LIMITED (I & C HADJIYIANNAKOU (FARMS) LIMITED)	CY	143 886
32	ΣΤΥΛΙΑΝΟΣ ΛΟΥΚΑ (STULIANOS LOUKA)	CY	139 640
33	TIMERE LTD (TIMERE LTD)	CY	137 906
34	ΓΕΩΡΓΟΚΤΗΝΟΤΡΟΦΙΚΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ Α/ΦΟΙ Γ.Χ.Γ. ΛΥΤΡΑ ΛΤΔ (GEORGOKTINOTROFIKI ETAIREIA A/FOI G.CH.G. LUTRA LTD)	CY	137 438
35	ΕΛΕΝΗ Ι. ΜΙΧΑΗΛΙΔΗ ΛΤΔ (ELENI I. MICHAELIDI LTD)	CY	132 947
36	ΛΟΥΚΑΣ ΡΑΣΠΑΣ (LOUKAS RASPAS)	CY	129 959
37	CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD (CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD)	CY	123 652
38	ΙΑΚΩΒΟΣ ΣΑΚΚΑΛΟΣ (IAKOVOS SAKKALOS)	CY	121 268
39	ΓΕΩΡΓΙΟΣ & ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ ΙΟΡΔΑΝΟΥΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (GEORGIOS & AGGELOS IORDANOUS LIMITED)	CY	119 793
40	ΕΥΓΕΝΙΟΣ ΓΡΗΓΟΡΙΟΥ (EUGENIOS GRIGORIOU)	CY	116 585
41	ΓΙΑΝΝΑΚΗΣ ΜΑΣΟΥΡΗΣ (GIANNAKIS MASOURIS)	CY	113 235
42	ΑΝΤΩΝΗΣ ΣΤΑΥΡΙΔΗΣ (ANTONIS STAURIDIS)	CY	106 724
43	ΧΡΙΣΤΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΟΥ (CHRISTOS GEORGIOU)	CY	96 277

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	ΕΠΑΡΧ. ΔΙΟΙΚ. ΛΕΜ. (EPARCH. DIOIK. LEM.)	CY	95 714
45	ΜΑΡΙΟΣ ΔΗΜΟΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ (MARIOS DIMOSTHENOUS)	CY	95 228
46	ΣΑΒΒΑΣ ΣΤΑΥΡΟΥ (SAVVAS STAOUROU)	CY	94 676
47	ΚΩΣΤΑΣ ΑΝΤΩΝΗ (KOSTAS ANTONI)	CY	94 130
48	Γ.Μ.Π. ΛΑΓΟΣ ΦΑΡΜΑ ΛΤΔ (G.M.P. LAGOS FARMA LTD)	CY	89 413
49	ΤΤΟΟΥΛΑΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΟΚΤΗΝΟΤΡΟΦΙΚΕΣ ΕΠΙΧΕΙΡΗΣΕΙΣ ΛΤΔ (TTOOULAS GEORGOKTINOTROFIKES EPICHEIRISEIS LTD)	CY	87 529
50	P.SERGIOU WINERY LTD (P.SERGIOU WINERY LTD)	CY	87 480

Table A7.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Czech Republic

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STÁTNÍ POZEMKOVÝ ÚŘAD	CZ	13 615 805
2	AGRO - MĚŘÍN, A.S.	CZ	3 580 059
3	VOJENSKÉ LESY A STATKY ČR, S.P.	CZ	3 164 668
4	BOVYS, S.R.O.	CZ	2 875 179
5	SALIX MORAVA A.S.	CZ	2 229 662
6	ÚSOVSKO AGRO S.R.O.	CZ	2 208 289
7	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO DOLNÍ ÚJEZD	CZ	2 153 920
8	ZEMĚDĚLSKO-OBCHODNÍ DRUŽSTVO ŽICHLÍNEK	CZ	1 836 545
9	ÚNĚŠOVSKÝ STATEK A.S.	CZ	1 676 387
10	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO MOŘINA	CZ	1 608 186
11	ROSTĚNICE,A.S.	CZ	1 554 937
12	ZD KRÁSNÁ HORA NAD VLTAVOU A.S.	CZ	1 553 389
13	ANIMO ŽATEC, A.S.	CZ	1 521 452
14	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO UNČOVICE	CZ	1 516 106
15	ZEMĚDĚLSKO OBCHODNÍ DRUŽSTVO ZÁLŠÍ	CZ	1 460 610
16	COME VENDING S.R.O.	CZ	1 432 833
17	AGRO JEVÍŠOVICE, A.S.	CZ	1 430 255
18	ZOD HANÁ, DRUŽSTVO SE SÍDLEM VE ŠVÁBENIC	CZ	1 425 990
19	ZP OTICE, A.S.	CZ	1 420 986
20	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO SENICE NA HANÉ	CZ	1 413 866

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	OSEVA AGRI CHRUDIM, A.S.	CZ	1 412 480
22	EUROFARMS AGRO-B S.R.O.	CZ	1 400 192
23	UZENINY PŘÍBRAM, A.S.	CZ	1 379 445
24	PŘÍKOSICKÁ ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ A.S.	CZ	1 369 655
25	LUKROM PLUS S.R.O.	CZ	1 330 030
26	PLEMENÁŘSKÉ SLUŽBY A.S.	CZ	1 323 572
27	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO NOVÉ MĚSTO NA MORAVĚ	CZ	1 313 994
28	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO SVĚTNOV	CZ	1 313 199
29	AGRO JESENICE U PRAHY A.S.	CZ	1 298 462
30	AGROSPOL, MALÝ BOR A.S.	CZ	1 288 775
31	SPV PELHŘIMOV, A.S.	CZ	1 269 808
32	ZAS BEČVÁRY A.S.	CZ	1 263 398
33	AGROPODNIK KOŠETICE, A.S.	CZ	1 262 000
34	DZS STRUHAŘOV A. S.	CZ	1 252 771
35	ZOS KAČINA, A.S.	CZ	1 248 741
36	LUKRENA A.S.	CZ	1 247 617
37	AGRICOLTORI TACHOV V, A.S.	CZ	1 239 815
38	ALIMEX NEZVĚSTICE A.S.	CZ	1 239 690
39	AGRODRUŽSTVO MORKOVICE, DRUŽSTVO	CZ	1 238 005
40	MYDLÁŘKA A.S.	CZ	1 234 718
41	ROLNICKÁ A.S. KRÁLÍKY	CZ	1 220 078
42	ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ SPOLEČNOST KOMORNO, A. S.	CZ	1 218 605
43	ÚSTAV PRO STRUKTURÁLNÍ POLITIKU V ZEMĚDĚ	CZ	1 214 851
44	AGRO SLATINY A.S.	CZ	1 210 553
45	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO OPAŘANY	CZ	1 209 052
46	ČESKÝ SVAZ VČELAŘŮ, Z.S.	CZ	1 182 683
47	AGRONA RPETY S.R.O.	CZ	1 179 630
48	BONAGRO, A.S.	CZ	1 176 450
49	ZOD 11. KVĚTEN A.S.	CZ	1 175 807
50	KLADRUBSKÁ A.S.	CZ	1 173 244

Table A7.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Germany

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LAND MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN MINISTERIUM FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	10 357 941
2	LANDESBETRIEB FÜR KÜSTENSCHUTZ NATIONALPARK UND MEERESSCHUTZ	DE	5 916 319
3	LANDGARD OBST + GEMÜSE GMBH + CO. KG	DE	5 846 075
4	TALSPERRENBETRIEB SACHSEN-ANHALT	DE	5 781 246
5	NLWKN	DE	5 702 736
6	LANDESBETR. F.HOCHWASSERSCHUTZ U. WASSERWIRTSCH. (LHW)	DE	5 640 742
7	MINISTERIUM F.ERNÄHRUNG U. LÄN	DE	5 126 800
8	THÜRINGER MINISTERIUM FÜR INFRASTRUKTUR UND LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	4 426 684
9	MLUL	DE	4 071 125
10	LANDESAMT FÜR UMWELT(LFU)	DE	3 993 896
11	STADT CELLE	DE	3 951 374
12	LANDESFORST MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN	DE	3 930 911
13	DEICH- UND HAUPTSIELVERBAND DITHMARSCHEN	DE	3 662 674
14	EO SPARGEL & BEERENFRÜCHTE GMBH	DE	3 362 858
15	ELBE-OBST ERZEUGERORGANISATION R.V.	DE	3 244 189
16	ERZEUGERORGANISATION FÜR OBST UND GEMÜSE	DE	3 129 874
17	ERZEUGERGROSSMARKT LANGFÖRDEN- OLDENBURG EG	DE	3 075 621
18	KV EIFELKREIS BITBURG-PRÜM	DE	2 955 502
19	GARTENBAUZENTRALE PAPENBURG EG	DE	2 932 894
20	GEMEINDE HOHE BÖRDE	DE	2 797 878
21	STIFTUNG NATURSCHUTZPARK LÜNEBURGER HEIDE	DE	2 782 745
22	SMUL	DE	2 654 996
23	PFALZMARKT FÜR OBST- UND GEMÜSE EG	DE	2 459 671
24	AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT "RHÖNPERLE" EG BREMEN	DE	2 443 292
25	HVG HOPFENVERWERTUNGSGENOSSENSCHAFT E.G.	DE	2 344 390

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	GEMEINDE OSTERCAPPeln	DE	2 308 865
27	ML-VERWALTUNGSBEHÖRDE/REF. 305	DE	2 214 618
28	AGRARGEN. RADENDORF EG	DE	2 164 783
29	VITFRISCH GEMÜSE-VERTRIEB EG	DE	2 145 443
30	MINISTERIUM F. LANDWIRTSCHAFT, UMWELT UND LÄNDLICHE RÄUME	DE	2 119 852
31	FUDE + SERRAHN MILCHPRODUKTE GMBH & CO. KG	DE	2 055 231
32	UELZENA EG	DE	1 955 529
33	AGRARGESELLSCHAFT PFIFFELBACH MBH	DE	1 907 071
34	GROSSDREBNITZER AGRARBETRIEBSGESELLSCHAFT MBH VERTRETEN DURCH DEN GESCHÄFTSFUEHRER	DE	1 865 785
35	SACHSEN MILCH LEPPERSDORF GMBH	DE	1 845 865
36	AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT HEIDEFARM SDIER EG VERTRETEN DURCH DEN VORSTAND	DE	1 801 623
37	HOFGUT EICHIGT GMBH VERTRETEN DURCH DEN GESCHÄFTSFUEHRER	DE	1 799 310
38	GUT BORKEN GMBH & CO.KG	DE	1 766 607
39	GEMEINDE WERLTE	DE	1 764 069
40	SÜDZUCKER AG	DE	1 758 100
41	MARKTGEMEINSCHAFT ALTES LAND GMBH	DE	1 742 254
42	ERZEUGERORGANISATION DRESDNER OBST E. G. VERTRETEN DURCH DEN VORSTAND	DE	1 733 298
43	AGRASET-AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT EG NAUNDORF VERTRETEN DURCH DEN VORSTAND	DE	1 717 162
44	MILCH-LAND GMBH VEILSDORF	DE	1 716 885
45	AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT NEUZELLE EG	DE	1 713 235
46	STIFTUNG NATURSCHUTZ SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN	DE	1 705 243
47	AGRAR-PRODUKTE EG SPORNITZ	DE	1 694 606
48	MARKTGEMEINSCHAFT BODENSEEOBST	DE	1 677 108
49	STADT DASSOW	DE	1 673 605
50	AMT FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT, FLURNEUORDNUNG U FORSTEN MITTE	DE	1 666 826

Table A7.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Denmark

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STYRELSEN FOR VAND & NATURFORVALTN	DK	6 344 181
2	GASA NORD GRØNT AF.1 JANUAR AMBA	DK	3 452 951
3	ADAM CHRISTOFFER KNUTH	DK	2 577 474
4	NST HIMMERLAND	DK	2 430 942
5	LANDBRUG & FØDEVARER	DK	1 868 252
6	MEJERIFORENINGEN	DK	1 506 747
7	GASA ODENSE FRUGT - GRØNT A.M.B.A	DK	1 337 883
8	KJARGAARDEN I/S	DK	1 310 027
9	AAGE V. JENSEN NATURFOND	DK	1 236 937
10	VALLØ STIFTS LANDBRUG	DK	1 030 598
11	VIBORG KOMMUNE	DK	937 716
12	GRAM OG NYBØL GODSER A/S	DK	933 030
13	BREGENTVED I/S	DK	926 776
14	DE DANSKE HEDESELSKAB	DK	834 742
15	LOLLAND KOMMUNE	DK	828 268
16	TEKNISK FORVALTNING SKIVE	DK	793 864
17	KARSTEN STADSING	DK	790 661
18	SALTHOLMSEJERLAUGET	DK	760 746
19	ANETTE ERIKSEN	DK	737 134
20	KRIMINALFORSORGEN	DK	702 998
21	NSTBLÅVANDSHUK	DK	698 704
22	SUSANNE H. JEPPESEN	DK	654 280
23	JENS HENRIK ANDERSEN	DK	647 061
24	LARS POULSEN OTTE	DK	626 566
25	RALPH ANDREAS B CARSTENSEN	DK	609 732
26	NST HOVEDSTADEN	DK	608 056
27	PEDER RASMUSSEN	DK	599 604
28	SVENSTRUP & GIESEGARD LANDBRUG IS	DK	597 184
29	JOHANNES NIELSEN	DK	586 900
30	I/S THINGSTRUP	DK	586 363
31	RÅDHUSET HOLSTED	DK	585 253

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
32	HAVERVADGÅRD I/S	DK	573 040
33	BAKKEBO LANDBRUG APS	DK	551 556
34	GODSEJER PETER OXHOLM TILLICH	DK	550 139
35	FARRE GROVFODER FORSYNING AMBA	DK	548 008
36	DEN DANSKE NATURFOND	DK	527 500
37	VESTHIMMERLANDS KOMMUNE	DK	522 363
38	GINDESKOVGÅRD APS	DK	511 220
39	MADS STOKHOLM PEDERSEN	DK	502 322
40	TRANBJERG ØSTERGAARD A/S	DK	499 429
41	KRESTEN BJERRE	DK	494 524
42	DEMSTRUP HOVEDGAARD A/S	DK	482 737
43	GULDBORGSSUND AGRO I/S	DK	481 420
44	AGRIFOS I/S	DK	481 308
45	JØRGEN DALSGAARD	DK	476 749
46	PETER BUSCK	DK	475 443
47	ANDERS P LAUSTSEN	DK	475 443
48	DRIFTSFÆLLESSKABET STOUGAARD I/S	DK	474 068
49	KNUD KJÆR KNUDSEN	DK	469 707
50	FIONIA AGRO IS	DK	469 060

Table A7.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Estonia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MAAE LU EDENDAMISE SIHTASUTUS	EE	9 514 913
2	PÖLLUMAJANDUSE REGISTRITE JA INFORMATSIOONI AMET	EE	3 187 105
3	EESTI TÖUSIGADE ARETUSÜHISTU	EE	2 666 830
4	MAAE LUMINISTERIUM	EE	2 315 954
5	EESTI TÖULOOMAKASVATAJATE ÜHISTU	EE	1 949 139
6	TIIGIKALDA OÜ	EE	1 737 263
7	AS TARTU AGRO	EE	1 362 160
8	OSAÜHING ESTONIA	EE	1 267 142
9	PÖLLUMAJANDUSAMET	EE	1 028 746

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	AKTSIAELTS ADAVERE AGRO	EE	1 010 469
11	AKTSIAELTS VÄÄTSA AGRO	EE	1 003 904
12	OÜ CRISTELLA VT	EE	988 824
13	OSAÜHING WEISS	EE	963 379
14	AS ARAVETE AGRO	EE	903 298
15	OSAÜHINGVÄNDRA	EE	866 416
16	OÜ SADALA AGRO	EE	862 662
17	OSAÜHING PAISTEVÄLJA	EE	856 828
18	PUURMANI PÖLLUMAJANDUSÜHISTU	EE	845 684
19	SAIMRE VILJAKASVATUSE OÜ	EE	839 512
20	OSAÜHING AASPERE AGRO	EE	829 826
21	TULUNDUSÜHISTU LÜÜSTE PÖLLUMAJANDUSÜHISTU	EE	784 377
22	ATRIA FARMID OÜ	EE	782 653
23	AKTSIAELTS PEREVARA	EE	756 455
24	OSAÜHING METSTAGUSE AGRO	EE	737 164
25	OSAÜHING KOIGI	EE	734 355
26	OÜ LAEVA PÖLD	EE	731 078
27	KEHTNA MÖISA OSAÜHING	EE	718 227
28	EESTI PÖLLUMAJANDUS-KAUBANDUSKODA	EE	706 452
29	OSAÜHING KIRBLA	EE	702 517
30	OÜ MÄTIKU TALU	EE	690 629
31	ARU PÖLLUMAJANDUSE OSAÜHING	EE	669 208
32	OSAÜHING TROVADOR	EE	634 148
33	OSAÜHING KARJA	EE	626 554
34	OSAÜHING PÖLVA AGRO	EE	625 817
35	OSAÜHING VOORE FARM	EE	617 982
36	KABALA AGRO OSAÜHING	EE	611 098
37	AKTSIAELTS NÕO LIHATÖÖSTUS	EE	606 270
38	VAO AGRO OSAÜHING	EE	600 388
39	AS EESTI PAGAR	EE	592 230
40	AS SAAREMAA PIIMATÖÖSTUS	EE	592 120

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
41	OÜ MURAKA FARM	EE	588 629
42	OSAÜHING NUKIKE	EE	583 645
43	OSAÜHING KARINU PM	EE	556 496
44	SAVALA MASINAÜHISTU	EE	555 688
45	NOPRI TALU	EE	550 243
46	EESTI TAIMEKASVATUSE INSTITUUT	EE	545 233
47	TORMA PÖLLUMAJANDUSOSAÜHING	EE	540 756
48	OSAÜHING SAARE VEISEKASVATUS	EE	537 838
49	AKTSIASELTS LAATRE PIIM	EE	530 357
50	OSAÜHING OHTLA LIHAVEIS	EE	529 965

Table A7.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Spain

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO AGRARIO DE CASTILL	ES	16 304 627
2	DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE DESARROLLO RURAL Y P	ES	8 491 242
3	SOCIEDAD COOPERATIVA ANDVICASOL	ES	7 309 358
4	COMPAÑIA CANARIA DE PIENSOS SA	ES	7 222 000
5	GRANEROS DE TENERIFE, S.L.	ES	7 149 612
6	SEIASA DEL NORTE SA	ES	6 968 706
7	CONSEJERIA DE AGRICULTURA M. AMBIENTE Y D.RURAL	ES	6 173 196
8	SAT PRIMAFLOR	ES	5 611 834
9	HARINERA CANARIA SA	ES	5 140 707
10	CASI S. COOP. AND.	ES	4 947 685
11	MURGIVERDE SCA	ES	4 789 898
12	SAT 9662 AGROIRIS	ES	4 611 421
13	PIENSOS DEL ATLANTICO, S.A. (PIATSA)	ES	3 879 970
14	VEGA MAYOR SL	ES	3 611 571
15	CEREALES ARCHIPIELAGO SA	ES	3 475 523
16	INDASOL SAT	ES	3 337 467
17	CARCHUNA LA PALMA SDAD COOP AND	ES	3 146 684
18	XUNTA DE GALICIA	ES	2 872 961
19	HARINALIA CANARIAS, S.L.	ES	2 686 042

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
20	GRUPO HORTOFRUTICOLA PALOMA S.A.	ES	2 660 108
21	GREENMED, S.L. OP.652	ES	2 654 175
22	PROTOS BOD. RIBERA DE DUERO DE PEÑAFIEL	ES	2 633 221
23	CABASC SCOOP AND	ES	2 622 951
24	FRUTA DE ANDALUCÍA, SCA	ES	2 564 348
25	COMUNIDAD AUTONOMA REGION DE MURCIA	ES	2 501 590
26	EPE AUGAS DE GALICIA	ES	2 481 322
27	PRINCIPADO DE ASTURIAS	ES	2 438 253
28	AVIALSA	ES	2 419 324
29	JUNTA DE ANDALUCIA	ES	2 369 727
30	COMERCIAL GRUPO FREIXENET, S.A.	ES	2 271 075
31	SOL Y TIERRA CAMPO DE CARTAGENA S.L.	ES	2 234 110
32	PROLACTEA, SAU	ES	2 228 768
33	SAT N° 9895 AGRICOLA PERICHAN	ES	2 223 389
34	AFRUCAT	ES	2 156 502
35	FONDO ESPAÑOL DE GARANTÍA AGRARIA	ES	2 125 599
36	SCHREIBER DE CANARIAS, S.L.	ES	2 122 265
37	S COOP ANDALUZA COSTA DE HUELVA	ES	2 083 221
38	LASURGAL S.L.	ES	2 059 813
39	VAERSA VALENCIANA D APROFITAMENT ENERGETIC D RESID	ES	2 029 875
40	C.R. SANTA CRUZ	ES	2 000 000
41	INTERACEITUNA	ES	1 981 854
42	LECHE CELTA SLU	ES	1 979 578
43	CONGELADOS DE NAVARRA SA	ES	1 922 533
44	EMPRESA DE TRANSFORMACION AGRARIA SA	ES	1 897 636
45	GRANEROS DE FUERTEVENTURA SA	ES	1 841 402
46	MERCADONA, S.A.	ES	1 831 466
47	AB AZUCARERA IBERIA, S.L.U.	ES	1 829 355
48	QUESOS FLOR DE VALSEQUILLO	ES	1 820 208
49	PROTECTORA DE CARNES SL	ES	1 814 218
50	SAT HORTOFRUTICOLA MABE	ES	1 806 724

Table A7.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Finland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SUOMEN METSÄKESKUS - FINLANDS SKOGSCENTRAL	FI	2 857 461
2	LUONNONVARAKESKUS	FI	2 626 536
3	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-SUOMI RY	FI	1 543 008
4	JYVÄSKYLÄN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	1 408 919
5	PROAGRIA POHJOIS-SAVO RY	FI	1 321 812
6	KASVISHOVI OY	FI	1 196 853
7	AGROX OY	FI	1 153 377
8	TORIPIHA OY	FI	1 114 118
9	PROAGRIA OULU RY	FI	1 073 198
10	TRANS FARM OY	FI	1 061 778
11	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-SAVO RY	FI	1 030 794
12	MAITOHUHTA OY	FI	948 401
13	VALIO OY	FI	914 771
14	HERKKUJUUSTOLA OY	FI	909 944
15	PROAGRIA LÄNSI-SUOMI RY	FI	909 316
16	SAVONIA-AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	888 421
17	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-POHJANMAA RY	FI	885 841
18	TURUN YLIOPISTO	FI	877 988
19	HRV FARM OY	FI	863 055
20	KINNUSEN MYLLY OY	FI	862 394
21	OSUUSKUNTA SATAMAITO	FI	840 093
22	HÄMEEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	805 318
23	AHLQVIST NIKO OLAVI	FI	742 799
24	METSÄHALLITUS	FI	715 750
25	WILDERNESS SAFARIS SAARISELKÄ OY	FI	700 000
26	ANDELSLAGET NÄRPES GRÖNSAKER	FI	699 748
27	SVENSKA ÖSTERBOTTENS FÖRBUND FÖR UTBILDNING OCH KULTUR	FI	679 349
28	ATENO OY	FI	650 624
29	JUUSTOPORTTI FOOD OY	FI	600 000
30	OULUN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	598 297

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
31	KIINTEISTÖ OY VOLT FACTORY	FI	597 810
32	ALAJÄRVEN KAUPUNKI	FI	591 617
33	PROAGRIA POHJOIS-KARJALARY	FI	589 218
34	HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO	FI	582 412
35	KAUPPAPUUTARHA KULLMAN & WEEGAR OY	FI	567 626
36	SALININ TILA OY	FI	547 556
37	ÄLANDSTRÄDGÄRDSSHALL ANDELSLAG	FI	545 150
38	OY RONNY BERG AB	FI	528 819
39	MAATALOUSYHTYMÄ SUOMINEN JOONAS, TAPIO JA PÄIVI	FI	522 360
40	LEADER POHJOISIN LAPPI RY	FI	521 157
41	JANI PUKARALAMMI	FI	504 901
42	JOKISAARI MIKKO MATIAS	FI	501 018
43	SALMENSUUN TILA OY	FI	492 462
44	LANKISEN BROILERI OY	FI	490 972
45	JOENSUUN SEUDUN LEADER-YHDISTYS RY	FI	482 575
46	SEINÄJOEN PUUTARHA OY SEPU	FI	471 955
47	SEINÄJOEN SEUDUN KEHITTÄMISYHDISTYS LIIVERI RY	FI	469 755
48	TYÖTEHOSEURA RY, RUOTSIKSI ARBETSEFFEKTIVITETSFÖRENINGEN RF	FI	467 621
49	ILONET OY	FI	467 075
50	AB YRKESHÖGSKOLAN VID ÅBO AKADEMI	FI	455 703

Table A7.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – France

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SAS SUCRIERE DE LA REUNION	FR	31 800 725
2	CONSEIL REGIONAL DE LA GUADELOUPE	FR	18 043 603
3	SUCRERIE DE BOIS ROUGE	FR	13 249 000
4	S.A GARDEL	FR	12 224 190
5	URCOOPA	FR	12 009 438
6	CERAHEL	FR	11 971 431
7	ETS PUB AD DEPARTEMENT DE LA REUNION	FR	9 743 082

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
8	ARIBEV	FR	8 014 174
9	COOP SAVEOL	FR	7 230 586
10	OCEANE	FR	6 828 713
11	CENTRE NAT INTERPRO ECONOMIE LAITIERE	FR	6 652 140
12	LACTALIS INGREDIENTS	FR	5 304 212
13	AGRIAL	FR	4 966 116
14	COOP GRAP'SUD	FR	4 468 194
15	ETS PUBLIC CIRAD REUNION	FR	4 297 907
16	PETIT MORNE SARL	FR	4 263 690
17	SA BOIS DEBOUT	FR	4 219 559
18	LES VERGERS DE BLUE WHALE	FR	3 922 927
19	CONSEIL INTERPROF DU VIN DE BORDEAUX	FR	3 792 859
20	CASTEL FRERES	FR	3 740 127
21	UNION COOPERATIVES VINICOLES AQUITAINE	FR	3 682 961
22	ARIV	FR	3 552 461
23	GMA	FR	3 494 610
24	AMIV	FR	3 247 571
25	CHAMPAGNE PERRIER-JOUET	FR	3 208 952
26	CHAMBRE DEPT D AGRICULTURE CHAMBRE D AGR	FR	3 163 433
27	BANQUES FONDS EUROPEEN D'INVESTISSEMENT	FR	3 000 000
28	SYNDICAT MIXTE RIP 36	FR	2 952 000
29	ASS SYND AUTORISEE ASA CANAL DE LA PLAIN	FR	2 916 874
30	SAS BOYER	FR	2 702 831
31	NANTEUROP	FR	2 460 885
32	CECAB CENTRALE COOP AGRIC BRET	FR	2 436 435
33	LAITA	FR	2 333 052
34	G H MUMM ET CIE	FR	2 311 152
35	AZUR DISTILLATION	FR	2 252 316
36	SAS CILAM LJ	FR	2 233 660
37	TRISKALIA	FR	2 208 924
38	LA RICHARD SARL	FR	2 203 783
39	ETS PUBLIC CIRAD	FR	2 167 214

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
40	NOUVELLE CITE SAS	FR	2 142 969
41	BAGATELLE SARL	FR	2 114 104
42	COGEDAL	FR	2 073 877
43	MARCHE DE PHALEMPIN	FR	2 050 565
44	MNA	FR	1 993 997
45	FRANCE CHAMPIGNON	FR	1 987 352
46	EDEN SARL	FR	1 986 497
47	SA DES SUCRERIES ET RHUMERIES DE MARIE GALANTE	FR	1 964 159
48	IGUAVIE	FR	1 954 504
49	M CHAPOUTIER SA	FR	1 905 236
50	ASSOCIATION CARAIBES MELONNIERS	FR	1 888 919

Table A7.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Greece

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΕΙΣ ΥΠ.Α.Α.Τ. (DIEUTHUNSEIS UP.A.A.T.)	GR	22 364 663
2	ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΑ ΤΗΣ ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΙΑΣ (KOINONIA TIS PLIROFORIAS)	GR	11 691 239
3	ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΚΟΣ ΟΡΓΑΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΑ (ELLINIKOS GEORGIKOS ORGANISMOS DIMITRA)	GR	9 035 600
4	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ ΤΕΧΝΙΚΩΝ ΕΡΓΩΝ ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑΣ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ (DIEUTHUNSI TECHNIKON ERGON PERIFEREIAS THESSALIAS)	GR	5 124 091
5	Ο.Π. ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΥ ΒΕΡΟΙΑΣ VENUS GROWERS (O.P. AGROTIKOU SUNETAIRISMOU VEROIAS VENUS GROWERS)	GR	2 349 665
6	ΠΑΝΕΛΛΗΝΙΑ ΕΝΩΣΗ ΜΕΤΑΠΟΙΗΤΩΝ ΤΥΠ.ΕΞΑΓΩΓΕΩΝ ΕΛΙΩΝ (PANELLINIA ENOSI METAPOIITON TUP.EXAGOGEON ELION)	GR	2 245 495
7	ΜΟΔ ΑΕ (MOD AE)	GR	2 122 676
8	Ο.Π. - Α.Σ. ΝΑΟΥΣΑΣ (O.P.- A.S. NAOUSAS)	GR	2 038 714
9	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΝΟΜΟΥ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΟΝΙΚΗΣ Α.Ε ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI NOMOU THESSALONIKIS A.E OTA)	GR	1 866 250
10	ΔΑΣΑΡΧΕΙΟ ΑΤΑΛΑΝΤΗΣ (DASARCHEIO ATALANTIS)	GR	1 777 778
11	ΔΥΚΤΥΟ ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΩΝ ΚΟΝΣΕΡΒΟΠΟΙΗΩΝ ΦΡΟΥΤΩΝ ΑΕ (DUKTUO ELLINIKON KONSERVOPOIION FROUTON AE)	GR	1 771 131

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
12	Ο.Π - ΑΣΕΠΟΠ ΝΑΟΥΣΑΣ (O.P - ASEPOP NAOUSAS)	GR	1 596 278
13	ΟΜΑΔΑ ΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ ΕΑΣ ΑΙΓΙΑΛΕΙΑΣ (OMADA PARAGOGON EAS AIGIALEIAS)	GR	1 305 375
14	ΥΠΑΑΤΕ.Υ.Δ. Π.Α.Α. ΜΟΝΑΔΑ Δ' (UPAATE.U.D. P.A.A. MONADA D')	GR	1 212 200
15	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΕΠΕΞΕΡΓΑΣΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΩΛΗΣΕΩΣ ΟΠΩΡΟΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ ΣΕΠΟΠΙ (AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS EREXERGASIAS KAI POLISEOS OPOROKIPERUTIKON PROIONTON SEPOP)	GR	1 137 912
16	ΕΘΝΙΚΗ ΔΙΕΠΑΓΓΕΛΜΑΤΙΚΗ ΟΡΓΑΝΩΣΗ ΑΜΠΕΛΟΥ & ΟΙΝΟΥ (ETHNIKI DIEPAGGELMATIKI ORGANOSI AMPELOU & OINOU)	GR	1 085 450
17	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΧΑΛΚΙΔΙΚΗΣ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΕ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI CHALKIDIKIS ANAPTXIAKI AE OTA)	GR	1 078 750
18	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΚΑΒΑΛΑΣ-ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ.ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI KAVALAS-ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA.OTA)	GR	1 003 117
19	Ο.Π. Α.Σ. ΑΜΜΟΥ ΒΕΡΟΙΑΣ ΝΕΟΣ ΑΛΙΑΚΜΩΝ (O.P. A.S. AMMOU VEROIAS NEOS ALIAKMON)	GR	955 720
20	ΔΗΜΟΣ ΛΕΣΒΟΥ (DIMOS LESVOU)	GR	903 706
21	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΟΥ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΕ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI IRAKLEIOU ANAPTXIAKI AE OTA)	GR	880 450
22	Ο.Π.-ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΥ ΕΠΙΣΚΟΠΗΣ (O.P.-AGROTIKOU SUNETAIRISMOU EPISKOPIS)	GR	845 822
23	ΥΠΑΑΤ-ΣΤΑΜΚΟΣ ΓΡΗΓΟΡΙΟΣ (UPAAT-STAMKOS GRIGORIOS)	GR	826 859
24	Ο.Π. ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΥ ΜΕΣΗΣ (O.P. AGROTIKOU SUNETAIRISMOU MESIS)	GR	807 227
25	Ο.Π.ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ ΔΗΜΟΥ ΙΕΡΑΠΕΤΡΑΣ (O.P.AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS ANATOLI DIMOU IERAPETRAS)	GR	770 944
26	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΔΡΑΜΑΣ-ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ Ο.Τ.Α. (ANAPTXIAKI DRAMAS-ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA O.T.A.)	GR	769 667
27	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΚΑΒΑΛΑΣ (ENOSI AGROTIKON SUNETAIRISMON KAVALAS)	GR	761 706

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
28	ΕΥΔ ΠΑΑ - ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΡΟΔΟΠΗΣ-ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΕ ΟΤΑ (EUD PAA - ANAPTXIAKI RODOPIS-ANAPTXIAKI AE OTA)	GR	755 000
29	ΕΥΕ ΠΑΑ ΑΧΑΪΑ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (EUE PAA ACHAIA ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	740 000
30	ΔΗΜΟΣ ΣΟΦΑΔΩΝ (DIMOS SOFADON)	GR	716 103
31	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΠΕΛΛΑΣ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI PELLAS ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	705 000
32	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΙΚΗ ΣΥΜΠΡΑΞΗ Α.Λ.Μ.ΜΕ. Α.Ε. (AGROTIKI ETAIRIKI SUMPRAXI AL.M.ME. A.E.)	GR	700 235
33	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΔΩΔΕΚΑΝΗΣΟΥ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ Α.Ε. ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI DODEKANISOU ANAPTXIAKI A.E. OTA)	GR	696 533
34	Ο.Π.ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚ.ΣΥΝ/ΣΜΟΣ ΒΕΛΒΕΝΤΟΥ Η ΔΗΜΗΤΡΑ (O.P.AGROTIK.SUN/SMOS VELVENTOU I DIMITRA)	GR	696 094
35	ΦΑΡΜΑ ΧΗΤΑΣ Α.Ε. (FARMA CHITAS A.E.)	GR	684 908
36	ΚΟΙΝΟΠΡΑΞΙΑ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΟΜΑΔΩΝ ΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ Ν.ΗΜΑΘΙΑΣ. (KOINOPRAXIA SUNETAIRISMON OMADON PARAGOGON N.IMATHIAS.)	GR	683 738
37	ΔΑΣΑΡΧΕΙΟ ΔΙΔΥΜΟΤΕΙΧΟΥ (DASARCHEIO DIDUMOTEICHOU)	GR	679 076
38	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ Ν.ΛΑΡΙΣΑΣ ΟΤΑ ΑΕΝΟΛ (ANAPTXIAKI ETAIREIA N.LARISAS OTA AENOL)	GR	670 954
39	Ο.Π. ΑΓΡΟΤ.ΣΥΝΕΤ.ΘΕΣΣΑΛΩΝ ΤΟΜΑΤΟΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ (O.P. AGROT.SUNET.THESSALON TOMATOPARAGOGON)	GR	666 762
40	ΚΤΗΜΑ ΠΟΡΤΟ ΚΑΡΡΑΣ ΑΕ (KTIMA PORTO KARRAS AE)	GR	650 347
41	ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΗ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΙΑ ΖΑΧΑΡΗΣ Α.Ε. (ELLINIKI VIOMICHANIA ZACHARIS A.E.)	GR	650 345
42	ΑΙΤΟΛΙΚΗ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (AITOLIKI ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	650 000
43	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΗΠΕΙΡΟΥ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI IPEIROU ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	648 897
44	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΜΑΣΤΙΧΟΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ ΧΙΟΥ ΣΥΝ. Π.Ε. (ENOSI MASTICOPARAGOGON CHIOU SUN. PE.)	GR	635 113

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
45	ΥΠΑΑΤ - ΠΑΣΟΗΣ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΗΣ (UPAAT - PASOIS DIMITRIS)	GR	631 086
46	ΕΚΕΠΕ ΕΛΛ.ΚΕΝ.ΕΞΑΓΩΓΩΝ &ΠΡΟΩΘ.ΕΛΑΙΟΛΑΔΟΥ (ΕΚΕΡΕ ELL.KEN.EXAGOGON &PROOTH.ELAIOLADOU)	GR	629 826
47	ΑΚΟΜΜ-ΨΗΛΟΡΕΙΤΗΣ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (AKOMM-PSILOREITIS ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	616 477
48	ΤΡΙΧΩΝΙΔΑ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (TRICHONIDA ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	600 000
49	ΥΠΑΑΤ - (UPAAT -)	GR	586 682
50	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΠΑΡΝΩΝΑ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI PARNONA ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	585 000

Table A7.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Croatia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	HAMAG-BICRO HRVATSKA AGENCIJA ZA MALO GOSPODARSTVO, INOVACIJE I INVESTICIJE	HR	7 540 181
2	BELJE PLUS D.O.O.	HR	6 557 021
3	LIČKO-SENJSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	4 953 853
4	BIK D.O.O.	HR	4 675 652
5	SLAŠČAK D.O.O.	HR	4 328 960
6	AGENCIJA ZA PLAĆANJA U POLJOPRIVREDI, RIBARSTVU I RURALNOM RAZVOJU	HR	3 777 515
7	PP ORAHOVICA D.O.O.	HR	3 766 467
8	MESNA INDUSTRIJA NATURA D.O.O.	HR	3 530 993
9	ŠIRJAN D.O.O.	HR	3 246 405
10	MINISTARSTVO POLJOPRIVREDE	HR	3 122 898
11	FARMA TOMAŠANIĆ D.O.O.	HR	3 038 401
12	NOVI AGRARD.O.O.	HR	2 797 610
13	DISTRIBUTIVNI CENTAR ZA VOĆE I POVRĆE D.O.O.	HR	2 599 375
14	ČAKOVEČKI MLINOV D.D.	HR	2 545 783
15	GALIĆ D.O.O.	HR	2 136 120
16	VUPIK PLUS D.O.O.	HR	2 068 291

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
17	OSILOVAC D.O.O.ZA POLJOPRIVREDNU PROIZVODNJU	HR	2 052 938
18	KUTJEVO D.D.	HR	1 945 891
19	LUNETA D.O.O. ZA PROIZVODNJI I USLUGE	HR	1 912 185
20	STOČARSTVO RAIČ OBRT ZA UZGOJ GOVEDA	HR	1 744 561
21	POŽEŠKO-SLAVONSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	1 574 519
22	VETERINARSKA AMBULANTA MARTES D.O.O.	HR	1 572 415
23	PPK KARLOVAČKA MESNA INDUSTRIJA D.D.	HR	1 524 181
24	PPK VALPOVO D.O.O.	HR	1 511 727
25	SAVJETODAVNA SLUŽBA	HR	1 453 929
26	PZ ERGELA - VIŠNJICA	HR	1 322 979
27	PRO MILK D.O.O.	HR	1 307 116
28	VRANA D.O.O.	HR	1 261 482
29	PIK-VINKOVCI PLUS D.O.O.	HR	1 244 989
30	ZDRAVKO PANKRETIĆ	HR	1 125 272
31	BRANITELJSKA ZADRUGA AGRO-INVEST	HR	1 053 181
32	PODRAVKA D.D.	HR	1 045 965
33	BRANA D.O.O.	HR	1 042 216
34	VITEK, D.O.O.	HR	1 024 908
35	BIO ADRIA D.O.O.	HR	1 020 691
36	G.R.M. D.O.O.	HR	1 009 404
37	AGROPROMET D.O.O.ZA PROIZVODNJI, TRGOVINI I USLUG	HR	990 956
38	FRIDRIH D.O.O.	HR	846 948
39	KORINA PROIZVODNJA D.O.O.	HR	846 780
40	ANA-MARIJA ČAJKULIĆ	HR	820 140
41	KOKO HAJ, OBRT ZA UZGOJ I PRODAJU PERADI, VL. MARKO HAJNIĆ, MARIJA BISTRICA, TUGONICA 51	HR	817 307
42	SLADORANA D.O.O.	HR	812 668
43	OSJEČKO-BARANJSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	805 393
44	AGROPRODUKT D.O.O.	HR	797 175
45	PRIGORJE VOĆE D.O.O.	HR	795 085

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	POLJOPRIVREDA	HR	785 025
47	MOSLAVINA PROIZVODI D.O.O.	HR	762 525
48	SVINJOGOJSTVO KOLESARIĆ, OBRT ZA POLJOPRIVREDNU PR	HR	745 528
49	LUKA ŠMIT	HR	736 208
50	KRNJAK D.O.O.	HR	720 166

Table A7.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Hungary

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MAGYAR AGRÁR-, ÉLELMISZERGAZDASÁGI ÉSVIDÉKFEJLESZTÉSI KAMARA	HU	5 097 330
2	MINISZTERELNÖKSÉG KÖZPONTI KÖLTSÉGVETÉSI IRÁNYÍTÓ SZERVEZET	HU	4 837 670
3	AGROPRODUKT MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS ÉRTÉKESÍTŐ ZRT.	HU	3 129 980
4	BÓLYI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS KERESKEDELMI ZRT.	HU	2 835 615
5	KURUCZ AGRO MEZŐGAZDASÁGI SZOLGÁLTATÓ KFT.	HU	2 348 073
6	SÁRVÁRI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 923 105
7	AFFILIATE NETWORK KFT.	HU	1 710 268
8	KISALFÖLDI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 666 649
9	LAJTA-HANSÁG MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ KERESKEDELMI ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 596 516
10	SZOMBATHELYI TANGAZDASÁG ZRT.	HU	1 593 134
11	BOS-FRUCHT AGRÁRSZÖVETKEZET	HU	1 498 025
12	NEMZETI ÉLELMISZERLÁNC-BIZTONSÁGI HIVATAL	HU	1 495 636
13	TEDEJ AGRÁRTERMELŐ ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 471 268
14	ENYINGI AGRÁR ZRT.	HU	1 411 980
15	CLAESSENS ÁLTALÁNOS MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 383 745
16	DÉL-PEST MEGYEI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 356 663
17	AGRÁRGAZDASÁG MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ÉS KERESKEDELMI KFT.	HU	1 348 611
18	ARANY KAPU BORÁSZATI MELLÉKTERMÉK FELDOLGOZÓ ZRT.	HU	1 346 470
19	BEREK-FARM KFT.	HU	1 301 635

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
20	DALMANDI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 238 272
21	ZSADÁNYI MALOM '97 GABONAFELDOLGÓ ÉS KERESKEDELMI KFT.	HU	1 213 523
22	LAJOSKOMÁROMI TEJTERMELŐ KFT.	HU	1 183 459
23	PÁDPUSZTA KFT.	HU	1 181 216
24	HAJDÚBÖSZÖRMÉNYI BÉKE MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 167 907
25	BERKENYE FALU SZÖVETKEZET	HU	1 140 387
26	MEZŐFALVAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS SZOLGáltATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 113 969
27	PÉLPUSZTAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 078 622
28	HUNLAND-FARM MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS SZOLGáltATÓ KFT.	HU	1 067 927
29	SZARVASI AGRÁR ZRT.	HU	1 064 645
30	COMAGRO-SARDO MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ÉS KERESKEDELMI KFT.	HU	1 045 713
31	PROGRAG-AGRÁRCENTRUM MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ, KERESKEDELMI ÉS SZOLGáltATÓ KFT.	HU	1 042 065
32	NYAKAS ANDRÁS	HU	1 041 609
33	PÁLHALMAI AGROSPECIÁL MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉRTÉKESÍTŐ ÉS SZOLGáltATÓ KFT.	HU	1 028 310
34	HIDASHÁTI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 008 479
35	VEGA- HÁZ KFT.	HU	991 092
36	HORTOBÁGYI TERMÉSZETVÉDELMI ÉS GÉNMEGÖRZŐ NONPROFIT KFT.	HU	965 185
37	ERDŐHÁT MG. TERM.-, SZOLG.- ÉS KE ZRT.	HU	961 206
38	SZERENCSI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	940 805
39	INTER AGRÁRIUM MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	937 442
40	EXTRA TEJ TEJTERMELŐ KFT.	HU	930 794
41	NEMZETI MÉNESBIRTOK ÉS TANGAZDASÁG ZRT.	HU	910 640
42	HÁDA ZOLTÁN	HU	909 004
43	KÖRÖS-MAROS NEMZETI PARK IGAZGATÓSÁG	HU	905 664
44	GORZSAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	905 044
45	GEO-MILK NÖVÉNYTERMESZTŐ, SZARVASMARHATENYÉSZTŐ ÉS SZOLGáltATÓ KFT.	HU	888 127

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	HÓD-MEZŐGAZDA ZRT.	HU	887 031
47	DÁVODI AUGUSZTUS 20 MG. ZRT.	HU	866 156
48	NYUGATI KAPUT.K.SZ. SZÖVETKEZET	HU	865 773
49	SZENTESI PARADICSOM KFT.	HU	851 274
50	HUBERTUS AGRÁRIPARI BT.	HU	850 791

Table A7.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Ireland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	TEAGASC	IE	15 226 073
2	COMMERCIAL MUSHROOM PRS COOP	IE	4 104 710
3	JOHN MURPHY	IE	1 945 633
4	DONEGAL LCDC	IE	1 841 638
5	MICHAEL MURPHY	IE	1 613 987
6	BORD BIA	IE	1 450 334
7	JOHN KELLY	IE	1 379 452
8	PATRICK MURPHY	IE	1 364 482
9	JOHN O'SULLIVAN	IE	1 363 521
10	WATERFORD LCDC	IE	1 333 122
11	JOHN RYAN	IE	1 319 238
12	JOHN O'CONNOR	IE	1 276 367
13	MICHAEL RYAN	IE	1 266 615
14	KERRY LCDC	IE	1 180 152
15	JOHN WALSH	IE	1 134 763
16	MICHAEL WALSH	IE	1 109 305
17	MICHAEL O'CONNOR	IE	1 104 320
18	VINCENT COSTELLO	IE	1 085 009
19	JOHN O'BRIEN	IE	1 078 824
20	MICHAEL O'SULLIVAN	IE	1 073 893
21	OFFALY LCDC	IE	1 053 835
22	PATRICK WALSH	IE	1 015 511
23	MICHAEL KELLY	IE	979 845
24	PATRICK KELLY	IE	972 172

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
25	MAYO LCDC	IE	969 659
26	JAMES RYAN	IE	904 814
27	CARLOW LCDC	IE	858 448
28	MICHAEL O'BRIEN	IE	820 241
29	JAMES MURPHY	IE	782 526
30	JAMES WALSH	IE	775 565
31	PATRICK O'BRIEN	IE	765 638
32	TIPPERARY LCDC	IE	754 281
33	THE HEN HARRIER PROJECT LTD	IE	752 898
34	CLARE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY CLG	IE	749 227
35	COUNTY KILKENNY LEADER PARTNERSHIP CLG	IE	729 362
36	PATRICK LYNCH	IE	727 543
37	PATRICK RYAN	IE	722 257
38	JOHN BUCKLEY	IE	713 882
39	MICHAEL MCGRATH	IE	694 491
40	JOHN MCCARTHY	IE	688 131
41	THOMAS MURPHY	IE	681 934
42	THOMAS WALSH	IE	680 146
43	PATRICK O'CONNOR	IE	666 735
44	PATRICK O'SULLIVAN	IE	666 121
45	JOHN KENNEDY	IE	664 372
46	JOHN LYNCH	IE	659 039
47	JOHN O'CONNELL	IE	649 853
48	LIMERICK LCDC	IE	647 513
49	MICHAEL BURKE	IE	638 416
50	JOHN BURKE	IE	633 793

Table A7.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Italy

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	F.IN.A.F. FIRST INTERNETIONAL ASSOCIATION FRUIT SOC.CONSORTILE A RL	IT	28 431 603
2	AOP GRUPPO VI.VA. VISIONE VALORE SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	22 956 983

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
3	VOG - VERBAND DER SUEDTIROLER OBSTGEN. - GEN. LANDW. GES.	IT	17 052 117
4	CONSORZIO MELINDA SOC.COOP.AGRICOLA	IT	16 276 036
5	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	12 562 597
6	AOP UNOLOMBARDIA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	11 806 492
7	ITALIA OLIVICOLO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	9 134 502
8	VI.P GEN. LANDW. GESELLSCHAFT	IT	8 545 326
9	AOP PIEMONTE SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	6 843 763
10	CONFAGRI PROMOTION - SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	6 050 543
11	CONSORZIO INTERREGIONALE ORTOFRUTTICOLO SOC. COOP. A.R.L.	IT	4 917 562
12	AOP ROMANDIOLA SOC.CONS.A R.L.	IT	4 507 935
13	AOP ITALIA SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	4 400 062
14	A.BIO.MED. SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	4 328 979
15	ENOTECA REGIONALE EMILIA ROMAGNA	IT	3 642 980
16	UNASCO SCARL	IT	3 639 832
17	FONDO EUROPEO PER GLI INVESTIMENTI (FEI)	IT	3 564 000
18	ISTITUTO DEL VINO ITALIANO DI QUALITA' - GRANDI MARCHI S.R.L. CONSORTILE	IT	3 553 778
19	A.F.E. ASSOCIAZIONE FRUTTICOLTORI ESTENSE SOC. COOP. AGRICOLA	IT	3 515 993
20	SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CORTICELLA SRL	IT	3 515 009
21	FONDO DI ROTAZIONE REGIONALE PER INTERVENTI NEL SETTORE AGRICOLO	IT	3 471 160
22	SANTA MARGHERITA E KETTMEIR E CANTINE TORRESELLA S.P.A.	IT	3 372 575
23	BONIFICHE FERRARESI SPA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA	IT	3 199 640
24	CAVIRO EXTRA S.P.A. CON SOCIO UNICO	IT	3 172 118
25	AGRILINEA SRL A SOCIO UNICO	IT	3 062 035
26	DISTILLERIE BONOLLO SPA	IT	2 953 595

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
27	CONSORZIO INTRACOMUNITARI ORGANIZZAZIONI PRODUTTORI SOC.CONSORTILE A.R.L.	IT	2 839 181
28	VENETO ORTOFRUTTA SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	2 800 533
29	MARCHESI FRESCOBALDI SOC. AGR. SRL	IT	2 742 887
30	ASSO FRUIT ITALIA SOCIETA COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	2 740 485
31	TERRA ORTI - SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA	IT	2 680 797
32	CONSORZIO 1 TOSCANA NORD	IT	2 678 109
33	APOC SALERNO SOCIETA' AGRICOLA COOPERATIVA A.R.L.	IT	2 658 242
34	GENAGRICOLA SPA	IT	2 641 045
35	ASSOVINI SICILIA	IT	2 563 598
36	SOCIETA' AGRICOLA DELLA ROCCA	IT	2 329 713
37	A. O. P. MANTUAFRUIT SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	2 292 914
38	DISTILLERIA BERTOLINO S.P.A.	IT	2 239 157
39	ENOTRIA PROMOTION	IT	2 224 140
40	CANTINE SGARZI LUIGI S.R.L.	IT	2 219 790
41	ITALIA DEL VINO - CONSORZIO	IT	2 193 857
42	CONSORZIO PER LA TUTELA DELL'ASTI	IT	2 140 206
43	ALMA SEGES SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA	IT	2 101 628
44	O.P. VALLEVERDE SOC. AGRICOLA CONS. A.R.L.	IT	2 082 055
45	C.I.O. - CONS. INTERREGIONALE ORTOFRUTTICOLO - S.C.A.	IT	1 994 694
46	UNAPOL SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A.R.L.	IT	1 953 275
47	SOCIETA' AGRICOLA VISENTINI DI MARIO VISENTINI E.C. S.S.	IT	1 932 179
48	AGRICOLA F.LLI NOLA & C. SOC.AGRICOLA SEMPL	IT	1 912 271
49	CSC LAZIO SOCIETA COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA CONSORTILE	IT	1 891 057
50	AGENZIA FORESTALE REGIONALE	IT	1 814 774

Table A7.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Lithuania

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIJA PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	7 218 035
2	NACIONALINĖ MOKĖJIMO AGENTŪRA PRIE ŽEMĖS ŪKIO MINISTERIJOS, BJ	LT	5 967 545
3	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "KELMĖS PIENINĖ"	LT	3 078 779
4	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "MARIJAMPOLĖS PIENO KONSERVAI"	LT	2 939 804
5	ŽEMĖS ŪKIO KOOPERATYVAS "VILKAVIŠKIO GRŪDAI"	LT	2 651 769
6	VIEŠOJI ĮSTAIGA "PLAČIAJUOSTIS INTERNETAS"	LT	2 141 108
7	ŽEMĖS ŪKIO KOOPERATYVAS "ŠIAURĖS ARUODAI"	LT	1 885 489
8	VALSTYBĖS ĮMONĖ LIETUVOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO IR MAISTO PRODUKTŲ RINKOS REGULIAVIMO AGENTŪRA	LT	1 688 416
9	VIDMANTAS VYŠNIAUSKAS	LT	1 671 513
10	KOOPERATINĖ BENDROVĖ "AGROPARTNERIS"	LT	1 453 909
11	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "ŽEMAITIJOS PIENAS"	LT	1 366 208
12	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "ROKIŠKIO SŪRIS"	LT	1 205 431
13	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO MINISTERIJA, BJ	LT	1 171 794
14	BALTIJOS MAISTO ORGANIZACIJA	LT	1 098 702
15	VALSTYBĖS ĮMONĖ VALSTYBINIŲ MIŠKŲ URĒDIJA	LT	973 158
16	JONIŠKIO RAJONO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ "DELIKATESAS"	LT	972 453
17	LYGUMŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	861 616
18	UAB "AUGA GRŪDUVA"	LT	831 888
19	VIEŠOJI ĮSTAIGA LIETUVOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO KONSULTAVIMO TARNYBA	LT	811 703
20	KOOPERATYVAS "AGROAVES GROUP"	LT	764 914
21	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "PIENO ŽVAIGŽDĖS"	LT	744 925
22	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONO ŽIBARTONIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	702 213
23	VYTAUTO DIDŽIOJO UNIVERSITETAS	LT	684 320
24	ŽEIMELIO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	673 725
25	UAB "AGROŠILTNAMIAI"	LT	647 362

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	JONIŠKIO RAJONO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ "KEPALIAI"	LT	636 938
27	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "KREKENAVA"	LT	633 210
28	KURŠENŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	620 949
29	PASVALIO RAJONO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ "KIEMELIAI"	LT	595 577
30	ŽŪB "AUGA LANKESA"	LT	570 125
31	LIETUVOS SVEIKATOS MOKSLŲ UNIVERSITETAS	LT	568 679
32	ŠALČININKŲ RAJONOS AUSIENIŠKĘS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	568 642
33	ČESLOVAS VYTAUTAS KARBAUSKIS	LT	566 538
34	LYTAGROS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	546 528
35	UAB "VIKEBAS"	LT	536 629
36	ŽŪB "VG AUSIENIŠKĘS"	LT	536 299
37	UAB "VAISIAI JUMS"	LT	530 574
38	TOMAS SKIERUS	LT	530 185
39	ŽŪB "AUGA ŽELSVELĖ"	LT	525 282
40	RASA POŠIŪNAITĖ	LT	523 097
41	ŠAKIŲ RAJONO LUKŠIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	515 291
42	ŽŪB "AUGA ŽADŽIŪNAI"	LT	512 239
43	ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ "DRAUGAS"	LT	496 540
44	VAZNIŠKIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	495 918
45	KĖDAINIŲ RAJONO OKAINIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	494 765
46	MARTYNAS LAUKAITIS	LT	491 623
47	PAPILĖS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	488 842
48	SAULIUS JASINEVIČIUS	LT	485 547
49	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONO AUKŠTADVARIO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	484 574
50	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "LITRAILAS"	LT	479 692

Table A7.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Luxembourg

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	HESSAGRI S.C.	LU	1 058 682
2	ENGELEN GUY, HUBERT ET FILS	LU	954 199
3	WEIS FRERES ET SCHUH S.C. C/O ROMAIN WEIS	LU	819 421
4	THEIS LUC	LU	819 074
5	LEONARDY & WILDGEN S.C. C/OTOM LEONARDY	LU	677 269
6	REIFF ET FILS S.C.	LU	655 629
7	REIFF-LAFLEUR ANTOINETTE	LU	652 045
8	KELLAGRI SOCIETE CIVILE	LU	576 271
9	ERNZER-KIRSCHTEN MARIE-JEANNE	LU	560 973
10	FRANCK LAURENT	LU	534 800
11	TRAUSCH CLAUDE	LU	527 038
12	BOURG ET NEU S.C. C/O BOURG GEORGES	LU	506 062
13	KAES BOB	LU	500 511
14	KARELS GEORGES	LU	471 138
15	SCHANCK YVONNE	LU	464 253
16	WIRTZ-AGRIC/O WIRTZ FRANK	LU	428 911
17	STRASSER ALOYSE	LU	398 509
18	SCHMALEN-STRONCKPATRICK	LU	390 934
19	GROSBUSCH & FILS SARL	LU	348 902
20	FEITLER POL	LU	336 360
21	SCHMITGEN-RECKINGER GEORGES	LU	329 274
22	GOEDERT RONNY	LU	324 925
23	NICOLAY MARC	LU	322 775
24	REIFF JEFF	LU	321 820
25	EYSCHEN-SCHAUL YOLANDE ET FILS	LU	318 049
26	SAND YVES	LU	317 736
27	PELLER FRANKY	LU	308 764
28	BENTZ NICOLAS	LU	296 995
29	VAESSEN MARC	LU	283 574
30	ROMMESCHTER HAFF C/O PAUL CANNIVE	LU	267 155

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
31	SOPRANA C/O SCHLEICH BEN	LU	261 453
32	METTENDORFF JOE	LU	261 124
33	LIES ARNY	LU	257 131
34	KAIL ET KAIL S.C..	LU	254 506
35	BECK-AGRI C/O BECK ALPHONSE	LU	234 436
36	SCHMIT & WALCH S.C. C/O SCHMIT PAUL	LU	231 001
37	REDING MICHEL	LU	228 177
38	MILLER FRANK	LU	214 254
39	MAJERUS CHRISTOPHE	LU	212 489
40	ROLLINGER MARC	LU	212 335
41	SOPIBO .	LU	209 231
42	SAMFLOCK C/O SCHANCKYVES	LU	200 298
43	MOUSEL-MEIERS MARGOT & FILS MARC	LU	196 025
44	SCHILTZ DANIEL	LU	195 625
45	NAU JEAN-PIERRE	LU	194 391
46	CAPRISO .	LU	194 183
47	SCHREIBER PIERRE	LU	190 541
48	DELLFERME STEICHEN/ MEYERS/GENGLER/WALSD	LU	181 631
49	SOTHOLUX SC	LU	180 406
50	THINES-MOLITOR SONJA	LU	180 101

Table A7.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Latvia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	VALSTS SIA ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJAS NEKUSTAMIE ĪPAŠUMI	LV	8 054 661
2	VALSTS IESTĀDE ZM LAUKU ATBALSTA DIENESTS	LV	5 919 117
3	VALSTS IESTĀDE ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJA	LV	5 432 205
4	PSV DOBELES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	3 352 891
5	PSV JELGAVAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 984 688
6	VALSTS IESTĀDE VALSTS MEŽA DIENESTS	LV	1 442 615
7	SIA INGLEBY DOBELE AGRO SIA	LV	1 419 153

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
8	SIA PAMPĀLI	LV	1 418 242
9	PSV TUKUMA NOVADA DOME	LV	1 400 242
10	SIA ARTIS JP	LV	1 388 197
11	KS KOOPERATĪVĀ SABIEDRĪBA "BALTIJAS DĀRZENĪ"	LV	1 334 973
12	AS AGROFIRMA TĒRVETE	LV	1 261 425
13	PSV JĒKABPILS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 230 734
14	SIA LATVIJAS LAUKU KONSULTĀCIJU UN IZGLĪTĪBAS CENTRS	LV	1 198 663
15	PSV LIMBAŽU NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 162 916
16	PSV VENTSPILS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 138 821
17	SIA AGRO-KĀKENIEKI	LV	1 128 121
18	PSV BAUSKAS NOVADA ADMINISTRĀCIJA	LV	1 115 958
19	PSV KANDAVAS NOVADA DOME	LV	1 103 065
20	SIA FITO-AL	LV	1 078 000
21	PSV MADONAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 060 962
22	SIA DAILE AGRO	LV	1 029 712
23	AS DOBELES DZIRNAVNIEKS	LV	1 000 000
24	SIA RĀVAS	LV	951 662
25	PSV OGRES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	932 345
26	PSV DAUGAVPILS NOVADA DOME	LV	928 636
27	SIA AGROFIRMA "TURĪBA"	LV	878 075
28	PSV KRUSTPILS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	870 256
29	SIA TAND UKRI	LV	868 790
30	AS BALTICOVO	LV	846 511
31	ZS ALŪKSNES RAJONA MĀLUPES PAGASTA M.AUGSTKALNIEŠA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "KADIĶI M.A."	LV	793 791
32	PSV KULDĪGAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	781 578
33	SIA UZVARA-LAUKS	LV	780 130
34	SIA GL ROŽKALNI	LV	764 538
35	PSV VIESĪTES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	763 461
36	PSV JAUNJELGAVAS NOVADADOME	LV	738 455

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
37	SIA SALDUS DRUVA	LV	738 022
38	ZS BAUSKAS RAJONA SVITENES PAGASTA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "URŠTĒNI"	LV	723 029
39	SIA LAUKU AGRO	LV	722 603
40	SIA LIELVIRCAVA AGRO	LV	717 579
41	PSV KĀRSAVAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	704 622
42	SIA LIELMEŽOTNE	LV	704 579
43	AS ZIEDI JP	LV	696 559
44	ZS ĀRA BURKĀNA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "SĒJAS"	LV	695 523
45	SIA KLAGATI	LV	690 143
46	SIA UŽAVAS ALUS	LV	684 407
47	ZS AIZKRAUKLES RAJONA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "ĢĒGERI"	LV	661 121
48	SIA JOŽI	LV	641 055
49	SIA AGROFIRMA LOBE	LV	628 963
50	ZS GRANTINĀ ANDRA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "ERIŅI"	LV	619 721

Table A7.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Malta

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	TRANSPORT MALTA	MT	4 444 620
2	RABAT LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	807 514
3	FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION DIRECTORATE	MT	685 068
4	ST. PAUL'S BAY LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	430 535
5	GUDJA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	353 985
6	NADUR LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	297 860
7	DIRECTOR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	MT	292 401
8	QORMI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	219 913
9	DINGLI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	214 660
10	GHASRI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	189 299
11	XAGHRA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	187 756
12	IZ-ZEBBUG GOZO LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	157 747
13	KERCSEM LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	155 641

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
14	GHAJNSIELEM LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	138 363
15	ZURRIEQ LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	134 239
16	IKLIN LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	104 547
17	HAL GHARGHUR LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	101 609
18	FOUNDATION ACTION GROUP XLOKK	MT	93 980
19	SAN LAWRENZ LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	89 072
20	MALTA DAIRY PRODUCTS LTD	MT	86 454
21	GHAXAQ LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	85 770
22	KRISTINU AZZOPARDI AND SONS LTD	MT	82 857
23	XEWKJJA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	82 199
24	ABELA VICTOR	MT	80 622
25	HAZ-ZEBBUG MALTA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	75 210
26	BIRZEBBUGA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	61 602
27	ZABBAR LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	59 985
28	ST RITA FARM COMPANY LTD	MT	56 455
29	VELLA GEORGE	MT	54 941
30	SCIBERRAS MICHAEL	MT	53 432
31	MGARR LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	50 573
32	JAMES CATERERS LTD	MT	50 206
33	CASSAREMANUEL	MT	49 996
34	BUTTIGIEG CHRISTOPHER	MT	48 567
35	PARNIS CRISPINO	MT	44 074
36	MAJJISTRAL ACTION GROUP	MT	43 292
37	LUQA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	42 750
38	GOZO ACTION GROUP FOUNDATION	MT	41 608
39	SCIBERRAS SAVIOUR	MT	40 891
40	FENECH FRANK	MT	40 198
41	GHARB LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	40 090
42	AZZOPARDI LOUIS	MT	38 817
43	BORG CHRISTIAN	MT	38 810
44	CARUANA CARMELO	MT	38 538
45	PARNIS SALVINU	MT	38 286

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	SPITERI JOSEPH	MT	35 234
47	BORG CHARLO	MT	34 368
48	SANTA LUCIA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	33 858
49	VELLA NAZARENO	MT	33 769
50	MICALLEF JOSEPH	MT	31 623

Table A7.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Netherlands

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	COÖPERATIE NATUURRIJK LIMBURG U.A.	NL	6 493 022
2	TELERSCOÖPERATIE NOVA FRESH U.A.	NL	6 283 504
3	HOOFDKANTOOR WETTERSKIP FRYSLÂN	NL	4 318 510
4	NOARDLIKE FRYSKÉ WALDEN	NL	3 841 066
5	VER. AGR. NATUUR-/LANDSCH.BEH. WATER, LAND	NL	3 533 099
6	COÖPERATIE KOMPANYU.A.	NL	3 195 710
7	FOSSA EUGENIANA	NL	3 106 197
8	COÖPERATIEF AGRARISCH NATUUR COLLECTIEF VELUWE U.A.	NL	3 005 441
9	COÖPERATIE 'DOOR' U.A.	NL	2 990 894
10	COÖPERATIE 'AGRARISCHE NATUUR DRENTH'E U.A.	NL	2 942 183
11	COLLECTIEF ALBLASSERWAARD/VIJFHEERENLANDEN COÖPERATIEVE U.A.	NL	2 909 824
12	COLLECTIEF GRONINGEN WEST	NL	2 785 163
13	WATERSCHAP DRENTS OVERIJSELSE DELTA	NL	2 484 018
14	WATERSCHAP ZUIDERZEELAND	NL	2 387 534
15	COÖP. AGR. NAT.BEH.VER. COLL. RIVIERENLAND UA	NL	2 287 077
16	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING AGRARISCH LANDSCHAP ACHTERHOEK U.A.	NL	2 236 337
17	GEBIEDSCOÖPERATIE IT LEGE MIDDEN U.A.	NL	2 019 128
18	WATERSCHAP RIJN EN IJssel	NL	2 006 879
19	PROLANDER	NL	1 942 115

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
20	VER. AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER HOLLANDS NOORDEN	NL	1 898 823
21	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING SÜDWESTKUST U.A.	NL	1 858 171
22	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING COÖPERATIE AGRARISCH COLLECTIEF WAADRÂNE U.A.	NL	1 790 173
23	AVERIS SEEDS B.V.	NL	1 778 218
24	COÖPERATIEVE AGRARISCHE NATUURCOLLECTIEF NOORDWEST OVERIJssel U.A.	NL	1 775 180
25	WATERSCHAPSHUIS WATERSCHAP VECHTSTROMEN	NL	1 594 152
26	ANC WESTERGO	NL	1 572 974
27	GEBIEDSCOÖPERATIE RIJN VECHT EN VENEN U.A.	NL	1 509 842
28	WATERSCHAP SCHELDESTROMEN	NL	1 503 752
29	AGRARISCHE NATUURVERENIGING OOST GRONINGEN	NL	1 439 456
30	PROVINCIE UTRECHT	NL	1 358 899
31	AGRARISCHE NATUURVERENIGING 'WADDENVOGELS'	NL	1 324 455
32	FRUIT OP JE WERK	NL	1 308 885
33	COÖPERATIE AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER UTRECHTOOST U.A.	NL	1 285 990
34	C.J. DEN DAAS B.V.	NL	1 285 538
35	COÖPERATIEF COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER OOST-BRABANT U.A.	NL	1 253 049
36	COÖPERATIEF COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER WEST-BRABANT U.A.	NL	1 214 409
37	REGIEBUREAU POP	NL	1 209 722
38	ELAN ZUIDOOST FRIESLAND	NL	1 197 235
39	HOOGHEEMRAADSCHAP HOLLANDS NOORDERKWARTIER	NL	1 193 226
40	COÖPERATIE COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER U.A.	NL	1 150 529
41	COÖPERATIEVE AGRARISCH NATUURCOLLECTIEF MIDDEN OVERIJssel U.A.	NL	1 099 085
42	CENTRALE ORGANISATIE VOOR DE VLEESSECTOR	NL	1 066 650

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	KWEKERIJ MONNIKENWAARD	NL	950 000
44	VERS & FIJN BENELUX B.V.	NL	933 064
45	B.V. EXPLOITATIE RESERVEGRONDEN FLEVOLAND	NL	914 987
46	AGRARISCH NATUUR- EN LANDSCHAPSCOLLECTIEF M-G	NL	891 164
47	V.A. NAT.-/LANDSCH.BEH. RIJN & GOUWE WIERICKE	NL	865 200
48	H.M. TESSELAAR C.S.	NL	815 864
49	W EN J SCHUTTE	NL	802 914
50	STIVAS	NL	799 219

Table A7.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Poland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MINISTERSTWO ROLNICTWA I ROZWOJU WSI	PL	12 118 794
2	AGENCJA RESTRUKTURYZACJI I MODERNIZACJI ROLNICTWA	PL	11 366 824
3	SEBEX SP, Z O,O, SP, K,	PL	1 722 303
4	GRUPA RYLEX SPÓŁKA Z OGRODZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ	PL	1 656 457
5	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA LUBELSKIEGO	PL	1 655 128
6	KRAJOWA STACJA CHEMICZNO-ROLNICZA	PL	1 572 810
7	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA ŚLĄSKIEGO	PL	1 388 441
8	RAJPOL SPÓŁKA Z OGRODZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ	PL	1 378 537
9	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA PODKARPACKIEGO	PL	1 365 341
10	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIEGO	PL	1 303 692
11	URZĄD MARSZAŁKOWSKI WOJEWÓDZTWA PODLASKIEGO	PL	1 297 639
12	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA LUBUSKIEGO	PL	1 287 220
13	TOP FARMS WIELKOPOLSKA SP, Z O, O,	PL	1 237 569
14	TOP FARMS GŁUBCZYCE SPÓŁKA Z O,O,	PL	1 205 220
15	KOMBINAT ROLNY KIETRZ SP, ZO,O,	PL	1 194 914
16	WILGA FRUIT SP, Z O,O,	PL	1 175 755

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
17	SPÓŁDZIELCZA AGROFIRMA WITKOWO	PL	1 168 311
18	ANDERSEN POLAND SPÓŁKA Z OGRANICZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ SPÓŁKA KOMANDYTOWA	PL	1 137 875
19	HOOGWEGT POLAND SP, ZO,O,	PL	1 099 938
20	PAWEŁSZEMETIUK	PL	1 098 880
21	GMINA NOWA KARCZMA	PL	1 078 907
22	AGENCJA REZERW MATERIAŁOWYCH	PL	1 068 120
23	GMINA SZTABIN	PL	1 048 032
24	GMINA CHEŁM	PL	1 019 729
25	GMINA KALINOWO	PL	1 013 734
26	GMINA ROSSOSZ	PL	994 617
27	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA POMORSKIEGO	PL	989 461
28	POWIAT TOMASZOWSKI	PL	981 597
29	AGMAR DORADZTWO WPR MAŁGORZATA JAWORSKA-DUSZYK	PL	977 184
30	STOWARZYSZENIE RZEŹNIKÓW I WĘDLINIARZY RZECZYPOSPOLITEJ POLSKIEJ	PL	967 002
31	TWÓJ OWOC SPÓŁKA Z OGRANICZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ	PL	962 649
32	GMINA I MIasto WARTA	PL	958 010
33	GOODVALLEY AGRO SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	952 685
34	POWIAT RZESZOWSKI	PL	948 074
35	TARGET POLSKA SP, Z O, O, SP, K,	PL	946 540
36	PRZETWÓRNIA OWOCOWO-WARZYWNO-MIĘSNA RAFIŃSKI S,C, PIOTR RAFIŃSKI, ALICJA RAFIŃSKA	PL	926 723
37	GMINA KORYCIN	PL	913 630
38	POWIAT LUBELSKI	PL	911 508
39	GMINA JAŚWIŁY	PL	910 104
40	GMINA TYKOCIN	PL	894 703
41	GMINA JADÓW	PL	889 612
42	POWIAT LEŻAJSKI	PL	880 617
43	POWIAT KONECKI	PL	873 390

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIEGO	PL	872 331
45	GMINA MYSZYNIEC	PL	868 891
46	POWIAT OBORNICKI	PL	867 144
47	GMINA BYTOM ODRZAŃSKI	PL	864 364
48	POWIAT KRASNOSTAWSKI	PL	857 586
49	POWIAT ZAMOJSKI	PL	849 491
50	GMINA KORZENNA	PL	848 721

Table A7.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Portugal

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	DIRECÇÃO GERAL DE AGRICULTURA E DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL	PT	7 925 219
2	EDIA - EMPRESA DE DESENVOLVIMENTO E INFRA-ESTRUTURAS DO ALQUEVA S.A.	PT	7 033 959
3	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE BENEFICIÁRIOS DA LEZÍRIA GRANDE DE VILA FRANCA DE XIRA	PT	5 204 067
4	INSTITUTO DE FINANCIAMENTO DA AGRICULTURA E PESCA S.I.P.	PT	4 115 593
5	INSTITUTO DE ALIMENTAÇÃO E MERCADOS AGRICOLAS (IAMA)	PT	3 684 653
6	INSULAR - PRODUTOS ALIMENTARES, S.A	PT	3 375 544
7	FINANÇOR - AGRO-ALIMENTAR S.A.	PT	2 994 128
8	ESTRUTURA DE MISSÃO PARA O PROGRAMA DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL DO CONTINENTE	PT	2 969 989
9	RAMA-RAÇÕES PARA ANIMAIS S.A.	PT	2 366 788
10	INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE INVESTIGAÇÃO AGRÁRIA E VETERINÁRIA IP	PT	2 161 848
11	COMPANHIA DAS LEZÍRIAS S.A.	PT	2 126 100
12	FUNDAÇÃO EUGÉNIO DE ALMEIDA	PT	1 923 638
13	ANABLE - ASSOCIAÇÃO NACIONAL PARA O MELHORAMENTO DOS BOVINOS LEITEIROS	PT	1 914 246
14	SECRETARIA REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCA S.	PT	1 717 364
15	UNICOL - COOPERATIVA AGRÍCOLA, CRL	PT	1 619 440

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
16	DIRECCAO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DO NORTE	PT	1 609 091
17	J.PORTUGAL RAMOS, VINHOS, S.A.	PT	1 423 556
18	LIDO SOL II - DISTRIBUICÃO DE PRODUTOS ALIMENTARES S.A.	PT	1 413 094
19	COOPERATIVA UNIÃO AGRÍCOLA CRL	PT	1 412 863
20	VINIPORTUGAL ASSOC INTERPROFISSIONAL PROMOÇÃO DOS VINHOS PORTUGUESES	PT	1 391 907
21	OLIVOMUNDO SOCIEDADE AGRÍCOLA LDA.	PT	1 324 742
22	DIRECÇÃO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DE LISBOA E VALE DO TEJO	PT	1 296 970
23	LUSOMORANGO - ORGANIZACAO DE PRODUTORES DE PEQUENOS FRUTOS SA	PT	1 247 887
24	UNIVERSIDADE DE TRÁS OS MONTES E ALTO DOURO	PT	1 219 626
25	SECRETARIA REGIONAL DA AGRICULTURA E FLORESTAS	PT	1 097 044
26	ELAIA C NEGRA, SA	PT	1 084 011
27	COMPANHIA AGRICOLA DA APARIÇA SA	PT	1 021 439
28	DIRECÇÃO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DO ALENTEJO	PT	1 001 025
29	AGRICOLA EL ENJERO LDA	PT	997 131
30	MUNICÍPIO DE SÃO VICENTE	PT	953 305
31	SOGRAPE VINHOS, S.A.	PT	946 418
32	CRITÉRIO DE ESCOLHA - LDA	PT	932 450
33	ACHAR - ASSOCIAÇÃO DOS AGRICULTORES DE CHARNECA	PT	923 875
34	CONFEDERACAO DOS AGRICULTORES DE PORTUGAL	PT	920 052
35	COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA DO TAVORA CRL	PT	911 849
36	MUNICÍPIO DO FUNCHAL	PT	906 596
37	OLIMELGA, SOCIEDADE AGRÍCOLA LDA.	PT	897 493
38	DIRECÇÃO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DO CENTRO	PT	893 839
39	FRUSOAL - FRUTAS SOTAVENTO ALGARVE LDA	PT	874 744
40	MUNICÍPIO DE CÂMARA DE LOBOS	PT	856 079

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
41	MODELO CONTINENTE HIPERMERCADOS, SA	PT	839 203
42	AGROMAIS-ENTREPOSTO COMERCIAL AGRICOLA CRL	PT	835 441
43	MUNICÍPIO DA RIBEIRA BRAVA	PT	835 244
44	SOCIEDADE AGRÍCOLA DA HERDADE DE ALCOBACA, S.A.	PT	829 943
45	COMISSÃO DE VITICULTURA DA REGIÃO DOS VINHOS VERDES	PT	821 460
46	TORRIBA - ORGANIZACAO DE PRODUTORES DE HORTOFRUTICOLAS S.A.	PT	812 076
47	CASA SANTOS LIMA - COMPANHIA DAS VINHAS S.A.	PT	785 534
48	CENTRAL DE FRUTAS DO PAINHO S.A.	PT	781 542
49	MADRE FRUTA - CENTRO DE VENDAS HORTOFRUTICOLAS LDA	PT	776 860
50	MB3AGRO, LDA	PT	765 542

Table A7.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Romania

Due to technical issues with data scraping the list of direct beneficiaries has not yet been finalised. The list will be added to the final study.

Table A7.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Sweden

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STATENS JORDBRUKSVERK	SE	10 329 297
2	SYDGRÖNTEK FÖR	SE	3 288 540
3	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I SKÅNE LÄN	SE	2 169 970
4	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I VÄSTRA GÖTALANDS LÄN	SE	2 078 063
5	SVENSKA ODLARLAGET EK FÖR	SE	1 620 187
6	HUDDUNGE TELEKOM EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	1 548 967
7	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I JÄMLANDS LÄN	SE	1 314 104
8	STOCKHOLMS SKÄRGÄRDSNÄT AB	SE	1 238 885
9	LANDSBYGDSNÄT I TRANEMO KN EK FÖRENING	SE	1 155 680
10	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I SKÅNE LÄN	SE	1 063 815
11	SVERIGES LANTBRUKSUNIVERSITET	SE	947 510
12	JÖNKÖPING ENERGI AKTIEBOLAG	SE	940 115
13	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I HALLANDS LÄN	SE	929 088

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
14	SVENSKA GRÖNSAKSMÄSTARE I FÖRENING EK FÖR	SE	906 358
15	SÖDRA STRÖMSTAD FIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	903 867
16	LÄNSSTYRELSEN ÖSTERGÖTLAND	SE	822 656
17	K C RANCH AB	SE	805 878
18	VADSBO MJÖLK AB	SE	770 240
19	SNATENS LANTBRUK AB	SE	756 325
20	KÖPINGS KABEL-TV AKTIEBOLAG	SE	703 455
21	HANNABADS FIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	691 184
22	HAURIDA - VIREDA FRAMTIDSFIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	687 156
23	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I DALARNAS LÄN	SE	686 511
24	OTTERSLÄTTEN LANTBRUK AB	SE	670 834
25	SÖDRA FRÄKNE FIBERFÖRENING EK. FÖR.	SE	662 785
26	VÖKBY BREDBAND AB	SE	662 266
27	HÖGESTAD & CHRISTINEHOF FÖRVALTNINGS AB	SE	645 101
28	SKEPPLANDA FIBERFÖRENING EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	643 311
29	LÄNSSTYRELSEN GÄVLEBORG	SE	619 507
30	BY-HORNDAL LANDSBYGD FIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	612 438
31	WANÅS GODS AB	SE	599 864
32	BJÖRKIL & AMNEBYNS MJÖLK AB	SE	594 475
33	HUSHÅLLNINGSSÄLLSKAPENS SERVICE AB	SE	576 428
34	JONSSON KJELL IVAR	SE	570 843
35	SKOGSSTYRELSEN	SE	566 776
36	GYLLENKROK AXEL	SE	559 246
37	NYNÄS SÄTERI AB	SE	558 655
38	FIBER I BRUNSKOG-NORRA VÄRMELN EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	558 631
39	SKURUPS ELVERK AB	SE	558 253
40	VAGGERYDS KOMMUNENS ÖSTRA FIBERFÖRENING EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	552 542
41	KARLSHAMN ENERGI AB	SE	546 350

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
42	WAPNÖ AB	SE	530 143
43	HELSINGBORGS STAD	SE	530 120
44	RÅBELÖFS GODSFÖRVALTNING AB	SE	521 305
45	ALMNÄS BRUK AB	SE	514 045
46	TELESERVICE BREDBAND SKÅNE AB	SE	512 986
47	TELL DAGSNÄS AB	SE	505 527
48	WISTRÖMS LANTBRUK AB	SE	494 334
49	LÄNSSTYRELSEN VÄSTERBOTTEN	SE	492 193
50	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I UPPSALA LÄN	SE	487 843

Table A7.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Slovenia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MKGP	SI	3 977 127
2	PANVITA D.D.	SI	2 760 623
3	KG LENDAVA D.D.	SI	1 963 935
4	PERUTNINA PTUJ D.D.	SI	1 926 252
5	ŽIPO LENART D.O.O.	SI	1 250 148
6	RADGONSKE GORICE D.O.O.	SI	1 109 392
7	CELJSKE MESNINE D.O.O.	SI	968 398
8	PP - AGRO D.O.O.	SI	857 811
9	JERUZALEM ORMOŽ SAT D.O.O.	SI	824 317
10	GO-KO D.O.O.	SI	767 415
11	VINAKOPER, D.O.O. KOPER	SI	750 984
12	FARME IHAN - KPM D.O.O.	SI	747 213
13	PUKLavec FAMILY WINES D.O.O.	SI	714 488
14	KMETIJSTVO ČRNCI D.O.O.	SI	674 503
15	ŠALEJ KLEMEN	SI	552 271
16	AED.O.O.	SI	535 845
17	KŽK D.O.O.	SI	530 321
18	ŠKET ROK	SI	508 714
19	BIOMASA D.O.O., LUČE	SI	497 849
20	KURTOVIĆ MARIO	SI	494 519

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	GIZ MESNE INDUSTRIJE SLOVENIJE	SI	469 619
22	LJUTOMERČAN D.O.O.	SI	462 919
23	KLET BRDA, Z.O.O.DOBROVO	SI	439 851
24	ŠKAPER GORAN	SI	432 911
25	SEČI DANIJEL	SI	418 124
26	SLAVIČ BOŠTJAN	SI	388 810
27	EVROSAD D.O.O. KRŠKO	SI	388 278
28	CIGÜT ŠTEFAN	SI	380 247
29	PIVKA D.D.	SI	375 356
30	SLEMENJAK SIMONA	SI	346 377
31	KIS	SI	345 875
32	KLEMENČIČ BRANKO	SI	336 132
33	ZGS	SI	336 016
34	STARIHA JOŽE	SI	333 877
35	DRINOVEC GREGOR	SI	331 420
36	KUHAR DEJAN	SI	321 871
37	MESARSTVO OBLAK D.O.O.	SI	302 369
38	VAMBERGER BOŠTJAN	SI	296 014
39	JATA EMONA D.O.O.	SI	280 519
40	LUKAČ JOŽEF	SI	273 921
41	JGZ RINKA	SI	262 808
42	HAFNERTINE	SI	261 249
43	MIROSAN, D.O.O.	SI	245 781
44	ŽGAJNARTINA	SI	237 394
45	VIHARJURE	SI	228 280
46	HPG BREŽICE D.O.O.	SI	225 077
47	PARADAJZ D.O.O.	SI	224 386
48	JUHART JANUŠ	SI	220 422
49	PUPIS MIHA	SI	219 428
50	GIZ MLEKARSTVA SLOVENIJE	SI	219 125

Table A7.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Slovakia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	BEST MEAT S.R.O.	SK	4 173 471
2	PÔDOHOSPODÁRSKA PLATOBNÁ AGENTÚRA	SK	4 006 674
3	MINISTERSTVO PÔDOHOSPODÁRSTVA A ROZVOJA VIDIEKA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	2 746 044
4	FARMA MAJCICHOV, A.S.	SK	2 407 853
5	AGRIA LIPTOVSKÝ ONDREJ, A.S.	SK	2 295 355
6	AGRO-RACIO S.R.O.	SK	2 228 488
7	DAN-SLOVAKIA AGRAR, A.S.	SK	2 172 608
8	FIRSTFARMS AGRA M S. R. O.	SK	2 146 302
9	LADISLAV KUĽKA - VK & SPOL.	SK	2 118 861
10	AGROBAN S.R.O.	SK	2 082 910
11	STAROHORSKÁ VINÁRSKA SPOLOČNOSŤ, DRUŽSTVO	SK	2 077 461
12	AGRO - MOLD AKCIOVÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ	SK	2 071 239
13	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO V CHYNORANOCH	SK	1 995 622
14	AGRO-PONIKY, S.R.O.	SK	1 881 984
15	SELEKT VÝSKUMNÝ A ŠĽAHTITEĽSKÝ ÚSTAV, A.S.	SK	1 876 543
16	ROTAX-ARCH SPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 849 826
17	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SOKOLCE	SK	1 844 035
18	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO VAŽEC	SK	1 792 418
19	GALAGRO, S.R.O.	SK	1 786 930
20	BEST MEAT S.R.O.	SK	1 706 412
21	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO ĎUMBIER	SK	1 686 948
22	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO DEVIONOVÉ SADY	SK	1 683 711
23	NOTAX CONSULTING SPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 681 274
24	NÁRODNÉ LESNÍCKE CENTRUM	SK	1 659 854
25	MESTSKÉ LESY, S.R.O.	SK	1 604 608
26	ZDRUŽENIE AGROPODNIKATEĽOV, DRUŽSTVO	SK	1 541 085
27	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO NEVERICE	SK	1 531 373
28	PODIELNICKE DRUŽSTVO ONDAVA STROPKOV	SK	1 516 877

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
29	BOS-POR AGRO S.R.O.	SK	1 464 104
30	POŁNOHOSPODÁR NOVÉ ZÁMKY A.S.	SK	1 453 130
31	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKO-PODIELNICKÉ DRUŽSTVO PRAŠICE SÍDLO JACOVCE	SK	1 440 060
32	AGRO-HNIEZDNE, S.R.O.	SK	1 426 138
33	PD DOBRÁ NIVA, A.S.	SK	1 417 960
34	MVL AGRO, S.R.O.	SK	1 410 761
35	ROLNÍCKE DRUŽSTVO VEĽKÉ KAPUŠANY	SK	1 394 822
36	PD UHROVEC, A.S.	SK	1 393 861
37	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO "MAGURA", ZBOROV	SK	1 359 449
38	TOPTOMA, SPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 331 011
39	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO "RADOŠINKA"	SK	1 324 718
40	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SENICA	SK	1 311 324
41	AGRIFOP, A.S. STAKČÍN	SK	1 296 545
42	TURIEC - AGRO S.R.O.	SK	1 273 310
43	DONAU FARM LOK, S.R.O.	SK	1 244 789
44	MOLD - TRADE GROUP S.R.O.	SK	1 237 525
45	SS-GROUPE, S.R.O.	SK	1 233 072
46	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO VEĽKÉ ZÁLUŽIE	SK	1 212 850
47	POZEMKOVÉ SPOLOČENSTVO SÚKROMNÝCH VLASTNÍKOV LESA OBCE ŠTEFANOV NAD ORAVOU	SK	1 211 945
48	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO HLOHOVEC	SK	1 203 667
49	OBEC NOVÝ RUSKOV	SK	1 199 682
50	POŁNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO OČOVÁ	SK	1 197 147

Table A7.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – United Kingdom

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	NATURAL ENGLAND	UK	16 719 850
2	RURAL PAYMENTS AGENCY	UK	11 635 447
3	NATIONAL TRUST	UK	9 520 403
4	DARD FARM POLICY BRANCH	UK	6 937 008

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
5	BERRY GARDENS GROWERS LTD	UK	6 788 726
6	MENTER A BUSNES	UK	6 763 666
7	WELSH ASSEMBLY GOVERNMENT	UK	6 684 356
8	G'S GROWERS LTD	UK	6 631 803
9	FARM FRESH PO LTD	UK	4 609 007
10	SRUC/SAC COMMERCIAL LTD	UK	4 146 195
11	ALBERT BARTLETT & SONS (AIRDRIE) LIMITED	UK	3 638 840
12	RSPB	UK	3 513 286
13	MAELOR FOODS LIMITED	UK	3 472 107
14	UK NATIONAL RURAL NETWORK - SCOTLAND	UK	2 985 890
15	BEESWAX DYSON FARMING LTD	UK	2 902 647
16	RSPB	UK	2 664 982
17	CARDIFF METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY	UK	2 660 631
18	PUFFIN PRODUCE LTD	UK	2 511 193
19	NORTHWAY MUSHROOMS LTD	UK	2 466 226
20	MENTER MON	UK	2 328 479
21	THE ASPLINS PRODUCER ORGANISATION LTD	UK	2 273 868
22	VERDERERS OF THE NEW FOREST	UK	2 208 086
23	COLEG SIR GAR	UK	2 078 431
24	LILBURN ESTATES FARMING PARTNERSHIP	UK	1 999 060
25	ANGUS GROWERS LTD	UK	1 981 234
26	FARMCARE TRADING LTD	UK	1 856 859
27	FRUITION PO LIMITED	UK	1 855 854
28	BLANKNEY ESTATES LTD	UK	1 735 550
29	GREENSHOOTS LTD	UK	1 594 292
30	SPECIALITY PRODUCE LTD	UK	1 538 089
31	LAKE DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY	UK	1 529 192
32	FOREST OF DARTMOOR COMMONERS ASSOCIATION	UK	1 511 525
33	STRUFT & PARKER (FARMS) LTD	UK	1 500 719
34	LANTRA SECTOR SKILLS COUNCIL	UK	1 455 399
35	ELVEDEN FARMS LIMITED	UK	1 419 852

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
36	WESTLOCH FARMS	UK	1 409 302
37	JB SHROPSHIRE & SONS LTD / RIVERFEN FARMS LTD	UK	1 407 928
38	PLANED	UK	1 402 783
39	RSK ADAS LTD	UK	1 348 293
40	WALDERSEY FARMS LTD	UK	1 325 786
41	CEREDIGION COUNTY COUNCIL	UK	1 288 946
42	PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY	UK	1 277 689
43	COOL MILK AT SCHOOL LTD	UK	1 276 047
44	FRANK A SMART & SON LTD	UK	1 251 906
45	CARMARTHENSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	UK	1 240 196
46	SIR RICHARD SUTTON LIMITED	UK	1 236 859
47	HIGHLAND WAGYU	UK	1 225 478
48	THE FOOD & ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH AGENCY	UK	1 195 058
49	DORSINGTON FARMS LTD	UK	1 180 626
50	SURREY WILDLIFE TRUST	UK	1 171 049

Table A7.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Total

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LOGISTIEKE EN ADMINISTRATIEVE VEILINGSASSOCIATIE	BE	38 888 752
2	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA	AT	32 470 574
3	SAS SUCRIERE DE LA REUNION	FR	31 800 725
4	F.I.N.A.F. FIRST INTERNETIONAL ASSOCIATION FRUIT SOC.CONSORTILE A RL	IT	28 431 603
5	AOP GRUPPO VI.VA. VISIONE VALORE SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	22 956 983
6	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΕΙΣ ΥΠ.Α.Τ. (DIEUTHUNSEIS UP.A.A.T.)	GR	22 364 663
7	ORGANISME PAYEUR REGION WALLONNE SA	BE	19 582 486
8	CONSEIL REGIONAL DE LA GUADELOUPE	FR	18 043 603
9	VOG - VERBAND DER SUEDTIROLER OBSTGEN.- GEN. LANDW. GES.	IT	17 052 117
10	NATURAL ENGLAND	UK	16 719 850
11	INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO AGRARIO DE CASTILL	ES	16 304 627

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
12	CONSORZIO MELINDA SOC.COOP.AGRICOLA	IT	16 276 036
13	TEAGASC	IE	15 226 073
14	VLAAMS LANDBOUWINVESTERINGSFONDS	BE	14 354 729
15	STÁTNÍ POZEMKOVÝ ÚŘAD	CZ	13 615 805
16	SUCRERIE DE BOIS ROUGE	FR	13 249 000
17	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	12 562 597
18	S.A GARDEL	FR	12 224 190
19	MINISTERSTWO ROLNICTWA I ROZWOJU WSI	PL	12 118 794
20	URCOOPA	FR	12 009 438
21	CERAFEL	FR	11 971 431
22	AOP UNOLOMBARDIA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	11 806 492
23	KOINONIA TΗΣ ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΙΑΣ (KOINONIA TIS PLIROFORIAS)	GR	11 691 239
24	RURAL PAYMENTS AGENCY	UK	11 635 447
25	AGENCJA RESTRUKTURYZACJI I MODERNIZACJI ROLNICTWA	PL	11 366 824
26	LAND MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN MINISTERIUM FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	10 357 941
27	STATENS JORDBRUKSVERK	SE	10 329 297
28	ETS PUB AD DEPARTEMENT DE LA REUNION	FR	9 743 082
29	NATIONAL TRUST	UK	9 520 403
30	MAAELU EDENDAMISE SIHTASUTUS	EE	9 514 913
31	ITALIA OLIVICOLA SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	9 134 502
32	ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΚΟΣ ΟΡΓΑΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΑ (ELLINIKOS GEORGIKOS ORGANISMOS DIMITRA)	GR	9 035 600
33	VI.P GEN. LANDW. GESELLSCHAFT	IT	8 545 326
34	DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE DESARROLLO RURAL P	ES	8 491 242
35	VALSTS SIA ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJAS NEKUSTAMIE ĪPAŠUMI	LV	8 054 661
36	ARIDEV	FR	8 014 174

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
37	DIRECÇÃO GERAL DE AGRICULTURA E DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL	PT	7 925 219
38	HAMAG-BICRO HRVATSKA AGENCIJA ZA MALO GOSPODARSTVO, INOVACIJE I INVESTICIJE	HR	7 540 181
39	SOCIEDAD COOPERATIVA AND VICASOL	ES	7 309 358
40	COOP SAVEOL	FR	7 230 586
41	COMPAÑIA CANARIA DE PIENSOS SA	ES	7 222 000
42	LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIJA PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	7 218 035
43	GRANEROS DE TENERIFE, S.L.	ES	7 149 612
44	EDIA - EMPRESA DE DESENVOLVIMENTO E INFRA-ESTRUTURAS DO ALQUEVA S.A.	PT	7 033 959
45	SEIASA DEL NORTE SA	ES	6 968 706
46	DARD FARM POLICY BRANCH	UK	6 937 008
47	AOP PIEMONTE SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	6 843 763
48	OCEANE	FR	6 828 713
49	BERRY GARDENS GROWERS LTD	UK	6 788 726
50	MENTER A BUSNES	UK	6 763 666

ANNEX 8. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES CAP 2019⁶⁷

Table A8.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Austria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA	AT	33 529 798
2	ARGE LK BERATUNG	AT	5 130 504
3	BUNDESMINISTERIUM FÜR NACHHALTIGKEIT UND TOURISMUS	AT	3 324 697
4	TIROL PACK GMBH	AT	2 919 087
5	VORARLBERG MILCH EGEN	AT	2 500 000
6	OPST OBST PARTNER STEIERMARK GMBH	AT	2 339 257
7	BUNDESVERBAND FÜR URLAUB AM BAUERNHOF IN ÖSTERREICH	AT	2 192 556
8	WALDPFLEGEVEREIN TIROL	AT	2 030 721
9	ÖSTERREICHISCHER MASCHINENRING-CLUSTER ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER AGRARISCHEN KOOPERATION GESNBR	AT	1 949 241
10	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSISTITUT ÖSTERREICH, KURZ LFI ÖSTERREICH	AT	1 868 172
11	NATURPARK ÖTZTAL	AT	1 766 592
12	BIENE ÖSTERREICH - IMKEREIDACHVERBAND	AT	1 744 998
13	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA MARKETING GESMBH	AT	1 677 496
14	LANDWIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER OBERÖSTERREICH – LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSISTITUT	AT	1 643 294
15	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSISTITUT NIEDERÖSTERREICH - LFI NÖ	AT	1 497 589
16	ARCUS SOZIALNETZWERK GEMEINNÜTZIGE GMBH	AT	1 469 963
17	ARGE BIO AUSTRIA	AT	1 431 036
18	LÄNDLICHES FORTBILDUNGSISTITUT (LFI) STEIERMARK	AT	1 381 410
19	LGV SONNENGEMÜSE EINGETRAGENE GENOSSENSCHAFT	AT	1 333 488
20	HSH NAHWÄRME MILLSTATT GMBH	AT	1 279 004

⁶⁷ In the lists for some Member States some names of direct beneficiaries appear twice or more, due to multiple entries with different locations in the same country or spellings. If these direct beneficiaries have the same ultimate beneficiaries they will be consolidated.

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	STIFTUNG FÜRST LIECHTENSTEIN ZWEIGNIEDERLASSUNG WILFERSDORF GUTS- UND FORSTBETRIEB	AT	1 210 145
22	BUNDESFORSCHUNGS- UND AUSBILDUNGSZENTRUM FÜR WALD	AT	1 209 206
23	GEMEINDE LACKENBACH	AT	1 107 766
24	LEBENSHILFE OBERÖSTERREICH	AT	1 078 106
25	HANDL TYROL GMBH	AT	1 000 000
26	SALZBURGMILCH GMBH	AT	1 000 000
27	SCHULDNERGEMEINSCHAFT HÜTTHALER KG UND HERBERT HÜTTHALER HANDELS KG	AT	1 000 000
28	SCHULDNERGEMEINSCHAFT ZWISCHEN VEREINIGTE FETTWAREN INDUSTRIE GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H. UND VFI GMBH	AT	1 000 000
29	VERNETZUNGSSTELLE FÜR DAS NATIONALE NETZWERK LE14-20	AT	998 034
30	FERNWÄRME WEIZ GMBH	AT	982 447
31	ÖSTERREICHISCHES KURATORIUM FÜR LANDTECHNIK UND LANDENTWICKLUNG	AT	956 797
32	NATIONALPARK OBERÖSTERREICHISCHE KALKALPEN GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H.	AT	940 215
33	AMT DER NÖ LANDESREGIERUNG ABTEILUNG NATURSCHUTZ	AT	927 167
34	DI MAXIMILIAN HARDEGG	AT	916 890
35	AUSTRIA WIRTSCHAFTSSERVICE GESELLSCHAFT MBH	AT	897 720
36	ÖSTERREICHISCHE ARBEITSGEMEINSCHAFT DER HEUMILCHBAUERN, DER VERARBEITER UND DER VERMARKTER VON HEUMILCH, KURZ: ARGE HEUMILCH ÖSTERREICH	AT	887 999
37	DI MARKUS KÖNIGSEGG-AULENDORF	AT	877 079
38	LANDWIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER NIEDERÖSTERREICH	AT	831 845
39	FLEISCHHOF RAABTAL GMBH	AT	828 076
40	WEIZER SCHAFBAUERN EGEN	AT	809 186
41	ALLACHER VINUM PANONIA GMBH	AT	796 154
42	NATIONALPARK DONAU-AUEN GMBH	AT	793 822
43	UMWELTDACHVERBAND GMBH	AT	775 067
44	NATIONALPARK GESÄUSE GMBH	AT	772 491

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
45	LANDWIRTSCHAFTLICHE BUNDESVERSUCHSWIRTSCHAFTEN GESELLSCHAFT MIT BESCHRÄNKTER HAFTUNG	AT	765 081
46	BILDUNGSCLUSTER - DIALOG MIT DER GESELLSCHAFT	AT	745 922
47	GEO - GEMÜSEERZEUGERORGANISATION OSTÖSTERREICH REGGENMBH	AT	744 157
48	OGS OBST-GEMEINSCHAFT-STEIERMARK GMBH	AT	736 068
49	WALDPFLEGEVEREIN LIENZ	AT	735 507
50	MARKTGEMEINDE SEIBERSDORF	AT	711 455

Table A8.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Belgium

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LOGISTIEKE EN ADMINISTRATIEVE VEILINGSSASSOCIATIE	BE	40 302 406
2	TELERSVERENIGING INDUSTRIEGROENTEN	BE	3 883 593
3	VLAAMS CENTRUM VOOR AGRO- EN VISSERIJMARKETING	BE	3 487 753
4	RAFFINERIE TIRLEMontoise - TIENSE SUIKERRAFFINA SA	BE	3 442 972
5	BELGISCHE FRUITVEILING	BE	3 163 859
6	EUROPEAN FRUIT CO-OPERATION - EUROPESE FRUIT COOPERATIE - EUROPÄISCHE FRUCHT KOOPERATION	BE	3 046 061
7	UNIGROW	BE	2 026 561
8	ISCAL SUGAR SA	BE	1 092 543
9	NATIONAAL AGRARISCH CENTRUM	BE	965 906
10	AGROCAMPUS	BE	770 732
11	NEW GREEN	BE	670 833
12	GREEN DIAMOND	BE	603 667
13	B.N.D. INTERNATIONALE TELERSVERENIGING	BE	600 819
14	TRAME SCRL	BE	559 713
15	INAGRO, PROVINCIAAL EXTERN VERZELFSTANDIGD AGENTSCHAP IN PRIVAATRECHTELijke VORM	BE	555 095
16	GREEN FARM	BE	536 880
17	EXPLOITATION RUE DU CHENET	BE	447 473

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
18	VERENIGING ONAFHANKELIJKE CHAMPIGNONTELERS	BE	439 752
19	LANDWIJZER	BE	384 215
20	BIOPOOLDER	BE	331 948
21	AGRILAND SA	BE	323 789
22	PIRONT JOHANN & GERHARD & SEBASTIAN & LAMP VER. NP	BE	310 706
23	LEYSENNE	BE	310 499
24	VERHOEVEN HUGO EN BART	BE	306 409
25	BIO-EI-KORBOL	BE	303 094
26	STAELENS PRISCA	BE	302 178
27	VYT - VAN DE VYVER	BE	300 960
28	PRIMATO	BE	300 000
29	VIAFRITES	BE	300 000
30	DE KLUYS	BE	300 000
31	ALLGRO	BE	297 665
32	BECK GEERT	BE	296 814
33	BOLCKMANS LV	BE	294 880
34	BRUNEEL KOEN	BE	294 009
35	ASS VAN SANTFOORT LEO ET JONAS GR PP	BE	291 649
36	EYCKENS	BE	287 725
37	VAN OECHEL	BE	278 573
38	BOGAERT WIM	BE	278 376
39	LEJEUNE FR SAGR S. AGR.	BE	277 946
40	MEYERS SOFTFRUIT	BE	276 128
41	PARC NATUREL DES PLAINES DE L'ESCAUT ASBL	BE	275 692
42	ANSAY PIERRE	BE	274 984
43	WOUTERS-VERBREUKEN	BE	271 439
44	HEIKEN	BE	270 814
45	GOEMAERE JORIS	BE	264 570
46	G.A.L. PAYS DES TIGES ET CHAVEES ASBL	BE	263 897
47	VAN ISACKER BART	BE	262 447

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	D. JOSTEN UND G. FAYMONVILLE VER. NP	BE	260 883
49	VAN DER MIJNSBRUGGE GUY	BE	260 790
50	SARENS DAAN EN SARENS STIJN	BE	258 461

Table A8.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Bulgaria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ЗЛАТИЯ АГРО ЕООД (ZLATIYA AGRO EOOD)	BG	3 523 920
2	ОБЩИНА СТРАЛДЖА (OBSHTINA STRALDZHA)	BG	3 349 525
3	ОБЩИНА РАДНЕВО (OBSHTINA RADNEVO)	BG	3 325 945
4	ЕТ АГРО - СВЕТЛОЗАР ДИЧЕВСКИ (ET AGRO - SVETLOZAR DICHEVSKI)	BG	3 317 519
5	ОБЩИНА СТРАЖИЦА (OBSHTINA STRAZHITSA)	BG	2 851 735
6	ДФЗ (DFZ)	BG	2 746 190
7	ЕТ ДЕСИ-СВЕТЛА СИМЕОНОВА (ET DESI- SVETLA SIMEONOVA)	BG	2 345 392
8	ОБЩИНА ТЕТЕВЕН (OBSHTINA TETEVEN)	BG	2 256 018
9	ОБЩИНА ХИТРИНО (OBSHTINA HITRINO)	BG	2 149 661
10	ОБЩИНА СИМИТЛИ (OBSHTINA SIMITLI)	BG	2 052 719
11	ОБЩИНА ДОЛНА МИТРОПОЛИЯ (OBSHTINA DOLNA MITROPOLIYA)	BG	2 047 429
12	ОБЩИНА ДРЯНОВО (OBSHTINA DRYANOVO)	BG	1 963 344
13	ОБЩИНА ПАВЛИКЕНИ (OBSHTINA PAVLIKENI)	BG	1 938 340
14	СОРТОВИ СЕМЕНА - ВАРДИМ АД (SORTOVI SEMENA - VARDIM AD)	BG	1 923 084
15	ОБЩИНА ХАРМАНЛИ (OBSHTINA HARMANLI)	BG	1 919 098
16	ТРОЯ-АВТО ЕООД (TROYA-AVTO EOOD)	BG	1 892 693
17	ОБЩИНА РУДОЗЕМ (OBSHTINA RUDOZEM)	BG	1 887 543
18	КРАСИ ЕООД (KRASI EOOD)	BG	1 868 146
19	ОБЩИНА ТОПОЛОВГРАД (OBSHTINA TOPOLOVGRAD)	BG	1 842 270
20	ОБЩИНА МАДАН (OBSHTINA MADAN)	BG	1 837 554
21	ОБЩИНА СИТОВО (OBSHTINA SITOVO)	BG	1 825 467
22	ОБЩИНА КАВАРНА (OBSHTINA KAVARNA)	BG	1 824 648

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
23	МЛЕЧНИ ПРОДУКТИ ТРАКИЯ ЕООД (MLECHNI PRODUKTI TRAKIYA EOOD)	BG	1 742 439
24	ОБЩИНА УГЪРЧИН (OBSHTINA UGARCHIN)	BG	1 738 668
25	ОБЩИНА ДОЛНА БАНЯ (OBSHTINA DOLNA BANYA)	BG	1 724 924
26	РЕСЕН-ЕООД (RESEN-EOOD)	BG	1 682 881
27	АГРО КЕПИТЪЛ 2011 ЕООД (AGRO KEPITAL 2011 EOOD)	BG	1 664 117
28	ОБЩИНА СТАМБОЛИЙСКИ (OBSHTINA STAMBOLIYSKI)	BG	1 635 927
29	ОБЩИНА ЗЛАТОГРАД (OBSHTINA ZLATOGRAD)	BG	1 613 956
30	ОБЩИНА СЕВЛИЕВО (OBSHTINA SEVLIEVO)	BG	1 586 197
31	ОБЩИНА ДОЛНИ ДЪБНИК (OBSHTINA DOLNI DABNIK)	BG	1 568 609
32	СОРТОВИ СЕМЕНА ВАРДИМ-ЕАД (SORTOVI SEMENA VARDIM-EAD)	BG	1 533 152
33	ПРО - АГРО ООД (PRO - AGRO OOD)	BG	1 520 731
34	ОБЩИНА САМОКОВ (OBSHTINA SAMOKOV)	BG	1 518 495
35	МЗХГ (MZHG)	BG	1 514 278
36	ОБЩИНА ЛУКОВИТ (OBSHTINA LUKOVIT)	BG	1 514 142
37	НАЦИОНАЛНА СЛУЖБА ЗА СЪВЕТИ В ЗЕМЕД (NATIONALNA SLUZHBA ZA SAVETI V ZEMED)	BG	1 513 254
38	ОБЩИНА МИЗИЯ (OBSHTINA MIZIYA)	BG	1 509 930
39	АГРОТАЙМ ЕООД (AGROTAYM EOOD)	BG	1 482 813
40	ОБЩИНА КУЛА (OBSHTINA KULA)	BG	1 482 724
41	ОБЩИНА ГУЛЯНЦИ (OBSHTINA GULYANTSII)	BG	1 480 953
42	ШИПКА 99 АД (SHIPKA 99 AD)	BG	1 479 625
43	ОБЩИНА САТОВЧА (OBSHTINA SATOVCHA)	BG	1 468 410
44	ОБЩИНА БОРОВАН (OBSHTINA BOROVAN)	BG	1 467 367
45	ОБЩИНА СЛИВО ПОЛЕ (OBSHTINA SLIVO POLE)	BG	1 466 890
46	ОБЩИНА КОСТИНБРОД (OBSHTINA KOSTINBROD)	BG	1 454 077
47	ОБЩИНА КУБРАТ (OBSHTINA KUBRAT)	BG	1 450 384
48	ОБЩИНА БОРИНО (OBSHTINA BORINO)	BG	1 448 645
49	ОБЩИНА КАРЛОВО (OBSHTINA KARLOVO)	BG	1 433 615
50	ОБЩИНА КОЗЛОДУЙ (OBSHTINA KOZLODUY)	BG	1 412 156

Table A8.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Cyprus

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΠΑΦΟΥ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI PAFOY)	CY	1 086 409
2	AEROPONICS MEDITERRANEAN (A.M.) LTD (AEROPONICS MEDITERRANEAN (A.M.) LTD)	CY	677 757
3	ΕΠΑΡΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΟΙΚΗΣΗ ΛΕΥΚΩΣΙΑΣ (EPARCHIAKI DIOIKISI LEUKOSIAS)	CY	651 949
4	ΣΤΕΛΙΟΣ ΓΡΟΥΤΑΣ & ΥΙΟΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (STELIOS GROUTAS & UIOS LIMITED)	CY	615 093
5	ΧΡΙΣΤΑΚΗΣ Σ. ΒΙΚΤΩΡΟΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (CHRISTAKIS S. VIKTOROS LIMITED)	CY	548 375
6	ANTRIANA Δ. ΦΑΡΜ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (ANTRIANA D. FARM LIMITED)	CY	533 561
7	ZACHARIAS SUMEOU (ZACHARIAS SUMEOU)	CY	480 259
8	PENTELITHARIA PLANTATIONS LTD (PENTELITHARIA PLANTATIONS LTD)	CY	475 370
9	JH FARM LTD (JH FARM LTD)	CY	454 688
10	ΠΑΝΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΔΕΣΜΟΣ ΚΥΠΡΟΥ (PANAGROTIKOS SUNDESMOS KUPROU)	CY	446 453
11	NEA SEVEGENP LTD (NEA SEVEGEP LTD)	CY	400 000
12	ΚΡΕΟΠΩΛΕΙΟΝ Α/ΦΟΙ ΚΟΥΝΤΟΥΡΟΥ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (KREOPOLEION A/FOI KOUNTOUROU LIMITED)	CY	400 000
13	DODONI (CYPRUS) LIMITED (DODONI (CYPRUS) LIMITED)	CY	400 000
14	ARMENIS FARM LIMITED (ARMENIS FARM LIMITED)	CY	388 769
15	SAVVAS KASTROS FARM LTD (SAVVAS KASTROS FARM LTD)	CY	382 439
16	ΑΝΤΩΝΗΣ ΣΑΚΚΑΣ (ANTONIS SAKKAS)	CY	375 856
17	CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD (CYPROFRESH CITRUS SEDIGEP (P.O.) LTD)	CY	341 119
18	GMC FARMING LTD (GMC FARMING LTD)	CY	338 980
19	ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ ΑΝΤΩΝΙΑΔΗΣ (IOANNIS ANTONIADIS)	CY	301 922
20	ΑΝΔΡΕΑΣ ΚΑΙΛΑΣ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (ANDREAS KAILAS & UIOI LIMITED)	CY	299 805
21	MAKKAS WINERY LTD (MAKKAS WINERY LTD)	CY	298 480
22	C.A.C. PAPANTONIOU TRADING LIMITED (C.A.C. PAPANTONIOU TRADING LIMITED)	CY	285 060
23	LANITIS FARM LIMITED (LANITIS FARM LIMITED)	CY	274 628

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
24	A.G. STAVRIDES FARM LIMITED (A.G. STAVRIDES FARM LIMITED)	CY	272 403
25	ΟΡΓΑΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΚΗΣ ΑΣΦΑΛΙΣΗΣ (ORGANISMOS GEORGIKIS ASFALISIS)	CY	258 598
26	ΜΑΡΙΑ ΙΟΡΔΑΝΟΥΣ (MARIA IORDANOUS)	CY	258 065
27	ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ ΑΝΤΩΝΙΟΥ (ΑΒΔΕΛΛΕΡΙΤΗΣ ΛΤΔ) (IOANNIS ANTONIOU (AVDELLERITIS LTD))	CY	246 602
28	ΟΙΝΟΠΟΙΕΙΟΝ ΤΣΙΑΚΚΑΣ ΛΤΔ (OINOPOEION TSIAKKAS LTD)	CY	245 354
29	A.E. KNEKNA ΦΑΡΜΑ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (A.E. KNEKNA FARMA LIMITED)	CY	203 272
30	ΠΑΡΑΔΕΙΣΙΩΤΗΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (PARADEISIOTIS LIMITED)	CY	201 035
31	A. MINTIKKΗΣ ΦΑΡΜ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (A. MINTIKKIS FARM LIMITED)	CY	200 521
32	ΣΑΒΒΑΣ ΧΓΙΩΡΚΗΣ ΚΑΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (SAVVAS CH GIORKIS KAI UIOI LIMITED)	CY	200 000
33	ΝΕΛΗ ΕΜΠΟΡΙΟ ΚΡΕΑΤΩΝ ΛΤΔ (NELI EMPORIO KREATON LTD)	CY	200 000
34	ΤΟΜΕΑΣ ΠΥΡΟΠΡΟΣΤΑΣΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΔΑΣΙΚΗΣ ΜΗΧΑΝΙΚΗΣ (TOMEAS PUROPROSTASIAS KAI DASIKIS MICHANIKIS)	CY	188 241
35	F.G.F. PITTOROS FARM LTD (F.G.F. PITTOROS FARM LTD)	CY	188 041
36	ΠΑΝΙΚΟΣ ΠΑΝΑΓΗ (PANIKOS PANAGI)	CY	185 280
37	ΦΑΡΜΑ ΕΛΛΗΣ ΛΤΔ (FARMA ELLIS LTD)	CY	184 299
38	E. NEOPHYTOU TRADING CO LIMITED (E. NEOPHYTOU TRADING CO LIMITED)	CY	180 000
39	KOLIOS WINERY LTD (KOLIOS WINERY LTD)	CY	171 718
40	ΑΔΕΛΦΟΙ Α. ΚΕΠΟΛΑ ΛΤΔ (ADELFOI A. KEPOLA LTD)	CY	171 685
41	ΧΑΡΑΛΑΜΠΙΔΗΣ ΚΡΙΣΤΗΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (CHARALAMPIDIS KRISTIS LIMITED)	CY	169 832
42	ΧΡΙΣΤΟΣ ΕΛΛΗΝΑΣ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (CHRISTOS ELLINAS & UIOI LIMITED)	CY	167 010
43	ΛΥΣΚΟ ΤΡΟΦΙΜΑ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (LUSKO TROFIMA LIMITED)	CY	164 642
44	ΕΛΑΙΟΤΡΙΒΕΙΟΝ ΘΕΟΧΑΡΗ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (ELAIOTRIVEION THEOCHARI LIMITED)	CY	163 440
45	ZACHARIAS ZAXAPIΟΥ (ZACHARIAS ZACHARIOU)	CY	161 426

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	V.V. LAMPADISTIS WINERIES LTD (V.V. LAMPADISTIS WINERIES LTD)	CY	158 517
47	ΛΑΜΠΡΟΣ ΧΓΙΩΡΓΑΛΛΗ & ΥΙΟΙ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (LAMPROS CHGIORGALLI & UIOI LIMITED)	CY	155 736
48	ΔΗΜΗΤΡΗΣ ΠΑΦΙΤΗ (DIMITRIS PAFITI)	CY	151 009
49	ΓΙΩΡΓΟΣ ΧΑΡΑΛΑΜΠΟΥΣ (GIORGOS CHARALAMPOUS)	CY	148 235
50	ΣΑΒΒΑΣ ΧΡ. ΚΑΛΛΙΝΟΣ ΛΙΜΙΤΕΔ (SAVVAS CHR. KALLINOS LIMITED)	CY	147 559

Table A8.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Czech Republic

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STÁTNÍ POZEMKOVÝ ÚŘAD	CZ	18 785 713
2	VOJENSKÉ LESY A STATKY ČR, S.P.	CZ	3 445 075
3	BOVYS, S.R.O.	CZ	3 378 158
4	AGRO - MĚŘÍN, A.S.	CZ	3 105 726
5	STÁTNÍ ZEMĚDĚLSKÝ INTERVENČNÍ FOND	CZ	2 295 706
6	SALIX MORAVA A.S.	CZ	2 261 090
7	ZD KRÁSNÁ HORA NAD VLTAVOU A.S.	CZ	2 225 994
8	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO DOLNÍ ÚJEZD	CZ	2 173 334
9	ÚSOVSKO AGRO S.R.O.	CZ	2 160 579
10	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO MOŘINA	CZ	2 031 014
11	ZEMĚDĚLSKO-OBCHODNÍ DRUŽSTVO ŽICHLÍNEK	CZ	1 967 705
12	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO DOLANY	CZ	1 946 305
13	ÚNĚŠOVSKÝ STATEK A.S.	CZ	1 807 042
14	BONAGRO, A.S.	CZ	1 803 733
15	DZS STRUHAŘOV A. S.	CZ	1 742 620
16	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO UNČOVICE	CZ	1 707 607
17	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ OBCHODNÍ DRUŽSTVO MRÁKOV	CZ	1 582 857
18	ROSTĚNICE,A.S.	CZ	1 570 384
19	INTEGRAZ, SPOL. S R.O. PRO ŽV ZÁHORČÍ	CZ	1 543 924
20	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO NOVÉ MĚSTO NA MORAVĚ	CZ	1 507 621
21	ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ A.S. KRUCEMBURK,AKCIOVÁ SPOLE	CZ	1 472 280

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
22	JIŽNÍ MORAVA, A.S.	CZ	1 452 811
23	AGROCHEMA, DRUŽSTVO	CZ	1 449 653
24	EUROFARMS AGRO-B S.R.O.	CZ	1 431 446
25	AGRO JESENICE U PRAHY A.S.	CZ	1 430 268
26	STEINEX A.S.	CZ	1 423 165
27	LUKROM PLUS S.R.O.	CZ	1 409 704
28	LESY ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY, S.P.	CZ	1 402 744
29	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO SENICE NA HANÉ	CZ	1 394 017
30	MADETA A. S.	CZ	1 379 445
31	KARSIT AGRO, A.S.	CZ	1 351 155
32	FARMARKETS.R.O.	CZ	1 341 897
33	ALIMEX NEZVĚSTICE A.S.	CZ	1 329 421
34	OSEVA AGRI CHRUDIM, A.S.	CZ	1 318 890
35	DRUŽSTVO BRAMKO CZ	CZ	1 315 721
36	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO JIŘICE U MIROSLAVI	CZ	1 311 651
37	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO OPAŘANY	CZ	1 311 018
38	ZEMAS AG,A.S.	CZ	1 307 908
39	KLADRUBSKÁ A.S.	CZ	1 297 233
40	AGRO STAŇKOV A.S.	CZ	1 295 264
41	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO PETŘÍN	CZ	1 281 856
42	CHÁRA JOSEF	CZ	1 274 202
43	ZAS BEČVÁRY A.S.	CZ	1 264 025
44	AGROCHOV KASEJOVICE-SMOLIVEC, A.S.	CZ	1 262 301
45	ÚSTAV PRO STRUKTURÁLNÍ POLITIKU V ZEMĚDĚ	CZ	1 261 441
46	MECLOVSKÁ ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ, A. S.	CZ	1 258 207
47	ZOS KAČINA, A.S.	CZ	1 256 338
48	ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ SPOLEČNOST KOMORNO, A. S.	CZ	1 254 486
49	ZEMĚDĚLSKÉ DRUŽSTVO ČECHTICE	CZ	1 251 454
50	ZEMOS A.S.	CZ	1 251 353

Table A8.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Germany

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LANDESAMT FÜR UMWELT (LFU)	DE	20 011 465
2	LAND MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN MINISTERIUM FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	10 204 880
3	NLWKN	DE	8 616 606
4	LANDESBETRIEB FÜR KÜSTENSCHUTZ NATIONALPARK UND MEERESSCHUTZ	DE	6 923 492
5	DEICH- UND HAUPTSIELVERBAND DITHMARSCHEN	DE	6 808 021
6	LANDESBETR. F.HOCHWASSERSCHUTZ U. WASSERWIRTSCH. (LHW)	DE	6 772 718
7	LANDGARD OBST + GEMÜSE GMBH + CO. KG	DE	6 163 891
8	ERZEUGERORGANISATION FÜR OBST UND GEMÜSE	DE	4 262 367
9	TALSPERRENBETRIEB SACHSEN-ANHALT	DE	4 179 593
10	ERZEUGERGROSSMARKT LANGFÖRDEN-OLDENBURG EG	DE	4 144 910
11	STADTAHAUS	DE	3 980 542
12	PFALZMARKT FÜR OBST- UND GEMÜSE EG	DE	3 871 549
13	STADTCELLE	DE	3 833 233
14	ELBE-OBST ERZEUGERORGANISATION R.V.	DE	3 610 002
15	EO SPARGEL & BEERENFRÜCHTE GMBH	DE	3 169 930
16	LANDESFORST MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN	DE	3 058 010
17	GARTENBAUZENTRALE PAPENBURG EG	DE	2 923 105
18	MINISTERIUM F. ERNÄHRUNG U. LÄN	DE	2 917 380
19	THÜRINGER MINISTERIUM FÜR INFRASTRUKTUR UND LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	2 887 203
20	STIFTUNG NATURSCHUTZPARK LÜNEBURGER HEIDE	DE	2 886 486
21	MIN. FÜR UMWELT, ENERGIE, ERNÄHRUNG UND FORSTEN	DE	2 799 374
22	STIFTUNG NATURSCHUTZ SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN	DE	2 765 140
23	GROSSDREBNITZER AGRARBETRIEBSGESELLSCHAFT MBH VERTRETTEN DURCH DEN GESCHÄFTSFUEHRER	DE	2 554 779
24	AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT "RHÖNPERLE" EG BREMEN	DE	2 466 207
25	WASSER-UND BODENVERBAND OBERLAND CALAU	DE	2 416 647

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	HVG HOPFENVERWERTUNGSGENOSSENSCHAFT E.G.	DE	2 310 151
27	FRIESLAND CAMPINAGERMANY GMBH	DE	2 307 814
28	STADT WITTSTOCK/DOSSE BÜRGERMEISTER HERR GEHRMANN	DE	2 307 508
29	GEMEINDE ILSEDE	DE	2 292 613
30	GEMEINDE LÖCKNITZ ÜBER AMT LÖCKNITZ-PENKUN	DE	2 269 556
31	LANDKREIS JERICOWERLAND	DE	2 229 478
32	LANDWIRTSCHAFT GOLZOW BETRIEBS-GMBH	DE	2 227 439
33	MINISTERIUM F. LANDWIRTSCHAFT, UMWELT UND LÄNDLICHE RÄUME	DE	2 204 615
34	AGRARGESELLSCHAFT PFIFFELBACH MBH	DE	2 196 187
35	BIO PULVER GMBH	DE	2 193 796
36	GEMEINDE SUHLENDORF	DE	2 169 319
37	TG SCHWEI	DE	2 163 887
38	JEETZELDEICHVERBAND	DE	2 157 207
39	GUT BORKEN GMBH & CO.KG	DE	2 135 893
40	AGRARGEN. RADENDORF EG	DE	2 125 252
41	STADT BOCHOLT	DE	2 085 748
42	THÜRINGER LANDESAMT FÜR UMWELT, BERGBAU UND NATURSCHUTZ (TLUBN)	DE	2 043 083
43	BURGENLANDKREIS	DE	2 015 730
44	STADT BAD IBURG	DE	2 008 064
45	SALZLANDKREIS	DE	2 004 076
46	SMUL	DE	1 943 360
47	LANDWIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN	DE	1 890 158
48	STAATLICHES BAU- UND LIEGENSCHAFTSAMT	DE	1 877 273
49	AGRARGENOSSENSCHAFT HEIDEFARM SDIER EG VERTRETTEN DURCH DEN VORSTAND	DE	1 844 892
50	MILCH-LAND GMBH VEILSDORF	DE	1 841 373

Table A8.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Denmark

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MORSØ KOMMUNE	DK	6 543 666
2	GASA NORD GRØNT AF.1 JANUAR AMBA	DK	3 638 681
3	BIONATURGAS MÅNSSON A/S	DK	1 621 238
4	MEJERIFORENINGEN	DK	1 601 603
5	STYRELSEN FOR VAND & NATURFORVALTN	DK	1 524 754
6	GASA ODENSE FRUGT - GRØNT A.M.B.A	DK	1 431 198
7	AAGE V. JENSEN NATURFOND	DK	1 228 531
8	DANSK GARTNERI	DK	1 198 319
9	GRAM OG NYBØL GODSER A/S	DK	1 169 311
10	KJARGAARDEN I/S	DK	1 163 471
11	RÄDHUSET HOLSTEBRO	DK	1 154 935
12	NST HIMMERLAND	DK	1 148 252
13	SØREN ØSTERGAARD	DK	949 350
14	BREGENTVED I/S	DK	915 790
15	FMP ESKILDSTRUP APS	DK	913 798
16	FMP LANGESNAGE APS	DK	913 798
17	FMP SOFIENDAL APS	DK	913 798
18	FMP TUREBYLILLE APS	DK	849 402
19	MIDTFRUGT VIBORG APS U. KONKURS	DK	832 945
20	TØNDER KOMMUNE	DK	824 581
21	NORDDJURS KOMMUNE	DK	801 617
22	ANDEKÆRGÅRD APS	DK	765 054
23	SALTHOLMSEJERLAUGET	DK	754 231
24	NST BLÅVANDSHUK	DK	753 829
25	AGRO VEST APS	DK	716 249
26	KRIMINALFORSORGEN	DK	696 762
27	ALEX OSTERSEN	DK	685 674
28	VARDE KOMMUNE	DK	671 483
29	SØREN LAUSTSEN	DK	660 603
30	RALPH ANDREAS B CARSTENSEN	DK	648 981
31	LANDBRUG & FØDEVARER	DK	639 977

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
32	ODENSE KOMMUNE	DK	638 429
33	TRANBJERG ØSTERGAARD A/S	DK	621 665
34	KRESTEN BJERRE	DK	614 037
35	NIELS BLEM SØRENSEN	DK	611 180
36	SVENSTRUP & GIESEGAARD LANDBRUG IS	DK	596 476
37	NST HOVEDSTADEN	DK	595 352
38	NYKREDIT REALKREDIT A/S	DK	578 186
39	NIELS ÅGE VIRENFELDT NØRAGER	DK	573 164
40	JOHANNES NIELSEN	DK	571 238
41	FARRE GROVFODER FORSYNING AMBA	DK	564 611
42	HVERRINGE AVLSGAARD	DK	557 663
43	ANDERS P LAUSTSEN	DK	548 343
44	HAMMERSHØJ FRILANDSGARTNERI A/S	DK	540 410
45	GODESEJER PETER OXHOLM TILLICH	DK	527 757
46	HAVERVADGÅRD I/S	DK	524 977
47	NY ENDRUPHOLM INVEST APS	DK	521 800
48	RASMUS ÅKERSTRØM	DK	520 102
49	I/S THINGSTRUP	DK	511 395
50	HANS PEDER PINDSTRUP	DK	504 158

Table A8.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Estonia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MAAE LU EDENDAMISE SIHTASUTUS	EE	15 222 846
2	PÖLLUMAJANDUSE REGISTRITE JA INFORMATSIOONI AMET	EE	3 840 248
3	EESTI TÕUSIGADE ARETUSÜHISTU	EE	2 550 286
4	MAAELUMINISTERIUM	EE	2 008 426
5	EESTI TÕULOOMAKASVATAJATE ÜHISTU	EE	1 562 432
6	TIIGIKALDA OÜ	EE	1 518 053
7	OSAÜHING ESTONIA	EE	1 234 572
8	PÖLLUMAJANDUSUURINGUTE KESKUS	EE	1 038 898
9	PERI PÖLLUMAJANDUSLIK OSAÜHING	EE	1 033 045

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	ASTARTU AGRO	EE	995 387
11	AKTSIASELTS VÄÄTSA AGRO	EE	991 992
12	FARM IN PRODUCTIONSOÜ	EE	972 911
13	OSAÜHING VÄNDRA	EE	966 196
14	AS ARAVETE AGRO	EE	945 592
15	OÜ SADALA AGRO	EE	930 175
16	PÖLLUMAJANDUSAMET	EE	801 930
17	AKTSIASELTS PEREVARA	EE	796 397
18	OSAÜHING AASPERE AGRO	EE	762 018
19	KIRBLA MAHE OÜ	EE	728 901
20	SAVALA MASINAÜHISTU	EE	725 252
21	ARU PÖLLUMAJANDUSE OSAÜHING	EE	695 646
22	OÜ LAEVA PÖLD	EE	685 010
23	OÜ AHJA TEENUS	EE	681 300
24	SAIMRE VILJAKASVATUSE OÜ	EE	649 047
25	EESTI PÖLLUMAJANDUS-KAUBANDUSKODA	EE	646 703
26	OSAÜHING VOORE FARM	EE	630 844
27	KABALA AGRO OSAÜHING	EE	627 548
28	JÄAGUMÄE AGRO OÜ	EE	624 405
29	OSAÜHING WEISS	EE	619 103
30	OSAÜHING SAAREMAA LIHATÖÖSTUS	EE	618 751
31	OSAÜHING PÖLVA AGRO	EE	618 511
32	OSAÜHING LÖPE AGRO	EE	598 353
33	OSAÜHING KODUKARTUL	EE	593 756
34	MÄO PÖLLUMAJANDUSÜHISTU	EE	589 218
35	OÜ SADALA MAHE	EE	575 114
36	EESTIMAA TALUPIDAJATE KESKLIIT	EE	572 840
37	ABAJA FARM OÜ	EE	570 661
38	LELLE PÖLLUMAJANDUSE OSAÜHING	EE	567 797
39	OSAÜHING KAISMA	EE	567 637
40	OSAÜHING LAHEOTSA	EE	562 188

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
41	EESTI MAAÜLIKOO	EE	548 028
42	OÜ HALINGA	EE	547 438
43	OSAÜHING ORGITA PÖLD	EE	543 054
44	KEHTNA MÕISA OSAÜHING	EE	542 866
45	OÜ VILJATOOTJA	EE	540 328
46	TORMA PÖLLUMAJANDUSOSAÜHING	EE	537 153
47	TULUNDUSÜHISTU ALVAR MÜ	EE	536 634
48	LAIUSE PÖLLUMAJANDUSE OSAÜHING	EE	533 032
49	OSAÜHING JÄRVA PM	EE	527 809
50	OSAÜHING UURI SUURTALU	EE	518 410

Table A8.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Spain

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SOCIEDAD COOPERATIVA AND VICASOL	ES	7 839 301
2	INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO AGRARIO DE CASTILL	ES	7 753 306
3	COMUNIDAD DE MADRID	ES	6 592 206
4	JUNTA DE COMUNIDADES DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA	ES	6 466 279
5	SAIONA S.C.L.	ES	6 208 212
6	CAMPOFRIO FOOD GROUP, S.A.	ES	5 989 526
7	HARINERA CANARIA SA	ES	5 871 594
8	COMPÀNIA CANARIA DE PIENSOS, S.A.	ES	5 305 046
9	MOGUER CUNA DE PLATERO SDAD COOP AND	ES	5 078 595
10	MURGIVERDE SCA	ES	5 064 739
11	ORG INTER ACEITE OLIVA ESPAÑOL	ES	5 040 287
12	DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE DESARROLLO RURAL Y P	ES	4 843 619
13	SAT AGROIRIS	ES	4 497 578
14	BERRYNEST, SAT	ES	4 401 469
15	AGRUPACION ALCOHOLERA DE BODEGAS COOPERA	ES	4 383 764
16	GRANEROS DE TENERIFE, S.L.	ES	4 245 926
17	FRUVECO, S.A.	ES	4 000 000
18	VEGA MAYOR SL	ES	3 942 311

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
19	CARCHUNA LA PALMA SDAD COOP AND	ES	3 870 806
20	SERVITEC MEDIOAMBIENTE, S.L.	ES	3 781 270
21	SAT N° 9909 LAS PRIMICIAS	ES	3 665 866
22	INDASOL SAT	ES	3 605 739
23	AGRO SEVILLA ACEITUNAS S COOP AND	ES	3 511 674
24	XUNTA DE GALICIA	ES	3 499 388
25	QUESERIAS ENTREPINARES SAU	ES	3 420 571
26	CEREALES ARCHIPIELAGO SA	ES	3 402 601
27	FRIGORIFICOS ANDALUCES DE CONSERVAS DEC ARNE SA	ES	3 382 814
28	HARINALIA CANARIAS, S.L.	ES	3 313 798
29	FRESCITRUS, SAT	ES	3 300 390
30	FORESTACIÓN GALICIA, SA	ES	3 298 781
31	CDAD. AUTONOMA DE LA REGION DE MURCIA	ES	3 277 115
32	PROTOS BOD. RIBERA DE DUERO DE PEÑAFIEL	ES	3 234 043
33	INDUSTRIAS CÁRNICAS LORIENTE PIQUERAS S.	ES	3 187 426
34	JUNTA DE CASTILLA Y LEÓN	ES	3 179 512
35	FRUTA DE ANDALUCIA, SCA	ES	3 152 408
36	GREGAL S.COOP.	ES	3 105 831
37	JUNTA DE ANDALUCIA	ES	3 072 229
38	GREENMED S.L.	ES	2 991 934
39	VAERSA VALENCIANA D APROFITAMENT ENERGETIC D RESID	ES	2 979 617
40	GENERALITAT VALENCIANA	ES	2 968 771
41	PIENSOS DEL ATLANTICO, S.A. (PIATSA)	ES	2 960 261
42	CASI SCA	ES	2 933 967
43	SCHREIBER DE CANARIAS, S.L.	ES	2 907 299
44	COOP.AGR.HORTOF.CARTAYA, SCA	ES	2 764 779
45	SDAD.COOP. SANT DOMENEC	ES	2 744 106
46	SAT MABE	ES	2 738 705
47	AVIALSA	ES	2 652 871
48	TELEFÓNICA de Esaña S.A.U.	ES	2 635 465

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
49	SAT N° 9895 AGRICOLA PERICHAN	ES	2 408 667
50	GRUPO HORTOFRUTICOLA PALOMA S.A.	ES	2 370 114

Table A8.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Finland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SUOMEN METSÄKESKUS - FINLANDS SKOGSCENTRAL	FI	3 972 075
2	LUONNONVARAKESKUS	FI	3 270 996
3	JYVÄSKYLÄN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	2 044 571
4	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-SUOMI RY	FI	1 991 527
5	ANDELSLAGET NÄRPES GRÖNSAKER	FI	1 775 777
6	JAN-ERIK SIGG AB	FI	1 468 948
7	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-POHJANMAA RY	FI	1 466 222
8	AHLQVIST NIKO OLAVI	FI	1 456 924
9	HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO	FI	1 445 426
10	VALIO OY	FI	1 356 921
11	HÄMEEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	1 343 282
12	KINNUSEN MYLLY OY	FI	1 333 560
13	PROAGRIA ETELÄ-SAVO RY	FI	1 287 203
14	ALA-FOSSIN MAATALOUSYHTYMÄ	FI	1 233 403
15	PROAGRIA LÄNSI-SUOMI RY	FI	1 194 980
16	TORIPIHA OY	FI	1 124 657
17	PROAGRIA OULURY	FI	1 112 657
18	SAVONIA-AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	1 065 084
19	PROAGRIA POHJOIS-KARJALARY	FI	1 035 820
20	METSÄHALLITUS	FI	1 025 117
21	HOUSEBOAT SAIMAA OY	FI	994 245
22	JOKILAAKSOJEN KUITUVERKKO-OSUUSKUNTA	FI	980 324
23	TYÖTEHOSEURA RY, RUOTSIKSI ARBETSEFFEKTIVITETSFÖRENINGEN RF	FI	942 539
24	AGRI JÄRVELÄ OY	FI	938 875
25	PIRTEÄ PORSAS OY	FI	910 144
26	TURUN YLIOPISTO	FI	840 613

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
27	MAITORINNE OY	FI	834 565
28	PROAGRIA ITÄ-SUOMI RY	FI	828 115
29	PUUTARHA TIMO JUNTTI OY	FI	803 826
30	CLT PLANTOY	FI	799 000
31	LAB AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	778 426
32	VIHANNES-LAITILA OY	FI	764 706
33	MAATALOUSYHTYMÄ TENHON TILA	FI	751 491
34	ANTROMA OY	FI	747 309
35	MULLIMÄKI OY	FI	745 142
36	PYHÄNETOY	FI	737 739
37	HH JALASTO OY	FI	737 196
38	SEINÄJOEN PUUTARHA OY SEPU	FI	728 877
39	A-TUOTTAJATOY	FI	725 607
40	TH MEHTÄLÄ OY	FI	718 696
41	TIKAN MAATILA OY	FI	684 524
42	J.K. KNUUTTILA OY	FI	682 612
43	AB JEPPO LANTGRIS OY	FI	677 236
44	HRV FARM OY	FI	674 779
45	KOSKISEN TILA OY	FI	667 451
46	MAATALOUSYHTYMÄ HIETALA JARI JA JUHA	FI	666 247
47	KUDESTAAN RY	FI	664 548
48	OULUN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	661 524
49	AB NIMETECH OY	FI	655 437
50	SAARINEN KATI KAROLIINA	FI	652 205

Table A8.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – France

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SAS SUCRIERE DE LA REUNION	FR	30 914 000
2	CERAFEL	FR	19 210 953
3	SUCRERIE DE BOIS ROUGE	FR	13 249 000
4	URCOOPA	FR	12 571 910
5	SA GARDEL	FR	12 028 545

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
6	CENTRE NAT INTERPRO ECONOMIE LAITIERE	FR	6 855 342
7	COOPERATIVE MARAICHERE DE L'OUEST	FR	6 687 179
8	ARIBEV	FR	6 617 190
9	AGENCE DE SERVICES ET DE PAIEMENT	FR	6 114 867
10	CONSEIL REGIONAL DE LA GUADELOUPE	FR	5 718 442
11	CONSEIL INTERPROF DU VIN DE BORDEAUX	FR	5 470 744
12	OCEANE	FR	5 458 706
13	AGRIAL	FR	5 176 713
14	GMA	FR	4 947 774
15	UNION COOPERATIVES VINICOLES AQUITAINE	FR	4 786 044
16	CIRAD	FR	4 564 019
17	SA BOIS DEBOUT	FR	4 410 516
18	PETIT MORNE SARL	FR	4 240 398
19	ARIV	FR	4 024 488
20	GRAP'SUD	FR	3 910 306
21	LES VERGERS DE BLUE WHALE	FR	3 749 220
22	DEPARTEMENT DE LA REUNION	FR	3 604 066
23	CHAMBRE D'AGRICULTURE	FR	3 540 913
24	COMMUNE DU TAMPON	FR	3 397 248
25	G H MUMM ET CIE	FR	2 985 992
26	SOCIETE PARDI	FR	2 786 117
27	SOC CIVILE CHATEAU LEVILLE LAS CASES	FR	2 727 193
28	NANTEUROP	FR	2 720 706
29	ETS PUBLIC OFFICE NATIONAL DES FORETS -	FR	2 700 524
30	AZUR DISTILLATION	FR	2 521 693
31	CHAMPAGNE PERRIER-JOUET	FR	2 503 921
32	DISTILLERIE JEAN GOYARD	FR	2 417 644
33	SAS ARDO	FR	2 400 000
34	SCOP LES VERGERS D'ANJOU	FR	2 399 535
35	STE NOM CO LACTALIS INVESTISSEMENTS	FR	2 350 000
36	LES 3 MOULINS - VITAPRIM	FR	2 274 215

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
37	CONSEIL DEPARTEMENTAL DE MAYOTTE	FR	2 269 708
38	SARL LA RICHARD	FR	2 218 624
39	DISTILLERIE DU BEAUJOLAIS	FR	2 187 252
40	NOUVELLE CITE SAS	FR	2 151 569
41	SARL BAGATELLE	FR	2 128 585
42	ASSOCIATION SYNDICALE D'IRRIGATION DEL'	FR	2 077 545
43	SOC COOPERATIVE AGRICOLE VALPRIM	FR	2 075 521
44	CECAB CENTRALE COOP AGRIC BRET	FR	2 073 506
45	SARL TI FONDS	FR	2 062 679
46	SOCIETE AGRICOLE DE BOLOGNE	FR	2 060 708
47	SOCIETE DE DISTILLERIES VINICOLES DU BLAYAIS	FR	2 048 226
48	SAS EUROSERUM	FR	1 994 941
49	EDEN SARL	FR	1 994 469
50	MARCHE DE PHALEMPIN	FR	1 981 008

Table A8.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Greece

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΕΙΣ ΥΠ.Α.Α.Τ. (DIEUTHUNSEIS UP.A.A.T.)	GR	22 352 731
2	ΜΟΔ ΑΕ (MOD AE)	GR	3 078 005
3	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ ΤΕΧΝΙΚΩΝ ΕΡΓΩΝ ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑΣ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ (DIEUTHUNSI TECHNIKON ERGON PERIFEREIAS THESSALIAS)	GR	2 881 413
4	ΠΑΝΕΛΛΗΝΙΑ ΕΝΩΣΗ ΜΕΤΑΠΟΙΗΤΩΝ ΤΥΠ.ΕΞΑΓΩΓΕΩΝ ΕΛΙΩΝ (PANELLINIA ENOSI METAPOIITON TUP.EXAGOGEON ELION)	GR	2 060 303
5	ΔΕΑΣ ΑΕ (DEAS AE)	GR	1 581 222
6	ΔΑΣΑΡΧΕΙΟ ΔΙΔΥΜΟΤΕΙΧΟΥ (DASARCHEIO DIDUMOTEICHOU)	GR	1 413 354
7	ΔΥΚΤΥΟ ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΩΝ ΚΟΝΣΕΡΒΟΠΟΙΗΩΝ ΦΡΟΥΤΩΝ ΑΕ (DUKTUO ELLINIKON KONSERVOPOIION FROUTON AE)	GR	1 288 950
8	Ο.Π.ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ ΔΗΜΟΥ ΙΕΡΑΠΕΤΡΑΣ (O.P.AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS ANATOLI DIMOU IERAPETRAS)	GR	1 243 523
9	ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΟΣ ΓΕΩΡΓΙΚΟΣ ΟΡΓΑΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΑ (ELLINIKOS GEORGIKOS ORGANISMOS DIMITRA)	GR	1 223 751

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	ΠΕΤΡΟΣ ΜΑΡΤΣΟΥΚΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΤΩΝΗΣ ΜΑΡΤΣΟΥΚΟΣ Ε.Π.Ε. (PETROS MARTSOUKOS KAI ANTONIS MARTSOUKOS E.P.E.)	GR	1 223 139
11	Ο.Π.ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΥ ΖΑΓΟΡΑ ΠΗΛΙΟ (O.P.AGROTIKOU SUNETAIRISMOU ZAGORA PILIO)	GR	1 210 138
12	GREKKO FRUTA A.E. (GREKKO FRUTA A.E.)	GR	1 143 911
13	Ο.Π. - Α.Σ. ΝΑΟΥΣΑΣ (O.P. - A.S. NAOUSAS)	GR	1 028 259
14	CRETA MEANONUMI EMPORIKH KAI BIOMICHANIKH ETAIREIA (CRETA MEANONUMI EMPORIKI KAI VIOMICCHANIKI ETAIREIA)	GR	968 934
15	ΥΠΑΑΤΕ.Υ.Δ. Π.Α.Α. ΜΟΝΑΔΑ Δ' (UPAATE.U.D. P.A.A. MONADA D')	GR	965 820
16	ΟΜΑΔΑ ΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ ΕΑΣ ΑΙΓΑΙΛΕΙΑΣ (OMADA PARAGOGON EAS AIGIALEIAS)	GR	916 563
17	INTERKOMM ΦΟΥΝΤΣ Α.Ε. ? ΕΠΕΞΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ, ΤΥΠΟΠΟΙΗΣΗ ΚΑΙ ΕΜΠΟΡΙΑ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΪΟΝΤΩΝ (INTERKOMM FOUNTS A.E. ? EPEXERGASIA, TUPOPOIISI KAI EMPORIA AGROTIKON PROIONTON)	GR	874 562
18	ΑΦΟΙ ΒΑΛΑΒΑΝΗ Ο.Ε. . (AFOI VALAVANI O.E. .)	GR	845 425
19	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΒΕΡΟΙΑΣ VENUS GROWERS (AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS VEROIAS VENUS GROWERS)	GR	816 579
20	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΒΟΛΟΥ (AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS VOLOU)	GR	814 360
21	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΕΠΕΞΕΡΓΑΣΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΩΛΗΣΕΩΣ ΟΠΩΡΟΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ ΣΕΠΟΠ (AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS EPEXERGASIAS KAI POLISEOS OPOROKIPEUTIKON PROIONTON SEPOP)	GR	771 222
22	ΡΟΥΣΣΑΣ Α.Ε (ROUSSAS A.E)	GR	756 456
23	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΕΠΙΣΚΟΠΗΣ (AGROTIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS EPISKOPIS)	GR	705 017
24	Ε.Γ.Ν.Η.Λ.ΕΡΓΟΣΤΑΣΙΟ ΓΑΛΑΚΤΟΣ Ν.ΗΛΕΙΑΣ ΕΠΕ (E.G.N.IL.ERGOSTASIO GALAKTOS N.ILEIAS EPE)	GR	674 038
25	ΚΟΙΝΟΠΡΑΞΙΑ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΟΜΑΔΩΝ ΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ Ν.ΗΜΑΘΙΑΣ. (KOINOPRAXIA SUNETAIRISMON OMADON PARAGOGON N.IMITHIAS.)	GR	657 384
26	Ο.Π. ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΥ ΜΕΣΗΣ (O.P. AGROTIKOU SUNETAIRISMOU MESIS)	GR	650 405

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
27	ΚΟΙΝΟΠΡΑΞΙΑ ΕΝΩΣΕΩΝ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΤΡΙΚΑΛΩΝ - ΚΑΡΔΙΤΣΑΣ - ΣΥΝ.ΠΕ (KOINOPRAXIA ENOSEON AGROTIKON SUNETAIRISMON TRIKALON - KARDITSAS - SUN.PE)	GR	629 239
28	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΙΚΗ ΣΥΜΠΡΑΞΗ Α.Λ.Μ.Μ.Ε. Α.Ε. (AGROTIKI ETAIRIKI SUMPRAXI AL.M.ME. A.E.)	GR	615 088
29	ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΗ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΙΑ ΕΠΕΞΕΡΓΑΣΙΑΣ ΚΡΕΑΤΩΝ Α.Ε. (ELLINIKI VIOMICHANIA EPEXERGASIAS KREATON A.E.)	GR	611 463
30	ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΠΑΡΝΩΝΑ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΙΑΚΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΟΤΑ (ANAPTXIAKI PARNONA ANAPTXIAKI ANONUMI ETAIREIA OTA)	GR	574 798
31	ΑΦΟΙ ΛΑΜΠΡΙΔΗ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΙΑ ?ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΙΑ ΕΠΕΞΕΡΓΑΣΙΑΣ ΦΡΟΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΛΑΧΑΝΙΚΩΝ (AFOI LAMPRIDI ANONUMI ETAIRIA ?VIOMICHANIA EPEXERGASIAS FROUTON KAI LACHANIKON)	GR	550 623
32	Α.Α. ΜΠΑΚΑΣ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΪΟΝΤΩΝ (A.A. MPAKAS ANONUMI ETAIREIA AGROTIKON PROIONTON)	GR	536 056
33	ΔΑΣΑΡΧΕΙΟ ΑΤΑΛΑΝΤΗΣ (DASARCHEIO ATALANTIS)	GR	534 840
34	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΘΗΡΑΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ (ENOSI SUNETAIRISMON THIRAIKON PROIONTON)	GR	528 432
35	ΓΑΛΑΚΤΟΚΟΜΙΚΑ ΜΑΝΔΡΕΚΑΣ Α.Ε. . (GALAKTOKOMIKA MANDREKAS A.E. .)	GR	524 573
36	Ο.Π. Α.Σ.Σ.ΧΡΥΣΟΧΩΡΙΟΥ NESPAR (O.P. A.S.S.CHRUSOCHORIOU NESPAR)	GR	492 102
37	ΞΗΡΑΝΤΗΡΙΑ ΒΙΣΑΛΤΙΑΣ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ ΠΕΡΙΟΡΙΣΜΕΝΗΣ ΕΥΘΥΝΗΣ (XIRANTIRIA VISALTIAS ETAIREIA PERIORISMENIS EUTHUNIS)	GR	481 372
38	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΑΜΦΙΣΣΑΣ (ENOSI AGROTIKON SUNETAIRISMON AMFISSAS)	GR	477 831
39	Ο.Π. ΑΓΡΟΤ.ΣΥΝΕΤ.ΘΕΣΣΑΛΩΝ ΤΟΜΑΤΟΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ (O.P. AGROT.SUNET.THESSALON TOMATOPARAGOGON)	GR	477 330
40	ΜΑΡΙΑ Σ. ΤΑΣΙΟΥ (MARIA S. TASIOU)	GR	463 320
41	ΚΤΗΝΟΤΡΟΦΙΚΗ ΜΑΓΝΗΣΙΑΣ ΑΕ (KTINOTROFIKI MAGNISIAS AE)	GR	460 698
42	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΚΑΒΑΛΑΣ (ENOSI AGROTIKON SUNETAIRISMON KAVALAS)	GR	459 605

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΟΣ ΟΙΝΟΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΟΣ ΤΥΡΝΑΒΟΥ (AGROTIKOS OINOPOIITIKOS SUNETAIRISMOS TURNAVOU)	GR	455 638
44	ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΗ ΕΤΑΙΡΙΚΗ ΣΥΜΠΡΑΞΗ ΚΟΜΕΞ ΑΝΩΝΥΜΟΣ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ (AGROTIKI ETAIRIKI SUMPRAXI KOMEX ANONUMOS ETAIREIA)	GR	435 925
45	ΑΦΟΙ. ΚΑΛΟΓΗΡΟΥ Α.Ε. (AFOI I. KALOGIROU A.E.)	GR	432 044
46	Γ.Ε.Ο.Κ. Α.Ε. ΟΜΑΔΑ ΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ Ε.Γ.Α. (G.E.O.K. A.E. OMADA PARAGOGON E.G.A.)	GR	428 720
47	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΜΑΣΤΙΧΟΠΑΡΑΓΩΓΩΝ ΧΙΟΥ ΣΥΝ. Π.Ε. (ENOSI MASTICHOPARAGOGON CHIOU SUN. PE.)	GR	428 520
48	Ο.Π - ΑΣΕΠΟΠ ΝΑΟΥΣΑΣ (O.P- ASEPOP NAOUSAS)	GR	421 161
49	ΕΝΩΣΗ ΑΓΡΟΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΙΡΙΣΜΩΝ ΝΑΞΟΥ (ENOSI AGROTIKON SUNETAIRISMON NAXOU)	GR	407 808
50	Ο.Π. Α.Σ. ΑΜΜΟΥ ΒΕΡΟΙΑΣ ΝΕΟΣ ΑΛΙΑΚΜΩΝ (O.P. A.S. AMMOU VEROIAS NEOS ALIAKMON)	GR	393 192

Table A8.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Croatia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	HRVATSKA BANKA ZA OBNOVU I RAZVITAK	HR	15 080 362
2	LIČKO-SENJSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	8 463 612
3	HAMAG-BICRO HRVATSKA AGENCIJA ZA MALO GOSPODARSTVO, INOVACIJE I INVESTICIJE	HR	7 543 951
4	BELJE PLUS D.O.O.	HR	7 486 859
5	AGENCIJA ZA PLAĆANJA U POLJOPRIVREDI, RIBARSTVU I RURALNOM RAZVOJU	HR	5 184 338
6	MINISTARSTVO POLJOPRIVREDE	HR	3 638 901
7	NOVI AGRAR D.O.O.	HR	3 304 690
8	VIROVITIČKO-PODRAVSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	3 251 800
9	BOVIS POLJOPRIVREDA D.O.O.	HR	2 596 838
10	VEGO PLANTIS D.O.O.	HR	2 505 059
11	KUTJEVO D.D.	HR	2 300 084
12	VUPIK PLUS D.O.O.	HR	2 242 396
13	ZADARSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	2 147 783
14	SISAČKO-MOSLAVAČKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	2 143 131
15	PP ORAHOVICA D.O.O.	HR	2 020 014

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
16	MOSLAVINA VOĆED.O.O.	HR	1 855 811
17	RAJSKA D.O.O.	HR	1 844 384
18	VETERINARSKA AMBULANTA MARTES D.O.O.	HR	1 749 467
19	PIKO D.O.O.	HR	1 596 391
20	PPK VALPOVO D.O.O.	HR	1 586 878
21	BRODSKO-POSAVSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	1 515 178
22	PIK-VINKOVCI PLUS D.O.O.	HR	1 427 385
23	VUKOVARSKO-SRIJEMSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	1 423 205
24	ŠIRJAN D.O.O.	HR	1 341 899
25	ĐAKOVAČKA VINAD. D.	HR	1 314 811
26	WEST D.O.O.	HR	1 293 112
27	INTERSNACK ADRIAD.O.O.	HR	1 117 449
28	KANAAN D.O.O.	HR	1 086 803
29	STOČARSTVO RAIČ OBRT ZA UZGOJ GOVEDA	HR	1 024 090
30	ROXANICH D.O.O. ZA PROIZVODNJI TRG ALKOH. PIĆIMA	HR	964 342
31	AGRO PUŠKARIĆ, POLJOPRIVREDNI OBRT, VL. MARIJO PUŠKARIĆ	HR	902 342
32	RABO D.O.O.	HR	887 974
33	DUKAT D.D.	HR	879 426
34	KRNJAK D.O.O.	HR	809 138
35	GRAD MURSKO SREDIŠĆE	HR	802 159
36	VARKOM D.D.	HR	778 731
37	LIBURNIJSKE VODE D.O.O.	HR	776 536
38	ZAGORSKI VODOVOD D.O.O.	HR	768 843
39	GALA D.O.O.	HR	766 608
40	GRAD SLATINA	HR	764 625
41	DOMINIK B, POLJOPRIVREDNI OBRT	HR	762 262
42	FARMA TOMAŠANCI D.O.O.	HR	739 927
43	OPĆINA KALNIK	HR	737 939
44	OPĆINA NIJEMCI	HR	728 364
45	DIBA D.O.O.	HR	722 340

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	POLJOPRIVREDNA ZADRUGA "TRS"	HR	717 311
47	SAVJETODAVNA SLUŽBA	HR	705 290
48	BOVIS D.O.O.	HR	691 098
49	POLJOPRIVREDNO DOBRO D.O.O.	HR	678 515
50	BILOFARM D.O.O.	HR	670 159

Table A8.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Hungary

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MAGYAR AGRÁR-, ÉLELMISZERGAZDASÁGI ÉS VIDÉKFEJLESZTÉSI KAMARA	HU	10 573 950
2	BÓLYI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS KERESKEDELMI ZRT.	HU	3 319 483
3	AGROPRODUKT MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS ÉRTÉKESÍTŐ ZRT.	HU	2 603 946
4	ENYINGI AGRÁR ZRT.	HU	2 185 255
5	NEMZETI ÉLELMISZERLÁNC-BIZTONSÁGI HIVATAL	HU	1 935 804
6	DÉL-PEST MEGYEI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 676 353
7	SZARVASI AGRÁR ZRT.	HU	1 637 546
8	MAGYAR ÁLLAMKINCSTÁR	HU	1 637 136
9	NEMZETI MÉNESBIRTOK ÉS TANGAZDASÁG ZRT.	HU	1 633 063
10	KISALEFÖLDI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 603 475
11	LAJTA-HANSÁG MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ KERESKEDELMI ÉSSZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 495 702
12	MEZŐFALVAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 485 741
13	SÁRVÁRI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 426 953
14	HIDASHÁTI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 422 947
15	HAJDÚBÖSZÖRMÉNYI BÉKE MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 401 425
16	MAKROM KFT.	HU	1 394 426
17	JÁSZ-FÖLD MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 376 159
18	DALMANDI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 359 502
19	ARANY KAPU BORÁSZATI MELLÉKTERMÉK FELDOLGOZÓ ZRT.	HU	1 351 168
20	BOS-FRUCHT AGRÁRSZÖVETKEZET	HU	1 335 970

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	SOLUM MEZŐGAZDASÁGI, IPIARI ÉS KERESKEDELMI ZRT.	HU	1 314 273
22	CSICSÓ PIG KFT.	HU	1 297 951
23	"DOMBKA 2003"MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KERESKEDELMI ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 264 234
24	HORTOBÁGYI TERMÉSZETVÉDELMI ÉS GÉNMEGŐRZŐ NONPROFIT KFT.	HU	1 241 901
25	GORZSAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 203 614
26	PÉLPUSZTAI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 162 930
27	DEMETER BALÁZSTAMÁS	HU	1 162 564
28	NEMES AGRO KERTÉSZETI KERESKEDELMI ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ KFT.	HU	1 115 102
29	LAKTO ÁLLATTENYÉSZTŐ TERMÉKELŐÁLLÍTÓ FELVÁSÁRLÓ ÉS KERESKEDELMI KFT.	HU	1 114 748
30	"DUNA GYÖNGYE 2000" MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KERESKEDELMI ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 103 906
31	NYAKAS ANDRÁS	HU	1 097 211
32	CLAESSENS ÁLTALÁNOS MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	1 079 165
33	ERDŐHÁT MG. TERM.-, SZOLG.- ÉS KE ZRT.	HU	1 066 656
34	KURUCZ AGRO MEZŐGAZDASÁGI SZOLGÁLTATÓ KFT.	HU	1 055 518
35	SZOMBATHELYI TANGAZDASÁG ZRT.	HU	1 045 525
36	KINIZSI 2000 MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	1 043 746
37	DÉL-TISZAI SERTÉS VÁGÓSERTÉST TERMELŐ BESZERZŐ ÉS ÉRTÉKESÍTŐ KFT.	HU	1 037 414
38	COMAGRO-SARDO MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ÉS KERESKEDELMI KFT.	HU	1 010 060
39	PÁLHALMAI AGROSPECIÁL MEZŐGAZDASÁGI TERMELŐ ÉRTÉKESÍTŐ ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ KFT.	HU	1 010 038
40	AGRO-M ZRT.	HU	1 005 817
41	MERCURIUS COMMERCIAL KFT.	HU	1 005 749
42	TEDEJ AGRÁRTERMELŐ ÉS SZOLGÁLTATÓ ZRT.	HU	1 001 094
43	BOLD AGRO KFT.	HU	989 826
44	KÖRÖS-MAROS BIOFARM SZARVASMARHA-TENYÉSZTŐ KFT.	HU	985 255
45	VEGA- HÁZ KFT.	HU	978 743

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
46	INTER AGRÁRIUM MEZŐGAZDASÁGI KFT.	HU	975 186
47	FERTŐ-HANSÁG NEMZETI PARK IGAZGATÓSÁG	HU	965 081
48	EXTRA TEJ TEJTERMELŐ KFT.	HU	964 313
49	DÁVODI AUGUSZTUS 20 MG. ZRT.	HU	959 683
50	TÖRÖKSZENTMIKLÓSI MEZŐGAZDASÁGI ZRT.	HU	950 642

Table A8.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Ireland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	TEAGASC	IE	14 249 155
2	COMMERCIAL MUSHROOM PRS COOP	IE	3 929 313
3	BORD BIA	IE	3 897 134
4	DONEGAL LCDC	IE	2 891 784
5	THE HEN HARRIER PROJECT LTD	IE	2 711 637
6	MAYO LCDC	IE	2 699 538
7	LIMERICK LCDC	IE	2 554 619
8	KERRY LCDC	IE	2 447 683
9	WEXFORD LCDC	IE	2 011 588
10	CAVAN LCDC	IE	1 969 590
11	JOHN MURPHY	IE	1 925 061
12	TIPPERARY LCDC	IE	1 870 810
13	OFFALY LCDC	IE	1 663 253
14	WATERFORD LCDC	IE	1 589 916
15	CLARE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY CLG	IE	1 523 028
16	ROSCOMMON LCDC	IE	1 467 350
17	MICHAEL MURPHY	IE	1 442 784
18	PATRICK MURPHY	IE	1 339 183
19	JOHN KELLY	IE	1 318 955
20	JOHN RYAN	IE	1 318 063
21	JOHN O'SULLIVAN	IE	1 294 541
22	VINCENT COSTELLO	IE	1 271 733
23	CARLOW LCDC	IE	1 222 242

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
24	JOHN O'CONNOR	IE	1 211 008
25	MONAGHAN LCDC	IE	1 208 950
26	LEITRIM LCDC	IE	1 168 695
27	NATIONAL DAIRY COUNCIL	IE	1 134 824
28	LAOIS LCDC	IE	1 124 869
29	LONGFORD LCDC	IE	1 121 670
30	MICHAEL RYAN	IE	1 114 207
31	MICHAEL O'SULLIVAN	IE	1 104 919
32	MICHAEL WALSH	IE	1 089 197
33	SLIGO LCDC	IE	1 086 909
34	JOHN WALSH	IE	1 056 267
35	CORK NORTH LCDC	IE	1 054 742
36	GALWAY EAST LCDC	IE	1 052 841
37	JOHN O'BRIEN	IE	1 027 207
38	WICKLOW LCDC	IE	1 017 025
39	LOUTH LCDC	IE	1 015 593
40	PATRICK WALSH	IE	1 011 726
41	MICHAEL O'CONNOR	IE	999 082
42	COUNTY KILKENNY LEADER PARTNERSHIP CLG	IE	991 043
43	MICHAEL KELLY	IE	966 899
44	PATRICK KELLY	IE	892 914
45	DUBLIN RURAL LCDC	IE	880 832
46	MICHAEL O'BRIEN	IE	807 967
47	JAMES RYAN	IE	787 399
48	MEATH LCDC	IE	775 152
49	JAMES WALSH	IE	765 162
50	JOHN KENNEDY	IE	741 031

Table A8.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Italy

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	F.IN.A.F. FIRST INTERNETIONAL ASSOCIATION FRUIT SOC.CONSORTILE A RL	IT	32 408 629
2	AOP GRUPPO VI.VA. VISIONE VALORE SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	23 418 217
3	VOG - VERBAND DER SUEDTIROLER OBSTGEN. - GEN. LANDW. GES.	IT	18 258 916
4	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	11 370 090
5	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	11 370 090
6	AOP UNOLOMBARDIA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	10 209 197
7	VI.P GEN. LANDW. GESELLSCHAFT	IT	9 990 960
8	CONSIGLIO PER LA RICERCA IN AGRIC. E L'ANALISI ECON. AGR.	IT	9 011 355
9	CONSIGLIO PER LA RICERCA IN AGRIC. E L'ANALISI ECON. AGR.	IT	9 011 355
10	CONFAGRI PROMOTION - SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	7 559 241
11	AOP PIEMONTE SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	6 425 273
12	CAVIRO EXTRA S.P.A. CON SOCIO UNICO	IT	4 903 008
13	CONSORZIO INTERREGIONALE ORTOFRUTTICOLO SOC. COOP. A.R.L.	IT	4 836 144
14	ENOTECA REGIONALE EMILIA ROMAGNA	IT	4 690 427
15	AOP ITALIA SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	4 534 296
16	ITALIA OLIVICOLA SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	4 295 949
17	AOP ROMANDIOLA SOC. CONS. A.R.L.	IT	3 826 207
18	DISTILLERIE BONOLLO SPA	IT	3 729 130
19	FINLOMBARDA S.P.A.	IT	3 578 960
20	CONSORZIO MELINDA SOC.COOP.AGRICOLA	IT	3 516 748
21	FONDO DI ROTAZIONE REGIONALE PER INTERVENTI NEL SETTORE AGRICOLO	IT	3 471 160
22	TERRA ORTI - SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA	IT	3 387 624

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
23	A.F.E. ASSOCIAZIONE FRUTTICOLTORI ESTENSE SOC. COOP. AGRICOLA	IT	3 358 939
24	ENOTRIA PROMOTION	IT	3 317 064
25	AGENZIA FORESTALE REGIONALE	IT	3 234 967
26	CONSORZIO INTRACOMUNITARI ORGANIZZAZIONI PRODUTTORI SOC. CONSORТИLE A.R.L.	IT	3 081 171
27	SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CORTICELLA SRL	IT	3 080 443
28	BONIFICHE FERRARESI SPA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA	IT	3 021 694
29	APOC SALERNO SOCIETA' AGRICOLA COOPERATIVA A R.L.	IT	2 981 714
30	ISTITUTO DI SERVIZI PER IL MERCATO AGRICOLO ALIMENTARE - ISMEA	IT	2 971 528
31	ISTITUTO DI SERVIZI PER IL MERCATO AGRICOLO ALIMENTARE - ISMEA	IT	2 971 528
32	VENETO ORTOFRUTTA SOCIETA' CONSORTEILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	2 826 642
33	ASSOVINI SICILIA	IT	2 790 363
34	MARCHESI FRESCOBALDI SOCIETA' AGRICOLA S.R.L	IT	2 703 894
35	NATURA - SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	2 688 205
36	GENAGRICOLA SPA	IT	2 666 072
37	C.I.O. - CONS. INTERREGIONALE ORTOFRUTTICOLO - S.C.A.	IT	2 643 212
38	ASSO FRUIT ITALIA SOCIETA COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	2 533 477
39	VILLAPANA SPA	IT	2 477 080
40	CONSORZIO DI BONIFICA DELLE MARCHE	IT	2 455 371
41	C.D.P. SOCIETA' A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA IN FORMA ABBREVIATA C.D.P. S.R.L.	IT	2 367 892
42	DISTILLERIA BERTOLINO S.P.A.	IT	2 356 409
43	COF S.P.A.	IT	2 313 044
44	AGRILINEA SRL A SOCIO UNICO	IT	2 305 422
45	ORTOFRUTTA SOL SUD - SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA ORGANIZZAZIONE DI PRODUTTORI	IT	2 298 497
46	APOFRUIT ITALIA - SOC. COOP. AGRICOLA	IT	2 281 292
47	VIVAI NISCHLER DI NISCHLER GEORG & C. - S.S. SOCIETA' AGRICOLA	IT	2 274 145

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	ISTITUTO DEL VINO ITALIANO DI QUALITA'- GRANDI MARCHI S.R.L. CONSORTILE	IT	2 241 329
49	CONSORZIO DI BONIFICA DEL SANNIO ALIFANO	IT	2 167 905
50	SOCIETA' AGRICOLA DELLA ROCCA SPA	IT	2 140 633

Table A8.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Lithuania

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	NACIONALINĖ MOKĖJIMO AGENTŪRA PRIE ŽEMĖS ŪKIO MINISTERIJOS	LT	6 068 060
2	LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIJA PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	4 258 582
3	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "ŽEMAITIJOS PIENAS"	LT	3 058 712
4	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO MINISTERIJA, BĮ	LT	2 205 681
5	ALYTAUS RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	1 025 121
6	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO RÜMAI	LT	930 697
7	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "KELMĖS PIENINĖ"	LT	915 639
8	KAUNO RAJONOS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	909 006
9	LYGUMŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	904 171
10	LIETUVOS ALUDARIŲ GILDIJA	LT	738 502
11	PAKRUOJO RAJONO GUOSTAGALIO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	719 622
12	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONO ŽIBARTONIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	715 827
13	LIETUVOS SVEIKATOS MOKSLŲ UNIVERSITETAS	LT	698 559
14	KURŠENŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	651 553
15	LIETUVOS AGRARINIŲ IR MIŠKŲ MOKSLŲ CENTRAS	LT	629 701
16	MAŽEIKIŲ RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	611 287
17	KOOPERATINĖ BENDROVĖ "AGROPARTNERIS"	LT	593 893
18	KELMĖS RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	575 996
19	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "PIENO ŽVAIGŽDĖS"	LT	574 314
20	LYTAGROS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	571 203
21	MARIJAMPOLĖS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	568 347

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
22	PASVALIO RAJONO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ "KIELMELIAI"	LT	568 279
23	PLUNGĖS RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	538 370
24	BALTIJOS MAISTO ORGANIZACIJA	LT	520 291
25	PAPILĖS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	514 989
26	KĖDAINIŲ RAJONOOKAINIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	514 798
27	KĖDAINIŲ RAJONO LABŪNAVOS ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	484 874
28	JONAVOS RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	482 037
29	PADOVINIO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	472 391
30	MOLĘTŲ RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	461 136
31	ČESLOVAS VYTAUTAS KARBAUSKIS	LT	456 463
32	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONOS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	446 279
33	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONOS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	446 279
34	LIETUVOS MĖSOS PERDIRBĖJŲ ASOCIACIJA	LT	441 989
35	SIDABRAVO ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	436 928
36	IRENA DAUKANTIENĖ	LT	436 596
37	ANYKŠČIŲ RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	421 358
38	INGLEBY LITHUANIA AGRO UAB	LT	419 255
39	LAŠŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	418 334
40	PAULIUKŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	418 143
41	PAKRUOJO R. ŽVIRBLONIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	410 678
42	RASEINIŲ RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	401 895
43	RIETAVO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	392 294
44	RAMŪNAS KARBAUSKIS	LT	391 914
45	RADVILIŠKIO RAJONOS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	380 898
46	PAGĖGIŲ SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	380 599
47	PANEVĖŽIO RAJONO ĖRIŠKIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	378 054

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	ELEKTRĒNŲ SAVIVALDYBĒS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	373 932
49	KĖDAINIŲ RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĒS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	358 035
50	REIBINIŲ ŽEMĖS ŪKIO BENDROVĖ	LT	352 417

Table A8.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Luxembourg

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	GENGLER BOB	LU	774 581
2	MERGEN FRERES ROGER ET ALBERT	LU	749 757
3	DELLFERME STEICHEN/ MEYERS/GENGLER/WALSD	LU	746 649
4	GLESENER BILL	LU	694 985
5	DORMANS ANDRE	LU	682 211
6	LAMBORELLE BERNARD ET LEO SC	LU	618 659
7	KAES BOB	LU	550 544
8	KARELS GEORGES	LU	526 392
9	LEYTEM SC	LU	511 100
10	HUBERT FRANK	LU	498 950
11	WAHL MARC	LU	497 989
12	KELLAGRI SOCIETE CIVILE	LU	461 418
13	WIRTZ-AGRI C/O WIRTZ FRANK	LU	453 782
14	WEIS FRERES ET SCHUH S.C. C/O ROMAIN WEIS	LU	446 175
15	MAJERUS CLAUDE	LU	434 191
16	ALMO AGRI S.C. C/O ALBERS RENE	LU	432 378
17	BINCK MYRIAM	LU	401 661
18	SOTHOLUX SC	LU	396 253
19	TRAUSCH & NOSBUSCH COMM. D'EXPLOITATION	LU	384 848
20	GROSBUSCH S.A.	LU	373 724
21	ROEDER MARC	LU	355 519
22	LEIDER GILBERT	LU	339 456
23	REIFF JEFF	LU	317 467
24	RISCH-MARNACH ANNICK	LU	317 399
25	ROMMESCHTER HAFF C/O PAUL CANNIVE	LU	312 304

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	REINIG CHARLES	LU	307 092
27	PETERS ALAIN	LU	304 524
28	BECK-AGRI C/O BECK ALPHONSE	LU	282 762
29	SOPRANA C/O SCHLEICH BEN	LU	282 735
30	DOEMER LUC	LU	267 245
31	KAYLNICOLAS	LU	265 132
32	SOPIBO .	LU	236 993
33	DOMAINE THILL SARL	LU	236 938
34	PINNEL GUY	LU	234 679
35	HESSAGRI S.C.	LU	232 039
36	STEFFES MARC	LU	231 533
37	CLEES-BOURG JOSEPH	LU	217 317
38	SAND YVES	LU	216 999
39	AGRIMILK SC	LU	215 633
40	SCHILLING CHRISTOPHE	LU	214 634
41	MAJERUS LOUIS	LU	213 883
42	NOESEN-HESS GILLES	LU	211 957
43	MULLER JEAN-CLAUDE	LU	208 514
44	ROLLINGER MARC	LU	204 800
45	KNEPPER LUC	LU	203 930
46	KAIL ET KAIL S.C.	LU	201 404
47	CAPRISO .	LU	199 813
48	FRIES STEVE	LU	198 454
49	NAU JEAN-PIERRE	LU	197 529
50	BRIMMEYER-GILS JOELLE	LU	194 916

Table A8.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Latvia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	VALSTS SIA ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJAS NEKUSTAMIE ĪPAŠUMI	LV	8 937 040
2	VALSTS IESTĀDE ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJA	LV	5 339 393
3	PSV SALDUS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	4 771 319

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
4	VALSTS IESTĀDE ZM LAUKU ATBALSTA DIENESTS	LV	4 718 789
5	SIA LATVIJAS LAUKU KONSULTĀCIJU UN IZGLĪTĪBAS CENTRS	LV	2 866 315
6	AS TUKUMA PIENS	LV	2 439 289
7	PSV JELGAVAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	2 385 126
8	PSV KULDĪGAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	2 381 454
9	AS DOBELES DZIRNAVNIEKS	LV	2 000 000
10	PSV DAUGAVPILS NOVADA DOME	LV	1 730 227
11	PSV BALVU NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 656 490
12	PSV LUDZAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 620 000
13	PSV RĒZEKNES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 610 324
14	PSV PRIEKULES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 600 000
15	PSV AUICES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 448 654
16	SIA VECAUCE	LV	1 294 798
17	ZS ĀRA BURKĀNA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "SĒJAS"	LV	1 257 246
18	SIA INGLEBY DOBELE AGRO SIA	LV	1 210 809
19	SIA AGROFIRMA ZELTA DRUVA	LV	1 177 041
20	SIA GAIŽĒNI	LV	1 166 239
21	KS LAUKSAIMNIECĪBAS PAKALPOJUMU KOOPERATĪVĀ SABIEDRĪBA "KUZIKS"	LV	1 165 800
22	SIA LIELVIRCAVA AGRO	LV	1 142 111
23	PSV RIEBINU NOVADA DOME	LV	1 127 400
24	PSV GULBENES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 119 868
25	AS AGROFIRMA TĒRVETE	LV	1 004 089
26	PSVTALSU NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	1 001 715
27	PSV LIMBAŽU NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	957 078
28	AS RĪGAS PIENA KOMBINĀTS	LV	947 128
29	KS KOOPERATĪVĀ SABIEDRĪBA "BALTIJAS DĀRZENĪ"	LV	946 765
30	SIA LUTRIŅI	LV	934 927
31	SIA MADLIENA 2	LV	904 418
32	SIA ARTIS JP	LV	899 720
33	SIA UZVARA-LAUKS	LV	895 108

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
34	PSV ALŪKSNES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	882 844
35	PSV JAUNPILS NOVADA DOME	LV	860 000
36	PSV VECUMNIEKU NOVADA DOME	LV	855 801
37	PSV RŪJIENAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	847 441
38	PSV AIZPUTES NOVADA DOME	LV	842 827
39	AS LOKKOPĪBAS IZMĒGINĀJUMU STACIJA LATGALE	LV	826 316
40	SIA PAMPĀLI	LV	819 254
41	PSV ALOJAS NOVADA DOME	LV	795 502
42	PSV PREIĻU NOVADA DOME	LV	794 914
43	PSV DOBELES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	794 907
44	SIA BALTIC PORK	LV	793 570
45	ZS GRANTINA ANDRA ZEMNIEKU SAIMNIECĪBA "ERINI"	LV	787 662
46	PSV VENTSPILS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	765 853
47	SIA LESTENE	LV	764 126
48	SIA TAND UKRI	LV	762 079
49	AS CESVAINES PIENS	LV	754 697
50	AS ZIEDI JP	LV	748 248

Table A8.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Malta

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	WATER SERVICES CORPORATION	MT	12 291 322
2	MINISTRY FOR GOZO	MT	4 190 752
3	INFRASTRUCTURE MALTA	MT	963 406
4	SIGGIEWI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	408 862
5	JAMES CATERERS LTD	MT	377 591
6	DIRECTOR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	MT	252 586
7	MALTA DAIRY PRODUCTS LTD	MT	225 219
8	FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION DIRECTORATE	MT	166 434
9	M AND R XERRI LTD	MT	150 000
10	GOZO ACTION GROUP FOUNDATION	MT	149 492
11	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT	MT	122 267

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
12	MAJJISTRAL ACTION GROUP	MT	108 854
13	ABELA VICTOR	MT	91 572
14	TRANSPORT MALTA	MT	91 498
15	XEWKJJA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	90 402
16	KRISTINU AZZOPARDI AND SONS LTD	MT	85 317
17	TABONE JOCHEN	MT	85 189
18	QRENDI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	84 742
19	CAMILLERI JOSEPH	MT	84 389
20	GALEA IVAN	MT	81 740
21	FOUNDATION ACTION GROUP XLOKK	MT	72 590
22	AGIUS CHRISTIAN	MT	70 907
23	IKLIN LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	70 600
24	MESDC PARKS	MT	68 046
25	VELLA GEORGE	MT	67 671
26	ATTARD ROBERT	MT	66 515
27	GHAJSIELEM LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	65 685
28	ST RITA FARM COMPANY LTD	MT	62 629
29	BUHAGIAR KURT	MT	62 121
30	XGHAJRA LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	61 897
31	GHARB LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	61 483
32	QORMI LOCAL COUNCIL	MT	60 159
33	FARRUGIA JOSEF	MT	57 675
34	VELLA JAKE	MT	57 334
35	PACE CORIN	MT	57 037
36	SCIBERRAS MICHAEL	MT	56 977
37	SULTANA VINCENT	MT	56 691
38	MUSCAT STEFAN	MT	56 466
39	TABONE ALEXANDER	MT	56 410
40	VASSALLO ANTHONY	MT	56 363
41	DEBONO ROBERTO	MT	56 305
42	GALEA DAVID	MT	56 286

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	BUTTIGIEG CHRISTOPHER	MT	56 229
44	CARUANA TIZIANA	MT	56 114
45	GAUCI PEPPI	MT	56 000
46	MUSCAT MARIO	MT	56 000
47	ZAMMIT CLYDE	MT	56 000
48	CAMILLERI CLYDE	MT	56 000
49	AGIUS JOSEPH	MT	56 000
50	MUSCAT ALISON	MT	56 000

Table A8.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Netherlands

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	COÖPERATIE NATUURRIJK LIMBURG U.A.	NL	6 493 022
2	TELERSCOÖPERATIE NOVA FRESH U.A.	NL	6 283 504
3	HOOFDKANTOOR WETTERSKIP FRYSLÂN	NL	4 318 510
4	NOARDLIKE FYSKE WALDEN	NL	3 841 066
5	VER. AGR. NATUUR-/LANDSCH.BEH. WATER, LAND	NL	3 533 099
6	COÖPERATIE KOMPANY U.A.	NL	3 195 710
7	FOSSA EUGENIANA	NL	3 106 197
8	COÖPERATIEF AGRARISCH NATUUR COLLECTIEF VELUWE U.A.	NL	3 005 441
9	COÖPERATIE 'DOOR' U.A.	NL	2 990 894
10	COÖPERATIE 'AGRARISCHE NATUUR DRENTHÉ' U.A.	NL	2 942 183
11	COLLECTIEF ALBLASSERWAARD/VIJFHEERENLANDEN COÖPERATIEVE U.A.	NL	2 909 824
12	COLLECTIEF GRONINGEN WEST	NL	2 785 163
13	WATERSCHAP DRENTS OVERIJSSELSE DELTA	NL	2 484 018
14	WATERSCHAP ZUIDERZEE LAND	NL	2 387 534
15	COÖP. AGR. NAT.BEH.VER. COLL. RIVIERENLAND UA	NL	2 287 077
16	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING AGRARISCH LANDSCHAP ACHTERHOEK U.A.	NL	2 236 337
17	GEBIEDSCOÖPERATIE IT LEGE MIDDEN U.A.	NL	2 019 128
18	WATERSCHAP RIJN EN IJSSEL	NL	2 006 879

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
19	PROLANDER	NL	1 942 115
20	VER. AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER HOLLANDS NOORDEN	NL	1 898 823
21	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING SÜDWESTKUST U.A.	NL	1 858 171
22	COÖPERATIEVE VERENIGING COÖPERATIE AGRARISCH COLLECTIEF WAADRÂNE U.A.	NL	1 790 173
23	AVERIS SEEDS B.V.	NL	1 778 218
24	COÖPERATIEVE AGRARISCHE NATUUR COLLECTIEF NOORDWEST OVERIJSSEL U.A.	NL	1 775 180
25	WATERSCHAPSHUIS WATERSCHAP VECHTSTROMEN	NL	1 594 152
26	ANC WESTERGO	NL	1 572 974
27	GEBIEDSCOÖPERATIE RIJN VECHT EN VENEN U.A.	NL	1 509 842
28	WATERSCHAP SCHELDESTROMEN	NL	1 503 752
29	AGRARISCHE NATUURVERENIGING OOST GRONINGEN	NL	1 439 456
30	PROVINCIE UTRECHT	NL	1 358 899
31	AGRARISCHE NATUURVERENIGING 'WADDENVOGELS'	NL	1 324 455
32	FRUIT OP JE WERK	NL	1 308 885
33	COÖPERATIE AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER UTRECHT OOST U.A.	NL	1 285 990
34	C.J. DEN DAAS B.V.	NL	1 285 538
35	COÖPERATIEF COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER OOST-BRABANT U.A.	NL	1 253 049
36	COÖPERATIEF COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER WEST-BRABANT U.A.	NL	1 214 409
37	REGIEBUREAU POP	NL	1 209 722
38	ELAN ZUIDOOST FRIESLAND	NL	1 197 235
39	HOOGHEEMRAADSCHAP HOLLANDS NOORDERKWARTIER	NL	1 193 226
40	COÖPERATIE COLLECTIEF AGRARISCH NATUURBEHEER U.A.	NL	1 150 529
41	COÖPERATIEVE AGRARISCH NATUUR COLLECTIEF MIDDEN OVERIJSSEL U.A.	NL	1 099 085
42	CENTRALE ORGANISATIE VOOR DE VLEESSECTOR	NL	1 066 650
43	KWEKERIJ MONNIKENWAARD	NL	950 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	VERS & FIJN BENELUX B.V.	NL	933 064
45	B.V. EXPLOITATIE RESERVEGRONDEN FLEVOLAND	NL	914 987
46	AGRARISCH NATUUR- EN LANDSCHAPSCOLLECTIEF M-G	NL	891 164
47	V.A. NAT.-/LANDSCH.BEH. RIJN & GOUWE WIERICKE	NL	865 200
48	H.M. TESSELAAR C.S.	NL	815 864
49	WEN J SCHUTTE	NL	802 914
50	STIVAS	NL	799 219

Table A8.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Poland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	BANK GOSPODARSTWA KRAJOWEGO	PL	11 356 173
2	MINISTERSTWO ROLNICTWA I ROZWOJU WSI	PL	8 108 693
3	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA MAZOWIECKIEGO	PL	4 145 301
4	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA ŁÓDZKIEGO	PL	2 380 464
5	POWIAT SULECIŃSKI	PL	1 768 535
6	URZĄD MARSZAŁKOWSKI WOJEWÓDZTWA PODLASKIEGO	PL	1 735 722
7	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA WIELKOPOLSKIEGO	PL	1 694 107
8	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA DOLNOŚLĄSKIEGO	PL	1 688 414
9	POWIAT MONIECKI	PL	1 685 252
10	SEBEX SP, Z O,O, SP, K,	PL	1 627 415
11	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIEGO	PL	1 598 575
12	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIEGO	PL	1 593 282
13	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA POMORSKIEGO	PL	1 544 300
14	POWIAT PRZEWORSKI	PL	1 526 447
15	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA LUBELSKIEGO	PL	1 457 331
16	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIEGO	PL	1 405 378
17	GMINA CZARNA BIAŁOSTOCKA	PL	1 322 833
18	TOP FARMS GŁUBCZYCE SPÓŁKA ZO,O,	PL	1 318 263
19	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA ŚLĄSKIEGO	PL	1 306 421

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
20	ŁÓDZKI OŚRODEK DORADZTWA ROLNICZEGO	PL	1 305 508
21	PODKARPACKI OŚRODEK DORADZTWA ROLNICZEGO	PL	1 265 724
22	KOMBINAT ROLNY KIETRZ SP, Z O, O,	PL	1 251 122
23	GMINA PRZYGODZICE	PL	1 219 108
24	TOP FARMS WIELKOPOLSKA SP, Z O, O,	PL	1 205 474
25	POWIAT JAROSŁAWSKI	PL	1 186 522
26	POWIAT DZIERŻONIOWSKI	PL	1 182 129
27	POWIAT ŁĘCZYŃSKI	PL	1 180 276
28	GMINA NOWY STAW	PL	1 179 344
29	SPÓŁDZIELCZA AGROFIRMA WITKOWO	PL	1 147 051
30	GMINA KRASNOPOL	PL	1 106 871
31	AWRA INWESTYCJE SZYMKOWIAK I WSPÓLNICY SPÓŁKA JAWNA	PL	1 094 571
32	POWIAT WŁODAWSKI	PL	1 083 640
33	GMINA RAJGRÓD	PL	1 070 614
34	GMINA TUPLICE	PL	1 069 337
35	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA MAŁOPOLSKIEGO	PL	1 040 500
36	ANDERSEN POLAND SPÓŁKA Z OGRANICZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ SPÓŁKA KOMANDYTOWA	PL	1 033 275
37	ŚWIĘTORZYSKI OŚRODEK DORADZTWA ROLNICZEGO W MODLISZEWCACH	PL	1 029 807
38	POWIAT PŁOŃSKI	PL	1 029 699
39	WALDI ZAKŁADY MIESNE SPÓŁKA Z OGRANICZONĄ ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCIĄ SP,K	PL	1 028 210
40	GMINA MILICZ	PL	1 007 879
41	UNIA PRODUCENTÓW I PRACODAWCÓW PRZEMYSŁU MIESNEGO	PL	1 006 580
42	GMINA DĄBROWA BIAŁOSTOCKA	PL	999 506
43	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA OPOLSKIEGO	PL	997 524
44	GOODVALLEY AGRO SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	995 530
45	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA LUBUSKIEGO	PL	992 680
46	GMINA RYCHWAŁ	PL	984 316
47	GMINA ORNETA	PL	957 553

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	POWIAT CHOJNICKI	PL	938 872
49	GMINA SIDRA	PL	936 828
50	AGMAR DORADZTWO WPRMAŁGORZATA JAWORSKA-DUSZYK	PL	934 707

Table A8.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Portugal

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE BENEFICIÁRIOS DA LEZÍRIA GRANDE DE VILA FRANCA DE XIRA	PT	17 101 913
2	A.R.M - ÁGUAS E RESÍDUOS DA MADEIRA, SA	PT	11 796 344
3	DIRECÇÃO GERAL DE AGRICULTURA E DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL	PT	7 526 848
4	EUROPEAN INVESTMENT FUND	PT	5 016 715
5	ESTRUTURA DE MISSÃO PARA O PROGRAMA DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL DO CONTINENTE	PT	4 315 971
6	MUNICÍPIO DE CÂMARA DE LOBOS	PT	3 275 529
7	FINANÇOR - AGRO-ALIMENTARS.A.	PT	3 103 409
8	INSULAR - PRODUTOS ALIMENTARES, S.A	PT	2 859 734
9	DIREÇÃO REGIONAL DOS RECURSOS FLORESTAIS	PT	2 743 501
10	INSTITUTO DE ALIMENTACAO E MERCADOS AGRICOLAS (IAMA)	PT	2 665 313
11	INSTITUTO DE FINANCIAMENTO DA AGRICULTURA E PESCA S.I.P.	PT	2 315 919
12	COMPANHIA DAS LEZÍRIAS S.A.	PT	2 290 995
13	FUNDAÇÃO EUGÉNIO DE ALMEIDA	PT	2 185 137
14	RAMA-RAÇÕES PARA ANIMAIS S.A.	PT	2 063 612
15	COOPERATIVA UNIÃO AGRÍCOLA CRL	PT	1 872 534
16	LIDO SOL II - DISTRIBUICÃO DE PRODUTOS ALIMENTARES S.A.	PT	1 729 864
17	INSTITUTO DAS FLORESTAS E CONSERVAÇÃO DA NATUREZA IP-RAM	PT	1 621 167
18	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE BENEFICIÁRIOS DO MIRA	PT	1 597 922
19	JUNTA DE AGRICULTURA DA CAMBA	PT	1 597 069
20	LUSOMORANGO - ORGANIZACAO DE PRODUTORES DE PEQUENOS FRUTOS SA	PT	1 480 970

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	DIRECCAO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DO NORTE	PT	1 431 237
22	DIRECÇÃO-GERAL DOS ESTABELECIMENTOS ESCOLARES	PT	1 397 509
23	ACHAR - ASSOCIAÇÃO DOS AGRICULTORES DE CHARNECA	PT	1 361 707
24	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE REGANTES E BENEFICIÁRIOS DE CAMPILHAS E ALTO SADO	PT	1 281 234
25	DIRECÇÃO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DE LISBOA E VALE DO TEJO	PT	1 275 512
26	DIRECÇÃO REGIONAL DE AGRICULTURA E PESCAS DO ALENTEJO	PT	1 271 405
27	COMPANHIA AGRICOLA DA APARIÇA SA	PT	1 246 388
28	VINIPORTUGAL ASSOC INTERPROFISSIONAL PROMOÇÃO DOS VINHOS PORTUGUESES	PT	1 185 722
29	MADRE FRUTA - CENTRO DE VENDAS HORTOFRUTICOLAS LDA	PT	1 163 880
30	IROA, S.A.	PT	1 126 591
31	MODELO CONTINENTE HIPERMERCADOS, SA	PT	1 123 248
32	COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA DO TAVORA CRL	PT	1 087 102
33	ANABLE - ASSOCIAÇÃO NACIONAL PARA O MELHORAMENTO DOS BOVINOS LEITEIROS	PT	1 078 884
34	FRUBAÇA - COOPERATIVA DE HORTOFRUTICULTORES CRL	PT	1 054 615
35	MADEIRA WINE COMPANY S. A.	PT	1 030 974
36	ELAIA MONTE DO OUTEIRO, S.A.	PT	1 009 593
37	CONFEDERAÇÃO DOS AGRICULTORES DE PORTUGAL	PT	935 192
38	SILVIGUARDA-SILVICULTURA E TRANSPORTES LDA.	PT	928 893
39	EDA - ELECTRICIDADE DOS AÇORES, S.A.	PT	885 864
40	AVELEDA, S.A.	PT	867 921
41	UNIÃO DE COOPERATIVAS AGRÍCOLAS DE LACTICÍNIOS DE SÃO JORGE, UCRL	PT	859 223
42	SOGRAPE VINHOS, S.A.	PT	852 797
43	INACIO ESTACIO DOS SANTOS	PT	833 265
44	CPF - CENTRO DE PRODUCAO E COMERCIALIZACAO HORTOFRUTICOLA LDA	PT	833 046

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
45	OCEANIS - CULTURA DE FLORES E FRUTOS, LDA	PT	804 591
46	SOCIEDADE AGRO-PECUARIA DO VALE DA ADEGA S.A.	PT	788 316
47	COMPANHIA AGRICOLA DAS POLVOROSAS, S.A.	PT	783 897
48	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE REGANTES E BENEFICIÁRIOS DE SILVES LAGOA E PORTIMÃO	PT	778 314
49	OLIVOCANTINHO- SOCIEDADE AGRICOLA LDA	PT	772 575
50	VIVER SERRA ASSOCIAÇÃO PROT. DESENVOLVIMENTO SERRAS BARLAVENTO ALGARVIO	PT	767 697

Table A8.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 – Romania

Due to technical issues with data scraping the list of direct beneficiaries has not yet been finalised. The list will be added to the final study.

Table A8.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Sweden

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STATENS JORDBRUKSVERK	SE	15 667 790
2	IP-ONLY NETWORKS AB	SE	11 274 896
3	ÖSTERÅKERS STADSNÄTAB	SE	6 689 237
4	TELESERVICE BREDBAND SKÅNE AB	SE	2 864 741
5	STOCKHOLMS SKÄRGÅRDSNÄTAB	SE	2 495 728
6	SVENSKA ODLARLAGET EK FÖR	SE	1 662 813
7	JÖNKÖPING ENERGI AKTIEBOLAG	SE	1 641 164
8	HUDDUNGE TELEKOM EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	1 468 771
9	VAGGERYDS KOMMUNS ÖSTRA FIBERFÖRENING EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	1 461 971
10	UMEÅ ENERGI AKTIEBOLAG	SE	1 360 338
11	BOLLNÄS ENERGI AB	SE	1 348 431
12	SANDVIKENS ENERGI AB	SE	1 346 752
13	SKANOVA AKTIEBOLAG	SE	1 302 697
14	KC RANCH AB	SE	1 174 452
15	WAPNÖ AB	SE	995 274
16	RIKSORGANISATIONEN HEZA SVERIGE SKA LEVA	SE	989 632
17	SKURUPS ELVERK AB	SE	965 095

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
18	HÖGRYD LANTBRUK AB	SE	951 263
19	GÄSTABUDSTADEN AKTIEBOLAG	SE	878 828
20	SÖDRA JUMKILS FIBERFÖRENING EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	805 647
21	VADSBO MJÖLK AB	SE	771 006
22	VENDELS FIBERFÖRENING	SE	749 542
23	ÄPPELRIKET ÖSTERLEN EK FÖR	SE	749 161
24	ZITIUS SERVICE DELIVERY AKTIEBOLAG	SE	728 602
25	STRÖMSUNDS KOMMUN	SE	709 040
26	ÖMMERN-ÖSTRA NEDSJÖNS FIBER EK. FÖR.	SE	703 073
27	SVANTESSON JOHAN	SE	696 244
28	VISITSWEDEN AB	SE	682 717
29	GUNNARSSON LARS INGE	SE	674 089
30	WANÅS GODS AB	SE	666 085
31	ROBERTSFORS KOMMUN	SE	648 990
32	SÄTILA FIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	633 625
33	SKOGSSTYRELSEN	SE	612 270
34	GYLLENKROK AXEL	SE	603 578
35	SNATENS LANTBRUK AB	SE	598 920
36	SÖDRA FRÄKNE FIBERFÖRENING EK. FÖR.	SE	597 980
37	BARONIET ADELWÄRD AB	SE	595 747
38	SOLINGE SÄTERI AB	SE	588 546
39	OTTERSÄTTEN LANTBRUK AB	SE	587 076
40	STOCKHOLMS STAD	SE	577 840
41	BJÖRKIL & AMNEBYNS MJÖLK AB	SE	575 551
42	UPPLANDSBYGD LOKALT LEDD UTVECKLING	SE	575 215
43	TILLVÄXTVERKET	SE	558 886
44	TELL BJURUM AB	SE	554 709
45	TELL DAGSNÄS AB	SE	553 310
46	RÅBELÖFS GODSFÖRVALTNING AB	SE	541 786
47	SKURE MARCUS	SE	539 886
48	ALMNÄS BRUK AB	SE	537 627

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
49	KOHAGA AB	SE	531 908
50	VALLA FIBER EKONOMISK FÖRENING	SE	530 743

Table A8.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Slovenia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MKG P	SI	4 228 085
2	KGZS	SI	3 365 081
3	PP - AGRO D.O.O.	SI	2 801 884
4	PANVITA D.D.	SI	2 504 353
5	KG LENDAVA D.D.	SI	2 021 826
6	CELJSKE MESNINE D.O.O.	SI	1 864 176
7	RADGONSKE GORICE D.O.O.	SI	1 241 990
8	ŽIPO LENART D.O.O.	SI	1 180 156
9	PARADAJZ D.O.O.	SI	908 645
10	MLINOTEST D.D.	SI	904 693
11	OBČINA TIŠINA	SI	842 541
12	KMETIJSTVO ČRNCI D.O.O.	SI	797 573
13	JERUZALEM ORMOŽ SAT D.O.O.	SI	778 423
14	ZGS	SI	747 941
15	GO-KO D.O.O.	SI	747 689
16	FARME İHAN - KPM D.O.O.	SI	724 751
17	JATA EMONA D.O.O.	SI	707 669
18	KIS	SI	705 978
19	PUKLAVEC FAMILY WINES D.O.O.	SI	698 506
20	VINAKOPER, D.O.O. KOPER	SI	686 391
21	KLET BRDA, Z.O.O. DOBROVO	SI	649 276
22	LJUTOMERČAN D.O.O.	SI	628 056
23	MESARSTVO OBLAK D.O.O.	SI	615 361
24	ŠKAPER GORAN	SI	603 884
25	SENICA MARTIN	SI	567 422
26	AED.O.O.	SI	541 035
27	OBČINA BELTinci	SI	540 937

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
28	KŽK D.O.O.	SI	525 561
29	LENART DOMINIK	SI	510 386
30	MIROSAN, D.O.O.	SI	509 068
31	VENGUŠ ROK	SI	492 604
32	SIMČIČ ALEKS	SI	475 075
33	ZAFOŠNIK VIKTOR	SI	471 915
34	PUČKO BORUT	SI	457 436
35	ČAS JOŽEF	SI	454 044
36	PETRIČ ZMAGOSLAV	SI	448 320
37	ŠIFTAR ROK	SI	435 282
38	MATIMA D.O.O.	SI	424 804
39	VOGRINC JOŽE	SI	412 478
40	AŠKERC JAKA	SI	408 818
41	MIKLIČ ŽELJKO	SI	399 797
42	VALENTINČIČ MILAN	SI	386 990
43	FAŠALEK MARKO	SI	384 177
44	OBRUL MARKO	SI	381 060
45	MULEJ JOŽE	SI	377 008
46	CIGÜT ŠTEFAN	SI	375 850
47	VIHAR JURE	SI	368 990
48	GIZ MESNE INDUSTRIJE SLOVENIJE	SI	357 951
49	ŽNIDARIČ BLAŽ	SI	342 754
50	ŠANDOR MARJAN	SI	337 905

Table A8.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Slovakia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	DAN-SLOVAKIA AGRAR, A.S.	SK	4 387 503
2	LESY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY, ŠTÁTNY PODNIK	SK	3 926 812
3	PÔDOHOSPODÁRSKA PLATOBNÁ AGENTÚRA	SK	3 488 781
4	BEST MEAT S.R.O.	SK	2 907 075
5	MINISTERSTVO PÔDOHOSPODÁRSTVA A ROZVOJA VIDIEKA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	2 587 081

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
6	FARMA MAJCICHOV, A.S.	SK	2 358 190
7	FIRSTFARMS AGRA M S. R. O.	SK	2 291 050
8	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SOKOLCE	SK	2 118 897
9	MVL AGRO, S.R.O.	SK	1 971 623
10	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SO SÍDLOM V SMREČANOCH	SK	1 928 901
11	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO HLOHOVEC	SK	1 908 333
12	AGRIA LIPTOVSKÝ ONDREJ, A.S.	SK	1 875 715
13	AT DUNAJ, SPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 808 341
14	NOVÁ URBARIÁLNA SPOLOČNOSŤ, POZEMKOVÉ SPOLOČENSTVO HANKOVÁ	SK	1 720 640
15	AGRO-RACIO S.R.O.	SK	1 644 740
16	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO DEVIONOVÉ SADY	SK	1 644 480
17	ZDRUŽENIE AGROPODNIKATEĽOV, DRUŽSTVO	SK	1 550 072
18	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO "MAGURA", ZBOROV	SK	1 509 900
19	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO VAŽEC	SK	1 495 022
20	AGROSTAAR KB, SPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 487 680
21	AGRO HN, S.R.O.	SK	1 482 913
22	PODIELNICKE DRUŽSTVO ONDAVA STROPKOV	SK	1 470 171
23	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO INOVEC TRENČIANSKE STANKOVCE, SKRÁTENE PD INOVEC TRENČIAN	SK	1 468 932
24	AGROCONTRACT MIKULÁŠ, A.S.	SK	1 456 258
25	BOS-POR AGRO S.R.O.	SK	1 451 990
26	ROĽNÍCKE DRUŽSTVO VEĽKÉ KAPUŠANY	SK	1 407 273
27	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO "RADOŠINKA"	SK	1 362 699
28	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SENICA	SK	1 355 567
29	SANKTHUBERT SK, A.S.	SK	1 346 783
30	VJARSPOL, S.R.O.	SK	1 312 011
31	AGROBAN S.R.O.	SK	1 306 240
32	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKO-PODIELNICKÉ DRUŽSTVO PRAŠICE SÍDLO JACOVCE	SK	1 303 602
33	AGRIFOP, A.S. STAKČÍN	SK	1 302 892

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
34	POĽNOHOSPODÁR NOVÉ ZÁMKY A.S.	SK	1 292 065
35	AGRONOVA LIPTOV, S.R.O.	SK	1 291 773
36	AGRIVA S.R.O.	SK	1 287 491
37	AGROPODNIK SLAMOZ SPOL. S R.O.	SK	1 269 730
38	TATRANSKÁ MLIEKAREŇ A.S.	SK	1 269 345
39	SLOVENSKÉ BIOLOGICKÉ SLUŽBY, A.S.	SK	1 241 764
40	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO OČOVÁ	SK	1 227 320
41	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO AKCIONÁROV "IPEL" BALOG NAD IPŁOM	SK	1 204 050
42	OBEC CESTICE	SK	1 191 335
43	BEL - TRADESPOL. S.R.O.	SK	1 191 120
44	MEDZIČILIZIE, A.S.	SK	1 189 784
45	KROK, SPOL. S R.O.	SK	1 184 759
46	OBEC HABURA	SK	1 181 279
47	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO SPIŠSKÉ BYSTRÉ	SK	1 152 374
48	AGROSEV, SPOL. S R.O.	SK	1 149 273
49	POĽNOHOSPODÁRSKE DRUŽSTVO V CHYNORANOCH	SK	1 138 398
50	NÁRODNÉ LESNÍCKE CENTRUM	SK	1 133 545

Table A8.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – United Kingdom

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	RURAL PAYMENTS AGENCY	UK	30 840 141
2	NATURAL ENGLAND	UK	26 091 648
3	MENTERA BUSNES	UK	12 548 884
4	WELSH ASSEMBLY GOVERNMENT	UK	12 055 251
5	NATIONAL TRUST	UK	9 633 388
6	RSPB	UK	9 494 634
7	BERRY GARDENS GROWERS LTD	UK	7 119 423
8	DARD FARM POLICY BRANCH	UK	6 379 794
9	G'S GROWERS LTD	UK	5 328 421
10	FARM FRESH PO LTD	UK	4 136 953
11	BEESWAX DYSON FARMING LTD	UK	4 131 499

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
12	SRUC/SAC COMMERCIAL LTD	UK	3 945 545
13	THE ASPLINS PRODUCER ORGANISATION LTD	UK	3 152 238
14	STANFORD SHEEP	UK	3 070 759
15	UK NATIONAL RURAL NETWORK - SCOTLAND	UK	2 719 889
16	FRUITION PO LIMITED	UK	2 331 106
17	SPECIALITY PRODUCE LTD	UK	2 213 920
18	FARMCARE TRADING LTD	UK	2 046 483
19	NORTHWAY MUSHROOMS LTD	UK	2 041 938
20	PUFFIN PRODUCE LTD	UK	1 981 077
21	LILBURN ESTATES FARMING PARTNERSHIP	UK	1 858 268
22	SIR RICHARD SUTTON LIMITED	UK	1 832 118
23	ANGUS GROWERS LTD	UK	1 819 592
24	RUMWOOD GREEN FARM LTD	UK	1 804 811
25	VERDERERS OF THE NEW FOREST	UK	1 691 207
26	BLANKNEY ESTATES LTD	UK	1 646 665
27	ELVEDEN FARMS LIMITED	UK	1 638 513
28	LAKE DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY	UK	1 620 601
29	NATURAL ENVIROMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL (CENTRE OF ECO)	UK	1 616 519
30	GLENFESHIE ESTATE LTD	UK	1 613 312
31	SARGENT & WAIGHT LTD.	UK	1 598 424
32	THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY	UK	1 578 478
33	NORFOLK WILDLIFE TRUST	UK	1 535 984
34	FOREST OF DARTMOOR COMMONERS ASSOCIATION	UK	1 510 090
35	SURREY WILDLIFE TRUST	UK	1 485 225
36	BOWHILL FARMING LTD	UK	1 480 126
37	LANTRA SECTOR SKILLS COUNCIL	UK	1 478 093
38	STRUTT & PARKER (FARMS) LTD	UK	1 453 861
39	CADWYN CLWYD CYFYNGEDIG LTD	UK	1 442 803
40	GREENSHOOTS LTD	UK	1 437 715
41	NEWRY MOURNE & DOWN DIST COUNCIL	UK	1 403 542

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
42	CARDIFF METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY	UK	1 378 557
43	MID ULSTER DISTRICT COUNCIL	UK	1 359 113
44	WALDERSEY FARMS LTD	UK	1 350 794
45	THURLOW ESTATE FARMS LTD	UK	1 348 314
46	PARKERS OF LEICESTER LTD	UK	1 336 809
47	J B SHROPSHIRE & SONS LTD / RIVERFEN FARMS LTD	UK	1 294 390
48	THE ROSEBERY ESTATE PARTNERSHIP	UK	1 278 681
49	THE HAMPSHIRE AND ISLE OF WIGHT WILDLIFE TRUST	UK	1 259 643
50	RSK ADAS LTD	UK	1 247 069

Table A8.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 – Total

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LOGISTIEKE EN ADMINISTRATIEVE VEILINGSASSOCIATIE	BE	40 302 406
2	AGRARMARKT AUSTRIA	AT	33 529 798
3	F.I.N.A.F. FIRST INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FRUIT SOC.CONSORTILE A RL	IT	32 408 629
4	SAS SUCRIERE DE LA REUNION	FR	30 914 000
5	RURAL PAYMENTS AGENCY	UK	30 840 141
6	NATURAL ENGLAND	UK	26 091 648
7	AOP GRUPPO VI.VA. VISIONE VALORE SOCIETA' COOPERATIVA AGRICOLA	IT	23 418 217
8	ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΕΙΣ ΥΠ.Α.Α.Τ. (DIEUTHUNSEIS UP.A.A.T.)	GR	22 352 731
9	LANDESAMT FÜR UMWELT (LNU)	DE	20 011 465
10	CERAFEL	FR	19 210 953
11	STÁTNÍ POZEMKOVÝ ÚŘAD	CZ	18 785 713
12	VOG - VERBAND DER SUEDTIROLER OBSTGEN. - GEN. LANDW. GES.	IT	18 258 916
13	ASSOCIAÇÃO DE BENEFICIÁRIOS DA LEZÍRIA GRANDE DE VILA FRANCA DE XIRA	PT	17 101 913
14	STATENS JORDBRUKSVERK	SE	15 667 790
15	MAAELU EDENDAMISE SIHTASUTUS	EE	15 222 846
16	HRVATSKA BANKA ZA OBNOVU I RAZVITAK	HR	15 080 362

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
17	TEAGASC	IE	14 249 155
18	SUCRERIE DE BOIS ROUGE	FR	13 249 000
19	URCOOPA	FR	12 571 910
20	MENTER A BUSNES	UK	12 548 884
21	WATER SERVICES CORPORATION	MT	12 291 322
22	WELSH ASSEMBLY GOVERNMENT	UK	12 055 251
23	SA GARDEL	FR	12 028 545
24	A.R.M - ÁGUAS E RESÍDUOS DA MADEIRA, SA	PT	11 796 344
25	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	11 370 090
26	UNAPROL - CONSORZIO OLIVICOLO ITALIANO SOCIETA' CONSORTILE PER AZIONI IN BREVE UNAPROL SOC. CONS. P.A.	IT	11 370 090
27	BANK GOSPODARSTWA KRAJOWEGO	PL	11 356 173
28	IP-ONLY NETWORKS AB	SE	11 274 896
29	MAGYAR AGRÁR-, ÉLELMISZERGAZDASÁGI ÉKFEJLESZTÉSI KAMARA	HU	10 573 950
30	AOP UNOLOMBARDIA SOCIETA' AGRICOLA CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	10 209 197
31	LAND MECKLENBURG-VORPOMMERN MINISTERIUM FÜR LANDWIRTSCHAFT	DE	10 204 880
32	VI.P GEN. LANDW. GESELLSCHAFT	IT	9 990 960
33	NATIONAL TRUST	UK	9 633 388
34	RSPB	UK	9 494 634
35	CONSIGLIO PER LA RICERCA IN AGRIC. E L'ANALISI ECON. AGR.	IT	9 011 355
36	CONSIGLIO PER LA RICERCA IN AGRIC. E L'ANALISI ECON. AGR.	IT	9 011 355
37	VALSTS SIA ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJAS NEKUSTAMIE ĪPAŠUMI	LV	8 937 040
38	NLWKN	DE	8 616 606
39	LIČKO-SENJSKA ŽUPANIJA	HR	8 463 612
40	MINISTERSTWO ROLNICTWA I ROZWOJU WSI	PL	8 108 693
41	SOCIEDAD COOPERATIVA AND VICASOL	ES	7 839 301

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
42	INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO AGRARIO DE CASTILL	ES	7 753 306
43	CONFAGRI PROMOTION - SOCIETA' CONSORTILE A RESPONSABILITA' LIMITATA	IT	7 559 241
44	HAMAG-BICRO HRVATSKA AGENCIJA ZA MALO GOSPODARSTVO, INOVACIJE I INVESTICIJE	HR	7 543 951
45	DIRECÇÃO GERAL DE AGRICULTURA E DESENVOLVIMENTO RURAL	PT	7 526 848
46	BELJE PLUS D.O.O.	HR	7 486 859
47	BERRY GARDENS GROWERS LTD	UK	7 119 423
48	LANDESBETRIEB FÜR KÜSTENSCHUTZ NATIONALPARK UND MEERESSCHUTZ	DE	6 923 492
49	CENTRE NATINTERPRO ECONOMIE LAITIERE	FR	6 855 342
50	DEICH- UND HAUPTSIELVERBAND DITHMARSCHEN	DE	6 808 021

ANNEX 9. PRELIMINARY TOP 50 DIRECT BENEFICIARIES COHESION POLICY 2014-2020⁶⁸

Table A9.1 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Austria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	KLH MASSIVHOLZ WIESENAU GMBH	AT	30 016 500
2	KOMMUNALKREDIT PUBLIC CONSULTING GMBH	AT	17 062 119
3	BRÜDER THEURL GMBH;THEURL TIMBER STRUCTURES GMBH	AT	15 716 129
4	BOKU - WASSERBAULABOR ERRICHTUNGS- UND BETRIEBS-GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H.	AT	15 367 314
5	PANKL SYSTEMS AUSTRIA GMBH, PIERER IMMOREAL GMBH	AT	10 115 061
6	BOKU WASSERBAULABOR ERRICHTUNGS- U. BETRIEBS-GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H.	AT	9 799 138
7	RINGANA GMBH, RINGANA HOLDING GMBH	AT	7 356 102
8	KELAG ENERGIE & WÄRME GMBH	AT	6 829 568
9	ECOPLUS. NIEDERÖSTERREICH'S WIRTSCHAFTSAGENTUR GMBH	AT	6 658 469
10	NEUBURGER FLEISCHLOS GMBH;NEUBURGER HOLDING GMBH	AT	6 126 083
11	NEUSIEDLER SEEBAHN GMBH	AT	5 852 250
12	WIRTSCHAFTSAGENTUR WIEN. EIN FONDS DER STADT WIEN. (1)	AT	5 101 806
13	TFZ TECHNOLOGIE- UND FORSCHUNGZENTRUM SEIBERSDORF GMBH	AT	5 093 071
14	STADTWERKE WÖRGL GMBH	AT	4 930 834
15	FORSCHUNG BURGENLAND GMBH	AT	4 898 913
16	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRIA AG	AT	4 852 229
17	IST AUSTRIA PARK GMBH	AT	4 811 060
18	SCHULDNERGEMEINSCHAFT ERBER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT / ROMER LABS DIVISION HOLDING GMBH	AT	4 650 852
19	PRO PET AUSTRIA HEIMTIERNÄHRUNG GMBH	AT	4 545 429
20	UNIVERSITY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND LIFE SCIENCES, VIENNA	AT	4 533 062

⁶⁸ In the lists for some Member States some names of direct beneficiaries appear twice or more, due to multiple entries with different locations in the same country or spellings. If these direct beneficiaries have the same ultimate beneficiaries they will be consolidated.

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	TS ALTOTEC GMBH	AT	4 405 301
22	AIHAI MINERALS EUROPE GMBH	AT	4 288 525
23	REGIONALMANAGEMENT BURGENLAND GMBH	AT	4 215 141
24	NÖ.REGIONAL.GMBH	AT	4 093 720
25	MAGNA POWERTRAIN GMBH & CO KG	AT	4 033 077
26	WIRTSCHAFTSKAMMER NÖ	AT	3 985 218
27	SÄGE HANDLOS SUMMERAU GMBH;HERBERT HANDLOS GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H.	AT	3 951 202
28	SEMMLEROCK STEIN + DESIGN GMBH & CO KG	AT	3 909 788
29	ENERGETICA INDUSTRIES GMBH	AT	3 816 774
30	UNIVERSITY OF INNSBRUCK	AT	3 774 803
31	ZWT ACCELERATOR GMBH	AT	3 723 824
32	UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES UPPER AUSTRIA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LTD.	AT	3 627 525
33	STADT WIEN, MAGISTRATSABTEILUNG 28 - STRASSENVERWALTUNG UND STRASSENBAU (3)	AT	3 595 201
34	KOMPETENZZENTRUM HOLZ GMBH	AT	3 513 400
35	ZWI ZENTRUM FÜR WISSENS- UND INNOVATIONSTRANSFER GMBH	AT	3 510 390
36	PMS ELEKTRO- UND AUTOMATIONSTECHNIK GMBH	AT	3 441 244
37	IDM-ENERGIESYSTEME GMBH	AT	3 255 484
38	MAGNA STEYR FAHRZEUGTECHNIK AG & CO KG	AT	3 238 355
39	WIENER LINIEN GMBH & CO KG (18)	AT	3 138 760
40	EXEL COMPOSITES GMBH	AT	3 094 993
41	TREIBACHER INDUSTRIE AG	AT	3 087 219
42	BUSINESS UPPER AUSTRIA – UPPER AUSTRIAN BUSINESS AGENCY LTD.	AT	2 969 572
43	BIOHORT GMBH;PRIGLINGER PRIVATSTIFTUNG	AT	2 951 758
44	REVAL AUSTRIA GMBH	AT	2 889 799
45	MARTIN AUER GMBH, WIENER BÄCKEREI - GESELLSCHAFT M.B.H.	AT	2 771 727
46	PIRKTL HOLIDAY GMBH & CO KG	AT	2 766 529
47	FH OÖ FORSCHUNGS- UND ENTWICKLUNGS GMBH	AT	2 755 578

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
48	TU GRAZ ERRICHTUNGS- UND BETREIBER GMBH	AT	2 741 286
49	ETIVERA VERPACKUNGSTECHNIK GMBH, ETIVERA DIENSTLEISTUNGZENTRUM GMBH, ETIVERA ETIKETTENPRODUKTION GMBH	AT	2 694 282
50	LAND BURGENLAND	AT	2 603 860

Table A9.2 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Belgium

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	VDAB	BE	67 482 074
2	UNIVERSITÉ DE LIÈGE	BE	42 708 811
3	VILLE DE CHARLEROI	BE	39 510 816
4	BRUFOR	BE	31 548 788
5	FOREM	BE	30 915 018
6	ACTIRIS	BE	29 896 308
7	CENTRE DE COORDINATION ET DE GESTION DES PROGRAMMES EUROPÉENS - ENSEIGNEMENT OBLIGATOIRE	BE	25 979 835
8	SOCAMUT	BE	25 032 838
9	GEPART	BE	24 973 805
10	VILLE DE MONS	BE	23 662 243
11	NOSHAQ EUROPE 3	BE	19 190 023
12	NOVALLIA	BE	18 693 867
13	SYNTRA-LIMBURG	BE	17 231 725
14	INSTITUT WALLON DE FORMATION EN ALTERNANCE ET DES INDÉPENDANTS ET PETITES ET MOYENNES ENTREPRISES	BE	16 893 935
15	SPW-MI MOBILITÉ ET INFRASTRUCTURES	BE	16 660 574
16	SOCIÉTÉ D'AMÉNAGEMENT URBAIN (SAU), BX1 ASBL	BE	15 916 286
17	AVIETA	BE	15 360 000
18	IMBC 2020	BE	15 047 893
19	FCR 2020	BE	14 248 747
20	CENTRE DE COORDINATION ET DE GESTION - ENSEIGNEMENT DE PROMOTION SOCIALE	BE	13 780 323
21	DEPARTEMENT ONDERWIJS EN VORMING (DOV) - HOOFDZETEL	BE	13 733 600

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
22	UNIVERSITY OF GENT	BE	13 595 934
23	CENTRE DE COORDINATION ET DE GESTION DES PROGRAMMES EUROPÉENS - ENSEIGNEMENT OBLIGATOIRE	BE	13 129 831
24	IGIL	BE	13 091 295
25	PERONNES INVEST	BE	12 571 467
26	AGENCE POUR UNE VIE DE QUALITÉ	BE	12 126 670
27	L'UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES (ULB) ET LA VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL (VUB)	BE	11 867 708
28	I.D.E.A.	BE	11 616 129
29	UMONS	BE	11 385 849
30	VILLE DE TOURNAI	BE	11 080 110
31	STAD ANTWERPEN	BE	10 963 569
32	VILLE DE LIÈGE	BE	10 874 816
33	PROVINCE DE LIÈGE	BE	10 214 929
34	I.G.R.E.T.E.C.	BE	10 093 939
35	ABATTOIR NV	BE	9 779 913
36	NEO LEGIA	BE	9 672 014
37	CITYDEV BRUSSELS	BE	9 582 100
38	UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES (ULB), VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL (VUB), LE CENTRE COLLECTIF DE L'INDUSTRIE TECHNOLOGIQUE (SIRRIS)	BE	9 412 864
39	IDETA	BE	9 390 298
40	NAMUR INNOVATION & GROWTH	BE	9 249 564
41	BRUSOC	BE	9 154 286
42	CENTRE UNIVERSITAIRE ZÉNOBE GRAMME	BE	9 025 035
43	VILLE DE SERAING	BE	8 994 400
44	UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN	BE	8 460 503
45	KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT TE LEUVEN	BE	8 296 101
46	U.C.L.	BE	8 242 782
47	JD'C INNOVATION	BE	8 013 100
48	DEPARTEMENT WERKEN SOCIALE ECONOMIE-AFDELING ESF	BE	7 963 931
49	U.L.B.	BE	7 951 386
50	ESF - AGENTSCHAP VLAANDEREN VZW	BE	7 878 060

Table A9.3 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Bulgaria

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	METROPOLITEN JSC	BG	96 588 874
2	ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE AGENCY	BG	74 667 337
3	MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE	BG	49 337 818
4	ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE AGENCY (RIA)	BG	41 829 929
5	MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE	BG	34 356 686
6	BURGAS MUNICIPALITY	BG	33 714 225
7	ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE AGENCY	BG	33 380 023
8	AGENCY FOR SOCIAL ASSISTANCE	BG	28 234 765
9	AGENCY FOR SOCIAL ASSISTANCE THROUGH TENDERS DEPARTMENT IN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION, PROGRAMMES AND EUROPEAN INTEGRATION DIRECTORATE	BG	22 189 808
10	SOFIA MUNICIPALITY	BG	21 803 615
11	MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS	BG	20 838 355
12	RUSE MUNICIPALITY	BG	19 183 780
13	MUNICIPALITY OF VARNA	BG	18 946 344
14	EUROPEAN FUNDS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS DIRECTORATE GENERAL, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL POLICY	BG	17 644 953
15	MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND WATER, DG OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME ENVIRONMENT, DEPARTMENT COORDINATION, COMMUNICATION AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	BG	16 664 507
16	STATE ENTERPRISE NATIONAL RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY	BG	14 100 378
17	VIDIN MUNICIPALITY	BG	13 055 237
18	HASKOVO MUNICIPALITY	BG	12 818 654
19	MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE	BG	12 168 933
20	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	BG	12 168 367
21	MUNICIPALITY OF BANSKO	BG	11 618 221
22	PLODIV MUNICIPALITY	BG	11 318 452
23	MUNICIPALITY RAZGRAD	BG	11 060 730
24	PLEVEN MUNICIPALITY	BG	10 556 856
25	EXECUTIVE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY	BG	10 460 529

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	SCIENCE AND EDUCATION FOR SMART GROWTH OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME EXECUTIVE AGENCY	BG	10 417 131
27	VELIKO TARNOVO MUNICIPALITY	BG	9 862 685
28	SHUMEN MUNICIPALITY	BG	8 787 344
29	STARA ZAGORA MUNICIPALITY	BG	8 521 821
30	MUNICIPALITY OF LOVECH	BG	8 338 483
31	MUNICIPALITY OF KARDZHALI	BG	8 159 618
32	MUNICIPALITY OF BLAGOEVGRAD	BG	8 071 496
33	MUNICIPALITY OF BLAGOEVGRAD	BG	7 723 476
34	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS	BG	7 563 492
35	GABROVO MUNICIPALITY	BG	7 450 987
36	VRATSA MUNICIPALITY	BG	7 368 757
37	MUNICIPALITY OF STARA ZAGORA	BG	7 181 562
38	SVISHTOV MUNICIPALITY	BG	6 951 618
39	KYUSTENDIL MUNICIPALITY	BG	6 914 173
40	TARGOVIShte MUNICIPALITY	BG	6 616 386
41	MUNICIPALITY OF DUPNITSA	BG	6 288 737
42	NATIONAL CUSTOMS AGENCY	BG	6 280 409
43	MUNICIPALITY OF YAMBOL	BG	6 190 002
44	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, GENERAL DIRECTORATE EUROPEAN FUNDS FOR COMPETITIVENESS	BG	5 662 074
45	MUNICIPALITY OF KARLOVO	BG	5 526 404
46	SOFIA TECH PARK JSC	BG	5 353 309
47	MUNICIPALITY OF SMOLYAN	BG	5 296 200
48	ADMINISTRATION OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS (CENTRAL COORDINATION UNIT)	BG	5 280 448
49	GOTSE DELCHEV MUNICIPALITY	BG	5 239 459
50	DIMITROVGRAD MUNICIPALITY	BG	5 187 639

Table A9.4 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Cyprus

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	CYPRUS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	CY	2 851 577
2	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	CY	1 555 908
3	MINISTRY OF ENERGY, COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND TOURISM	CY	1 428 000
4	CYPRUS CIVIL DEFENCE	CY	1 275 000
5	LARNACA-FAMAGUSTA DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (ANETEL)	CY	1 259 469
6	UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS	CY	1 033 273
7	CYPRUS FIRE SERVICE	CY	909 941
8	MINISTRY OF ENERGY, COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND TOURISM	CY	822 683
9	MEDICAL AND PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES - MINISTRY OF HEALTH	CY	816 313
10	CYPRUS ENERGY AGENCY	CY	792 190
11	COMMUNITY COUNCIL OF AGRIDIA	CY	756 500
12	MUNICIPALITY OF PAPHOS	CY	623 994
13	LIMASSOL TOURISM DEVELOPMENT AND PROMOTION CO. LTD	CY	584 598
14	UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS - FOSS RESEARCH CENTRE FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, PV TECHNOLOGY LAB	CY	535 580
15	PAFOS REGIONAL BOARD OF TOURISM	CY	513 683
16	DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND SURVEYS, MINISTRY OF INTERIOR	CY	449 650
17	TROODOS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LTD	CY	430 253
18	CYPRUS BROADCASTING CORPORATION	CY	421 970
19	MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT - DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT	CY	417 184
20	MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT - DEPARTMENT OF FORESTS	CY	378 590
21	MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT. DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES AND MARINE RESEARCH	CY	378 321
22	LARNAKA MUNICIPALITY	CY	377 600

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
23	UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS - OCEANOGRAPHY CENTRE	CY	374 782
24	MARITIME INSTITUTE OF EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN	CY	374 083
25	PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE	CY	373 415
26	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS	CY	369 240
27	CYPRUS DEPARTMENT OF ANTIQUITIES	CY	358 785
28	DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR EUROPEAN PROGRAMMES, COORDINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF CYPRUS	CY	356 382
29	LARNACA AND FAMAGUSTA DISTRICTS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (ANETEL)	CY	335 087
30	NICOSIA DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (ANEL)	CY	333 487
31	THE CYPRUS INSTITUTE	CY	323 000
32	CYPRUS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY	CY	312 773
33	NICOSIA MUNICIPALITY	CY	308 550
34	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS - DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL SERVICES	CY	298 580
35	STATE GENERAL LABORATORY	CY	295 375
36	MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS DEPARTMENT OF MERCHANT SHIPPING	CY	280 500
37	NICOSIA DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	CY	266 900
38	CHRYSALIS LEAP LIMITED	CY	265 625
39	CYPRUS ENERGY AGENCY	CY	256 990
40	WATER BOARD OF LEMESOS	CY	244 515
41	CYPRUS ORGANISATION OF PARAPLEGIC	CY	241 417
42	LARNACA AND FAMAGUSTA DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	CY	240 550
43	MUNICIPALITY OF LIMASSOL	CY	229 500
44	CITY OF LIMASSOL	CY	216 253
45	OCEANOGRAPHY CENTER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS	CY	210 800
46	LARNACA AND FAMAGUSTA DISTRICTS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	CY	208 201

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
47	DEPUTY MINISTRY OF SHIPPING	CY	195 500
48	ENALIA PHYSIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTRE	CY	193 092
49	TROODOS NETWORK THEMATIC CENTERS	CY	189 839
50	MUNICIPALITY OF ARADIPPOU	CY	189 108

Table A9.5 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Czech Republic

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ŘEDITELSTVÍ SILNIC A DÁLNIC ČR	CZ	1 923 258 003
2	SPRÁVA ŽELEZNIC, STÁTNÍ ORGANIZACE	CZ	1 691 769 710
3	ÚŘAD PRÁCE ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY	CZ	732 701 451
4	SPRÁVA ŽELEZNIČNÍ DOPRAVNÍ CESTY, STÁTNÍ ORGANIZACE	CZ	296 819 150
5	JIHOMORAVSKÝ KRAJ	CZ	279 766 469
6	STŘEDOČESKÝ KRAJ	CZ	266 484 406
7	MORAVSKOSLEZSKÝ KRAJ	CZ	241 477 452
8	UNIVERZITA KARLOVA	CZ	224 201 745
9	KRAJ VYSOČINA	CZ	194 932 744
10	KRÁLOVÉHRADECKÝ KRAJ	CZ	183 705 769
11	FYZIKÁLNÍ ÚSTAV AV ČR, V. V. I.	CZ	181 512 365
12	ČESKÉ VYSOKÉ UČENÍ TECHNICKÉ V PRAZE	CZ	178 656 077
13	ÚSTECKÝ KRAJ	CZ	170 193 491
14	MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA	CZ	166 590 789
15	PARDUBICKÝ KRAJ	CZ	162 344 649
16	MINISTERSTVO PRÁCE A SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚCÍ	CZ	160 897 367
17	ČEPS, A.S.	CZ	153 868 300
18	JIHOČESKÝ KRAJ	CZ	131 288 632
19	MINISTERSTVO PRO MÍSTNÍ ROZVOJ	CZ	127 179 063
20	OLOMOUCKÝ KRAJ	CZ	124 280 469
21	UNIVERZITA PALACKÉHO V OLOMOUCI	CZ	115 952 194
22	MINISTERSTVO VNITRA	CZ	115 353 170
23	LIBERECKÝ KRAJ	CZ	107 178 676

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
24	SPRÁVA A ÚDRŽBA SILNIC JIHMORAVSKÉHO KRAJE, PŘÍSPĚVKOVÁ ORGANIZACE KRAJE	CZ	97 850 413
25	MINISTERSTVO ŠKOLSTVÍ, MLÁDEŽE A TĚLOVÝCHOVY	CZ	92 666 690
26	SPRÁVA A ÚDRŽBA SILNIC PLZEŇSKÉHO KRAJE, PŘÍSPĚVKOVÁ ORGANIZACE	CZ	91 142 592
27	VYSOKÁ ŠKOLA BÁŇSKÁ - TECHNICKÁ UNIVERZITA OSTRAVA	CZ	90 791 131
28	CENTRUM PRO REGIONÁLNÍ ROZVOJ ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY	CZ	82 116 195
29	ČESKÁ ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ UNIVERZITA V PRAZE	CZ	76 991 332
30	DOPRAVNÍ PODNIKO STRAVA A.S.	CZ	76 127 672
31	OSTRAVSKÁ UNIVERZITA	CZ	75 337 690
32	UNIVERZITA JANA EVANGELISTY PURKYNĚ V ÚSTÍ NAD LABEM	CZ	70 802 814
33	HLAVNÍ MĚSTO PRAHA	CZ	69 597 267
34	KRAJSKÁ SPRÁVA A ÚDRŽBA SILNIC STŘEDOČESKÉHO KRAJE, PŘÍSPĚVKOVÁ ORGANIZACE	CZ	69 501 218
35	MINISTERSTVO FINANCIÍ	CZ	68 745 581
36	MINISTERSTVO PRŮMYSLU A OBCHODU	CZ	65 128 892
37	ZLÍNSKÝ KRAJ	CZ	58 997 684
38	DOPRAVNÍ PODNIK MĚSTA BRNA, A.S.	CZ	58 251 134
39	STATUTÁRNÍ MĚSTO BRNO	CZ	57 523 927
40	NÁRODNÍ PEDAGOGICKÝ INSTITUT ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY (ZAŘÍZENÍ PRO DALŠÍ VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ PEDAGOGICKÝCH PRACOVNÍKŮ)	CZ	54 765 856
41	VYSOKÉ UČENÍ TECHNICKÉ V BRNĚ	CZ	54 412 373
42	KARLOVARSKÝ KRAJ	CZ	52 178 024
43	ČESKÉ DRÁHY, A.S.	CZ	51 528 878
44	FAKULTNÍ NEMOCNICE BRNO	CZ	51 134 331
45	STÁTNÍ FOND ŽIVOTNÍHO PROSTŘEDÍ ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY	CZ	50 473 085
46	ZÁPADOČESKÁ UNIVERZITA V PLZNI	CZ	48 827 194
47	PLZEŇSKÝ KRAJ	CZ	46 452 584
48	NÁRODNÍ PAMÁTKOVÝ ÚSTAV	CZ	44 396 504
49	MINISTERSTVO ZDRAVOTNICTVÍ	CZ	41 736 447
50	FAKULTNÍ NEMOCNICE OSTRAVA	CZ	38 103 614

Table A9.6 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Germany

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	INVESTITIONSBANK BERLIN	DE	74 577 339
2	FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.	DE	35 754 328
3	SENATSVERWALTUNG FÜR UMWELT, VERKEHR UND KLIMASCHUTZ	DE	33 789 404
4	SENATSVERWALTUNG FÜR STADTENTWICKLUNG UND WOHNEN	DE	33 164 768
5	VC FONDS TECHNOLOGIE II	DE	30 000 000
6	LAND BERLIN - SONERVERMÖGEN IMMOBILIEN DES LANDES BERLIN (SILB), VERTRETTEN DURCH DIE BIM BERLINER IMMOBILIEN MANAGEMENT GMBH	DE	27 667 713
7	BERLINER VERKEHRSBETRIEBE (BVG) AÖR	DE	22 199 750
8	VC FONDS KREATIVWIRTSCHAFT BERLIN GMBH	DE	20 000 000
9	BERLIN PARTNER FÜR WIRTSCHAFT UND TECHNOLOGIE GMBH	DE	15 403 554
10	VERMÖGEN UND BAU BW UNIVERSITÄTSBAUAMT STUTTGART U. HOHENHEIM	DE	14 250 000
11	SENATSVERWALTUNG FÜR WIRTSCHAFT, ENERGIE UND BETRIEBE	DE	12 619 972
12	BEZIRKSAMT MARZAHN-HELLERSDORF	DE	12 467 919
13	FORSCHUNGSVERBUND BERLIN E.V.	DE	11 997 851
14	LAND BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG	DE	11 036 100
15	VERMÖGEN UND BAU BW UNIVERSITÄTSBAUAMT HEIDELBERG	DE	9 900 000
16	STADT MANNHEIM FACHBEREICH WIRTSCHAFTS- UND STRUKTURFÖRDERUNG	DE	9 000 000
17	BEZIRKSAMT SPANDAU	DE	8 221 921
18	HELMHOLTZ-ZENTRUM BERLIN FÜR MATERIALIEN UND ENERGIE GMBH	DE	7 710 129
19	BEZIRKSAMT REINICKENDORF	DE	6 661 265
20	STADTELLWANGEN	DE	6 604 122
21	VERBAND REGION STUTTGART	DE	6 314 584
22	HOCHSCHULE FÜR TECHNIK UND WIRTSCHAFT BERLIN	DE	5 974 003
23	BEZIRKSAMT NEUKÖLLN	DE	5 939 370
24	EVANGELISCHE HOCHSCHULE BERLIN	DE	5 799 028

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
25	BEZIRKSAMT MITTE	DE	5 544 857
26	STADTBIBERACH	DE	5 315 238
27	FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.	DE	5 212 027
28	BEZIRKSAMT TEMPELHOF-SCHÖNEBERG	DE	5 190 157
29	STADT FRIEDRICHSHAFEN	DE	5 000 000
30	STADT SIGMARINGEN	DE	5 000 000
31	TECHNOLOGIEFÖRDERUNG HEIDELBERG GMBH	DE	5 000 000
32	NACHBARSCHAFTSHEIM NEUKÖLLN E. V.	DE	4 993 398
33	EFEUCAMPUS BRUCHSAL GMBH	DE	4 962 500
34	KULTURPROJEKTE BERLIN GMBH	DE	4 830 854
35	JUWO-KITA GGMBH	DE	4 796 292
36	FRAUNHOFER - INSTITUT FÜR PRODUKTIONSANLAGEN UND KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK(IPK)	DE	4 662 879
37	STADTTUTTLINGEN	DE	4 550 000
38	LAND BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG VERTRETER D. VERMÖGEN UND BAU AMT SCHWÄBISCH GMÜND	DE	4 150 000
39	MVV UMWELT ASSET GMBH	DE	4 000 000
40	MAX-DELBRÜCK-CENTRUM F. MOLEKULARE MEDIZIN IN DER HELMHOLTZ-GEMEINSCHAFT	DE	4 000 000
41	BEZIRKSAMT FRIEDRICHSHAIN-KREUZBERG	DE	3 963 492
42	LAND BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG VERTR. DURCH VERMÖGEN UND BAU BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG	DE	3 955 000
43	STADTPFORZHEIM EIGENBETRIEB WIRTSCHAFT UND STADTMARKETING PFORZHEIM (WSP)	DE	3 893 000
44	KARLSRUHER VERKEHRSVERBUND GMBH KV	DE	3 529 423
45	NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHES UND MEDIZINISCHES INSTITUT AN DER UNI TÜBINGEN	DE	3 219 500
46	INFRASTRUKTUR TRÄGERGESELLSCHAFT MBH & CO. KG	DE	3 123 143
47	TECHNOLOGIEFÖRDERUNG REUTLINGEN-TÜBINGEN GMBH	DE	3 007 437
48	GEMEINDE ILSFELD	DE	3 000 000
49	VIVANTES NETZWERK FÜR GESUNDHEIT GMBH	DE	2 970 791
50	MINISTERIUM FÜR WIRTSCHAFT, ARBEIT U. WOHNUNGSBAU BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG	DE	2 949 880

Table A9.7 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Denmark

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	REGION SØNDERJYLLAND-SCHLESWIG, REGIONS KONTOR & INFOCENTER (AABENRAA KOMMUNE)	DK	2 219 868
2	AALBORG UNIVERSITY	DK	1 290 858
3	CENTRAL DENMARK REGION	DK	1 048 286
4	SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET, MADS CLAUSEN INSTITUTTET (SDU-MCI)	DK	1 046 142
5	CLEAN	DK	1 043 982
6	AARHUS UNIVERSITY	DK	1 018 998
7	CITY OF AARHUS	DK	924 032
8	NANOSYD/SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET, MADS CLAUSEN INSTITUTTET	DK	883 403
9	DANISH COASTAL AUTHORITY	DK	805 451
10	COPENHAGEN ECONOMICS	DK	737 569
11	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK	DK	687 126
12	MADS-CLAUSEN INSTITUT	DK	681 537
13	RINGKOBING-SKJERN MUNICIPALITY	DK	645 579
14	CLEAN, SOUTH DENMARK	DK	645 451
15	NÆSTVED KRANKENHAUS NÆSTVED SYGEHUS, ONKOLOGISCHE ABTEILUNG ONKOLOGISK AFDELING	DK	614 529
16	SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET (SDU) / MADS CLAUSEN INSTITUTTET (MCI)	DK	612 614
17	HVIDE SANDE HEATING	DK	563 000
18	REGION SYDDANMARK	DK	561 294
19	NATURTURISME I/S	DK	502 166
20	SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET (SDU), INSTITUT FOR IDRÆT OG BIOMEKANIK (IOB), CENTER FOR ACTIVE AND HEALTHY LIVING (CAHA)	DK	492 619
21	HYDROGEOPHYSICS GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF GEOSCIENCE, AARHUS UNIVERSITY	DK	458 862
22	ODENSE UNIVERSITETHOSPITAL	DK	454 931
23	LANDDISTRIKTERNES FÆLLESRÅD	DK	452 307
24	AARHUS UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF FOOD SCIENCE	DK	449 999
25	KNOWLEDGE CENTRE FOR FOOD INNOVATION	DK	447 728

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
26	ENERGY INNOVATION CLUSTER (EIC)	DK	441 093
27	HOLBÆK KOMMUNE	DK	438 893
28	VEJLE KOMMUNE	DK	430 316
29	NORTH DENMARK REGION	DK	425 224
30	KORSØR PRODUKTIONSHØJSKOLE	DK	422 639
31	CITY OF AALBORG	DK	420 752
32	SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET, MADS CLAUSEN INSTITUTTET (SDU)	DK	415 406
33	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING, BIOTECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY	DK	412 719
34	SØNDERborg KOMMUNE, LAND, BY OG KULTURFORVALTNING, SEKRETARIAT FOR LANDDISTRIKTER	DK	405 765
35	KOLDING KOMMUNE	DK	405 455
36	VIA UNIVERSITY COLLEGE	DK	398 836
37	SAMSOE ENERGY ACADEMY	DK	390 994
38	DANSK FOLKEHJÆLP	DK	389 323
39	PORT OF KORSOER	DK	385 250
40	GROWTHHOUSE ZEALAND	DK	383 922
41	SUNDHEDSINNOVATION SJÆLLAND	DK	383 824
42	BUSINESS VORDINGborg	DK	383 000
43	AALBORG	DK	374 666
44	PORT OF VORDINGborg	DK	372 500
45	EUC SYD	DK	371 225
46	PORT OF SKAGEN	DK	345 000
47	BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTRE NORTH DENMARK	DK	341 090
48	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, MAERSK MC-KINNEY MOLLER INSTITUTE (SDU) SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET, MÆRSK MC-KINNEY MØLLER INSTITUT (SDU)	DK	337 449
49	STENSBORG A/S	DK	332 947
50	GULDBORGSSUND KOMMUNE. CENTER FOR BØRN & LÆRING	DK	332 836

Table A9.8 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Estonia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MAANTEEAMET	EE	476 923 752
2	TARTU ÜLIKOOOL	EE	269 670 792
3	EESTI TÖÖTUKASSA	EE	219 960 803
4	ETTEVÖTLUSE ARENDAMISE SIHTASUTUS	EE	215 288 617
5	SIHTASUTUS KREDEX	EE	191 649 602
6	SOTSIAALMINISTERIUM	EE	168 652 775
7	AKTSIASELTS EESTI RAUDTEE	EE	159 088 446
8	HARIDUS- JA TEADUSMINISTERIUM	EE	149 702 145
9	TALLINNA TEHNIKAÜLIKOOOL	EE	131 992 907
10	TALLINNA KESKKONNA- JA KOMMUNAALAMET	EE	123 080 666
11	HARIDUS- JA NOORTEAMET	EE	119 063 313
12	SIHTASUTUS EESTI TEADUSAGENTUUR	EE	105 422 780
13	TARTU LINNAVALITSUS	EE	103 383 084
14	KESKKONNAMINISTERIUM	EE	95 387 427
15	SIHTASUTUS PÖHJA-EESTI REGIONAALHAIGLA	EE	92 317 565
16	SIHTASUTUS TARTU ÜLIKOOOLI KLIINIKUM	EE	84 641 211
17	SIHTASUTUS ARCHIMEDES	EE	84 632 438
18	SIHTASUTUS INNOVE	EE	70 890 941
19	VEETEDE AMET	EE	68 077 278
20	AS EESTI LIINIRONGID	EE	68 054 163
21	POLITSEI- JA PIIRIVALVEAMET	EE	64 174 878
22	AKTSIASELTS TALLINNA LENNUJAAM	EE	55 671 631
23	EESTI LAIRIBA ARENDUSE SIHTASUTUS	EE	53 776 662
24	AS HOOLEKANDETEENUSED	EE	50 695 198
25	EUROOPA INVESTEERIMISFOND	EE	48 000 000
26	KESKKONNAAGENTUUR	EE	47 784 923
27	HARIDUSE INFOTEHNOLOGIA SIHTASUTUS	EE	47 402 949
28	RAHANDUSMINISTERIUM	EE	44 673 232
29	AKTSIASELTS SAARTE LIINID	EE	44 560 090
30	OSAÜHING STRANTUM	EE	42 992 401
31	EESTI MAAÜLIKOOOL	EE	41 769 389

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
32	RIIGIMETSA MAJANDAMISE KESKUS	EE	38 412 514
33	PÄRNU LINNAVALITSUS	EE	38 346 384
34	AKTSIASELTS NARVA VESI	EE	36 373 516
35	RIIGI INFOSÜSTEEMI AMET	EE	35 693 095
36	OSAÜHING JÄRVE BIOPUHASTUS	EE	35 688 415
37	PÄÄSTEAMET	EE	35 418 709
38	NARVA LINNA ARENDUSE JA ÖKONOOMIKA AMET	EE	32 119 425
39	TALLINNA ÜLIKOOOL	EE	31 363 545
40	AKTSIASELTS SAKU MAJA	EE	29 858 089
41	OSAÜHING KOHILA MAJA	EE	27 227 762
42	SISEMINISTEERIUM	EE	26 906 190
43	TALLINNA LINNATRANSPORTI AKTSIASELTS	EE	25 871 193
44	TERVISE ARENGU INSTITUUT	EE	25 287 309
45	EDELARAUDTEE INFRASTRUKTUURI AS	EE	24 979 959
46	SIHTASUTUS VILJANDI HAIGLA	EE	24 020 601
47	MAJANDUS- JA KOMMUNIKATSIOONIMINISTERIUM	EE	23 863 611
48	MAKSU- JA TOLLIAMET	EE	23 732 672
49	AKTSIASELTS LAHEVESI	EE	22 774 133
50	AS VIIMSI VESI	EE	22 518 908

Table A9.9 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Spain

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	JUNTA DE ANDALUCIA	ES	1 473 807 097
2	ADIF-ALTA VELOCIDAD	ES	1 009 510 011
3	FONDO EUROPEO DE INVERSIONES (FEI)	ES	800 036 600
4	ENTIDAD PUBLICA EMPRESARIAL RED.ES	ES	373 012 426
5	TELEFONICA DE ESPANA, S.A.	ES	318 778 267
6	DIRECCION GENERAL DEL AGUA. MINISTERIO PARA LA TRANSICION ECOLOGICA Y EL RETO DEMOGRAFICO.	ES	283 506 948
7	DIRECCION GENERAL DE RECURSOS ECONOMICOS	ES	273 093 445
8	BANCO EUROPEO DE INVERSIONES (BEI)	ES	200 000 002

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
9	AGENCIA CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTIFICAS	ES	175 020 288
10	MINISTERIO DEL INTERIOR (RUP)	ES	144 312 687
11	AGENCIA DE INNOVACION Y DESARROLLO DE ANDALUCIA	ES	139 611 159
12	SECRETARIA GENERAL EDUCACION Y EMPLEO	ES	129 698 644
13	INSTITUTO CATALAN DE FINANZAS	ES	128 659 060
14	AXENCIA PARA A MODERNIZACION TECNOLOXICA DE GALICIA (AMTEGA)	ES	113 531 494
15	DIRECCION GENERAL DE MOVILIDAD E INFRAESTRUCTURAS VIARIAS	ES	104 457 072
16	SERVICIO GALEGO DE SAUDE CGA	ES	80 998 075
17	GOBIERNO DE ARAGON	ES	80 819 949
18	SECRETARIA GENERAL DEL SERVICIO DE SALUD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA	ES	79 021 455
19	GERENCIA DE INFORMATICA DE LA SEGURIDAD SOCIAL	ES	78 252 658
20	SERVICIO EXTREMEÑO DE SALUD (SES)	ES	78 032 592
21	CONSELLERIA DE HACIENDA Y MODELO ECONOMICO	ES	76 157 922
22	DIRECCION GENERAL DE PLANIFICACION E INFRAESTRUCTURAS HIDRAULICAS	ES	72 572 826
23	CENTRO PARA EL DESARROLLO TECNOLOGICO INDUSTRIAL (CDTI)	ES	71 271 412
24	UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA	ES	70 976 699
25	UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA	ES	69 185 943
26	DIRECCION GENERAL DE TECNOLOGIAS DE LA INFORMACION Y COMUNICACION	ES	65 685 653
27	ENTIDAD PUBLICA EMPRESARIAL AUGAS DE GALICIA. XUNTA DE GALICIA	ES	64 694 455
28	INSTITUTO VALENCIANO DE FINANZAS	ES	62 820 000
29	CONSEJERIA DE INFRAESTRUCTURAS, MEDIO AMBIENTE Y CAMBIO CLIMATICO	ES	62 230 314
30	PROMOTUR TURISMO CANARIAS	ES	61 200 000
31	ADMINISTRADOR DE INFRAESTRUCTURAS FERROVIARIAS (ADIF)	ES	58 499 731
32	CONSELLERIA DE SANIDAD UNIVERSAL Y SALUD PUBLICA	ES	56 950 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
33	UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA	ES	56 839 073
34	UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA	ES	56 334 746
35	GENERALITAT DE CATALUNYA	ES	54 566 953
36	AGENCIA GALLEGA DE INFRAESTRUCTURAS (AXI)	ES	52 885 931
37	SODECAN-SOCIEDAD PARA EL DESARROLLO ECONOMICO DE CANARIAS	ES	48 387 078
38	DIRECCION GENERAL DE MEDIO NATURAL	ES	45 797 559
39	JUNTA DE CASTILLA Y LEON	ES	45 646 237
40	GENERALITAT DE CATALUNYA - DEPARTAMENTO DE POLITIQCAS DIGITALES Y ADMINISTRACION PUBLICA	ES	45 214 980
41	SECRETARIA GENERAL TECNICA DE LA CONSELLERIA DE CULTURA, EDUCACION Y ORDENACION UNIVERSITARIA	ES	45 099 242
42	UNIVERSIDAD DE MALAGA	ES	42 474 636
43	JUNTA DE ANDALUCIA AGENCIA ANDALUZA DE LA ENERGIA	ES	42 093 263
44	CONSELLERIA DE VIVIENDA, OBRAS PUBLICAS Y VERTEBRACION DEL TERRITORIO	ES	41 118 868
45	IBERAVAL, S.G.R.	ES	40 586 675
46	INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE TECNICA AEROESPACIAL ESTEBAN TERRADAS (INTA)	ES	39 211 375
47	PUERTOS CANARIOS	ES	38 882 684
48	UNIVERSIDAD DE CORDOBA	ES	38 059 827
49	CONSELLERIA DE AGRICULTURA, MEDIO AMBIENTE, CAMBIO CLIMATICO Y DESARROLLO RURAL	ES	36 545 344
50	GENERALITAT DE CATALUNYA - DEPARTAMENTO DE TERRITORIO Y SOSTENIBILIDAD	ES	34 714 697

Table A9.10 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Finland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ELY-KESKUSTEN SEKÄ TE-TOIMISTOJEN KEHA-KESKUS	FI	67 025 291
2	KAAKKOIS-SUOMEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	48 329 687
3	OULUN YLIOPISTO	FI	46 665 770
4	TYÖ- JA ELINKEINOMINISTERIÖ	FI	40 575 228
5	ITÄ-SUOMEN YLIOPISTO	FI	38 859 933

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
6	ELINKINO-, LIIKENNE- JA YMPÄRISTÖKESKUSTEN SEKÄ TYÖ- JA ELINKEINOTOIMISTOJEN KEHITTÄMIS- JA HALLINTOKESKUS	FI	37 202 379
7	SAVONIA-AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	33 161 634
8	LAPIN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	32 398 054
9	OULUN KAUPUNKI	FI	23 507 295
10	LAPIN YLIOPISTO	FI	21 086 826
11	OULUN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	20 136 760
12	HELSINGIN KAUPUNKI	FI	18 565 064
13	METROPOLIA AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	17 287 857
14	KUOPIO KAUPUNKI	FI	16 526 819
15	JYVÄSKYLÄN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	15 473 309
16	JYVÄSKYLÄN YLIOPISTO	FI	15 342 468
17	TEKNOLOGIAN TUTKIMUSKESKUS VTT OY	FI	15 281 738
18	TURUN KAUPUNKI	FI	14 156 094
19	TERVEYDEN JA HYVINVOINNIN LAITOS	FI	13 334 966
20	TURUN YLIOPISTO	FI	12 095 611
21	TAMPEREEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	12 051 021
22	TURUN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	11 874 247
23	JOENSUUN KAUPUNKI	FI	11 799 772
24	LAB-AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	10 751 975
25	LUONNONVARAKESKUS	FI	10 703 323
26	HÄMEEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	10 399 065
27	TAMPEREEN KAUPUNKI	FI	10 363 240
28	MIKKELIN KEHITYSYHTIÖ MIKSEI OY	FI	10 300 043
29	SEINÄJOEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	9 877 750
30	CENTRIA AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	9 673 571
31	DIAKONIA-AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	9 635 167
32	TYÖTERVEYSLAITOS	FI	9 384 896
33	TAMPEREEN KORKEAKOULUSÄÄTIÖ SR	FI	9 222 940
34	SAVON KOULUTUSKUNTAYHTYMÄ	FI	8 666 628
35	KAJAANIN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	8 612 423
36	CURSOR OY	FI	8 406 277

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
37	ROVANIEMEN KOULUTUSKUNTAYHTYMÄ	FI	7 939 066
38	LAPPEENRANNAN TEKNILLINEN YLIOPISTO	FI	7 891 921
39	LAPPEENRANNAN-LAHDEN TEKNILLINEN YLIOPISTO LUT	FI	7 789 913
40	NAVITAS KEHITYS OY	FI	7 588 833
41	LAHDEN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	7 538 634
42	LAPIN LIITTO	FI	7 537 170
43	BUSINESS JOENSUU OY	FI	7 366 901
44	KARELIA AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OSAKEYHTIÖ	FI	7 015 736
45	GFN LIEKSA OY	FI	7 000 000
46	KUHMO OY	FI	6 900 230
47	SATAKUNNAN AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	6 743 167
48	KARELIA AMMATTIKORKEAKOULU OY	FI	6 648 340
49	VANTAA KAUPUNKI	FI	6 427 696
50	TAMPEREEN YLIOPISTO	FI	6 293 902

Table A9.11 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – France

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	REGION RÉUNION	FR	275 384 494
2	RÉGION HAUTS-DE-FRANCE	FR	239 884 642
3	RÉGION OCCITANIE	FR	221 346 897
4	REGION GRAND EST	FR	181 133 051
5	REGION AUVERGNE-RHONE-ALPES	FR	149 757 933
6	CONSEIL RÉGIONAL D'ILE DE FRANCE	FR	134 768 948
7	CONSEIL RÉGIONAL PACA	FR	115 100 172
8	CONSEIL REGIONAL DE GUADELOUPE	FR	114 157 003
9	COLLECTIVITE TERRITORIALE DE MARTINIQUE	FR	107 575 962
10	EPIC BPIFRANCE	FR	96 700 000
11	REGION SUD INVESTISSEMENT	FR	64 683 811
12	REGION AUVERGNE RHONE ALPES	FR	54 237 540
13	DEPARTEMENT DE LA REUNION	FR	50 378 979
14	REGION ILE DE FRANCE	FR	43 397 926

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
15	COLLECTIVITÉ TERRITORIALE DE GUYANE	FR	43 085 203
16	CONSEIL DÉPARTEMENTAL DE LA GUADELOUPE	FR	40 959 156
17	UNIVERSITÉ DE LA RÉUNION	FR	40 347 180
18	SYNDICAT MIXTE DES TRANSPORTS ARTOIS-GOHELLE (SMT)	FR	33 524 013
19	REGION CENTRE- VAL DE LOIRE	FR	32 688 311
20	GRAND PORT MARITIME DE GUYANE	FR	31 729 159
21	RÉGION BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMITÉ	FR	31 146 692
22	CIRAD	FR	29 469 460
23	REGION HAUTS-DE-FRANCE	FR	28 030 927
24	SNCF - GARES ET CONNEXIONS	FR	27 643 000
25	CONSEIL REGIONAL D'AUVERGNE	FR	26 912 481
26	RÉGION DES PAYS DE LA LOIRE	FR	26 189 537
27	COMMUNAUTE INTERCOMMUNALE DES VILLES SOLIDAIRES (CIVIS)	FR	25 602 508
28	UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE	FR	25 190 119
29	COMMUNAUTE D'AGGLOMERATION DU SUD	FR	24 751 913
30	SYNDICAT INTERCOMMUNAL D'EAU ET D'ASSAINISSEMENT DE MAYOTTE (SIEAM)	FR	22 435 000
31	CONSEIL REGIONAL PACA - DFA	FR	22 256 380
32	SOCIETE AEROPORTUAIRE GUADELOUPE PÔLE CARAIBES	FR	20 703 050
33	REGION OCCITANIE	FR	19 697 115
34	CINOR	FR	19 581 777
35	REGIE REUNION THD	FR	19 504 601
36	ILE DE LA REUNION TOURISME	FR	19 363 790
37	INRA	FR	19 075 534
38	SAMAC	FR	19 000 000
39	SYNDICAT MARTINIQUEAIS DE TRAITEMENT ET VALORISATION DES DÉCHETS	FR	19 000 000
40	COMMUNAUTÉ D'AGGLOMÉRATION DU BOULONNAIS	FR	18 751 939
41	SICTIAM	FR	18 064 000
42	ETABLISSEMENT PUBLIC DU MUSÉE DU LOUVRE	FR	18 000 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	CENTRE HOSPITALIER DE MAYOTTE	FR	17 324 000
44	CONSEIL RÉGIONAL DE PROVENCE-ALPES-CÔTE D'AZUR	FR	17 203 863
45	METROPOLE AIX MARSEILLE PROVENCE	FR	16 895 883
46	UNIVERSIT, CLERMONT AUVERGNE	FR	16 783 981
47	COMMUNE DE PETIT BOURG	FR	16 425 071
48	CR PACA -DFA / SFPC	FR	15 339 704
49	COMMUNAUTÉ URBAINE DUNKERQUE GRAND LITTORAL	FR	15 162 492
50	SAS PACA INVESTISSEMENT	FR	15 144 519

Table A9.12 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Greece

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ELLINIKI ANAPTXIAKI TRAPEZA A.E.	GR	1 479 456 619
2	ATTIKO METRO ANONUMOS ETAIREIA	GR	1 029 154 658
3	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA APASCHOLISIS KAI KOINONIKIS OIKONOMIAS	GR	502 060 378
4	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA UPOURSEGIOS PAIDEIAS & THRISKEUMATON	GR	471 938 668
5	ELLINIKI ETAIREIA TOPIKIS ANAPTXIS KAI AUTODIOIKISIS A. E.	GR	416 150 507
6	E.U.D. & EFARMOGIS TOMEON VIOMICHANIAS, EMPORIOU & PROSTASIAS KATANALOTI	GR	386 514 818
7	UPO SUSTASI	GR	383 969 015
8	EGNATIA ODOS A.E.	GR	294 584 417
9	MONADA ORGANOSIS TIS DIACHEIRISIS ANAPTXIAKON PROGRAMMATON A.E.	GR	256 429 176
10	EUDE KATASKEUIS SUGKINONIAKON ERGON ME SUMVASI PARACHORISIS (EUDE/KSESP)	GR	255 000 000
11	KOINONIA TIS PLIROFORIAS A.E.	GR	245 905 520
12	EIDIKI UPIRESIA DIMOSION ERGON KATASKEUIS KAI SUNTIRISIS SUGKINONIAKON UPODOMON	GR	235 381 818
13	PERIFEREIA KENTRIKIS MAKEDONIAS (P.K.M.)	GR	216 776 042
14	ANEXARTITOS DIACHEIRISTIS METAFORAS ILEKTRIKIS ENERGEIAS (ADMIE) A.E.	GR	206 853 890
15	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA UPOURSEGIOS UGEIAS	GR	191 157 521

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
16	PERIFEREIA ATTIKIS	GR	156 932 233
17	EUROPAÏKO TAMEIO EPENDUSEON (EIF)	GR	156 400 000
18	PERIFEREIA THESSALIAS	GR	149 802 907
19	ERGOSE AE	GR	149 190 507
20	GENIKI DIEUTHUNSI OIKONOMIKON UPIRESION UPOURGEIOU OIKONOMIKON	GR	145 468 456
21	PERIFEREIA PELOPONNISOU	GR	116 582 825
22	KTIRIAKES UPODOMES A.E.	GR	111 485 858
23	PERIFEREIA IPEIROU	GR	98 678 648
24	EUDAP AE.	GR	97 834 987
25	PERIFEREIA KRITIS	GR	90 529 522
26	ORGANISMOS LIMENOS PEIRAIOS - OLP	GR	78 176 682
27	DIACHEIRISTIS ELLINIKOU DIKTUOU DIANOMIS ILEKTRIKIS ENERGEIAS A.E. (DEDDIE A.E.)	GR	75 431 047
28	E.U.D. E.P. ANTAGONISTIKOTITA, EPICHEIRIMATIKOTITA & KAINOTOMIA	GR	65 507 435
29	PERIFEREIA VOREIOU AIGAIOU	GR	63 548 959
30	ELLINIKO KTIMATOLOGIO	GR	63 342 606
31	PERIFEREIA DUTIKIS ELLADAS	GR	60 083 295
32	IDRUMA KRATIKON UPOTROFION	GR	58 669 056
33	ORGANISMOS ANAPTXIS KRITIS A.E (O.A.K.A.E.)	GR	55 526 068
34	UPOURGEIO ETHNIKIS AMUNAS	GR	53 291 541
35	PERIFEREIA IONION NISON	GR	53 181 891
36	PERIFEREIA ANATOLIKIS MAKEDONIAS KAI THRAKIS	GR	51 387 186
37	ANAPTXIAKI A. E. DIACHEIRISIS APORRIMMATON ANATOLIKIS MAKEDONIAS THRAKIS	GR	50 680 945
38	DIMOSIA EPICHEIRISI DIKTUON DIANOMIS AERIOU ANONUMI ETAIREIA	GR	50 462 280
39	ETHNIKO KENTRO DIMOSIAS DIOIKISIS KAI AUTODIOIKISIS (E.K.D.D.A)	GR	49 109 310
40	ARCHIGEIO PUROSVESTIKOU SOMATOS	GR	43 176 972
41	UPOURGEIO NAUTILIAS KAI NISIOTIKIS POLITIKIS	GR	42 336 888
42	PERIFEREIA DUTIKIS MAKEDONIAS	GR	42 132 260
43	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA UPOURGEIOU DIKAIOSUNIS	GR	41 260 407

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	DEUA IOANNINON	GR	36 380 537
45	DIMOS PATREON	GR	36 000 961
46	DIMOS CHIOU	GR	35 250 059
47	UPIRESIA POLITIKIS AEROPORIAS (U.P.A.)	GR	35 107 686
48	IDRUMA NEOLAIAS KAI DIA VIOU MATHISIS	GR	34 969 882
49	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA UPOURGEIOU ERGASIAS & KOINONIKON UPOTHESEON	GR	34 280 633
50	UNANP/ALS-EL.AKT./DIEUTHUNSI EKPAIDEUSIS NAUTIKON	GR	33 892 079

Table A9.13 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Croatia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	HRVATSKI ZAVOD ZA ZAPOŠLJAVANJE	HR	481 725 779
2	HŽ INFRASTRUKTURA D.O.O. ZA UPRAVLJANJE, ODRŽAVANJE I IZGRADNJU ŽELJEZNIČKE INFRASTRUKTURE	HR	373 084 389
3	HRVATSKE CESTE D.O.O.	HR	367 640 611
4	HRVATSKA AGENCIJA ZA MALO GOSPODARSTVO, INOVACIJE I INVESTICIJE	HR	311 126 960
5	HRVATSKA BANKA ZA OBNOVU I RAZVITAK	HR	301 868 776
6	VODOVOD I KANALIZACIJA, DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA VODOOPSKRBU, ODVODNJU I PROČIŠĆAVANJE OTPADNIH VODA	HR	289 023 509
7	HŽ INFRASTRUKTURA D.O.O.	HR	230 216 546
8	KOMUNALNO DRUŠTVO VODOVODI I KANALIZACIJA DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA VODOOPSKRBU I ODVODNJU	HR	198 072 484
9	ZRAČNA LUKA DUBROVNIK D.O.O.	HR	131 069 598
10	FOND ZA ZAŠTITU OKOLIŠA I ENERGETSKU UČINKOVITOST	HR	100 508 879
11	VODOOPSKRBA I ODVODNJA ZAGREBAČKE ŽUPANIJE DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA VODOOPSKRBU I ODVODNJU	HR	96 529 941
12	MINISTARSTVO ZNANOSTI I OBRAZOVANJA	HR	94 000 006
13	HRVATSKE VODE, PRAVNA OSOBA ZA UPRAVLJANJE VODAMA	HR	90 631 831

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
14	HRVATSKE CESTE DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU, ZA UPRAVLJANJE, GRAĐENJE I ODRŽAVANJE DRŽAVNIH CESTA	HR	84 342 844
15	VARKOM D.D.	HR	82 571 142
16	GRAD ZAGREB	HR	79 798 111
17	HRVATSKE ŠUME DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU	HR	70 487 154
18	MINISTARSTVO REGIONALNOGA RAZVOJAI FONDOVA EUROPSCHE UNIJE	HR	61 948 997
19	VODOOPSKRBA I ODVODNJA ZAPREŠIĆ DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA USLUGE	HR	61 509 988
20	VODOVOD I ODVODNJA DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA VODOOPSKRBU, TE ODVODNU I PROČIŠĆAVANJE OTPADNIH VODA	HR	58 662 481
21	MINISTARSTVO ZDRAVSTVA	HR	57 521 284
22	PONIKVE VODA D.O.O.	HR	57 447 750
23	VODOVOD I ODVODNJA CETINSKE KRAJINE, DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA OBAVLJANJE DJELATNOSTI JAVNE VODOOPSKRBE I JAVNE ODVODNJE	HR	56 294 602
24	ZAGORSKI VODOVOD DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA JAVNU VODOOPSKRBUI ODVODNU	HR	53 800 839
25	REGIONALNI CENTAR ČISTOG OKOLIŠA DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA GOSPODARENJE OTPADOM	HR	51 062 878
26	ODVODNJA USLUGE ODVODNJE I PROČIŠĆAVANJA OTPADNIH VODA, DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU	HR	51 008 070
27	6. MAJ ODVODNJA D.O.O. ZA ODVODNU I PROČIŠĆAVANJE OTPADNIH VODA	HR	50 076 348
28	SVEUČILIŠTE U ZAGREBU	HR	49 542 664
29	DJEČJA BOLNICA SREBRNJAK	HR	48 594 444
30	KOPRIVNIČKE VODE DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA OBAVLJANJE VODNIH USLUGA JAVNE VODOOPSKRBE I JAVNE ODVODNJE	HR	46 109 176
31	AGENCIJA ZA GOSPODARENJE OTPADOM D.O.O. ZA GOSPODARENJE OTPADOM I USLUGE	HR	45 953 290
32	MINISTARSTVO UNUTARNJIH POSLOVA	HR	45 296 776

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
33	VG VODOOPSKRBA D.O.O. ZA VODOOPSKRBUI ODVODNU	HR	44 851 723
34	MOSLAVINA D.O.O.ZA JAVNU VODOOPSKRBUI JAVNU ODVODNU	HR	43 934 383
35	ĐAKOVAČKI VODOVOD DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU	HR	43 796 497
36	VODOOPSKRBA I ODVODNJA CRES LOŠINJ DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA VODOOPSKRBUI ODVODNU	HR	43 517 049
37	VINKOVAČKI VODOVOD I KANALIZACIJA DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU	HR	43 240 533
38	GRAD RIJEKA	HR	43 169 534
39	HVARSKI VODOVOD DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU	HR	42 607 797
40	EKO D.O.O.	HR	42 427 115
41	AGENCIJA ZA STRUKOVNO OBRAZOVANJE I OBRAZOVANJE ODRASLIH	HR	42 062 840
42	MEĐIMURSKE VODE D.O.O.	HR	41 699 751
43	VODOVOD I ODVODNJA ŽRNOVNICA CRIKVENICA VINODOL DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA PRUŽANJE VODNIH USLUGA	HR	41 337 500
44	MINISTARSTVO UPRAVE	HR	40 564 147
45	METKOVIĆ, D.O.O. ZA VODOOPSKRBUI ODVODNU OTPADNIH VODA	HR	40 358 543
46	PRIVREDA D.O.O.	HR	38 783 509
47	DRŽAVNI HIDROMETEOROLOŠKI ZAVOD	HR	38 670 194
48	MINISTARSTVO HRVATSKIH BRANITELJA	HR	37 430 566
49	VODOVOD I KANALIZACIJA DRUŠTVO S OGRANIČENOM ODGOVORNOŠĆU ZA JAVNU VODOOPSKRBUI ODVODNU	HR	37 189 620
50	EIF	HR	36 318 540

Table A9.14 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Hungary

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	MFB MAGYAR FEJLESZTÉSI BANK ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	1 446 504 671
2	NEMZETGAZDASÁGI MINISZTÉRIUM	HU	711 988 124

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
3	NIF NEMZETI INFRASTRUKTÚRA FEJLESZTŐ ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	693 336 994
4	NEMZETGAZDASÁGI MINISZTERIUM	HU	475 751 919
5	BUDAPESTI KÖZLEKEDÉSI ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	320 452 293
6	ÁLLAMI EGÉSZSÉGÜGYI ELLÁTÓ KÖZPONT	HU	233 377 992
7	MAGYAR KÖZÚT NONPROFIT ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	163 659 503
8	NEMZETI SZAKKÉpzési És Felnőttképzési HIVATAL	HU	151 105 339
9	ELI-HU KUTATÁSI ÉS FEJLESZTÉSI NONPROFIT KÖZHASZNÚ KORLÁTOLT FELELŐSSÉGŰ TÁRSASÁG	HU	133 194 987
10	DEBRECENI EGYETEM	HU	132 676 647
11	MAGYAR ÁLLAMKINCS TÁR	HU	126 576 091
12	KORMÁNYZATI INFORMATIKAI FEJLESZTÉSI ÜGYNÖKSÉG	HU	119 169 208
13	SZOCIÁLIS ÉS GYERMEKVÉDELMI FŐIGAZGATÓSÁG	HU	112 840 432
14	KLEBELSBERG INTÉzményfenntartó KÖZPONT	HU	112 822 208
15	DEBRECEN MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	101 294 191
16	PÉCSI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM	HU	98 395 210
17	NYÍREGyhÁZA MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	98 318 717
18	SZEGEDI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM	HU	87 874 773
19	NEMZETI REHABILITÁCIÓS ÉS SZOCIÁLIS HIVATAL	HU	78 092 842
20	PÉCS MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	78 046 365
21	MISKOLC MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	71 330 642
22	ÁLLAMI EGÉSZSÉGÜGYI ELLÁTÓ KÖZPONT	HU	67 909 552
23	OKTATÁSI HIVATAL	HU	64 182 643
24	GYŐR MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	60 589 141
25	KECSKEMÉT MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	60 030 808
26	NEMZETI SZAKKÉpzési És Felnőttképzési HIVATAL	HU	49 372 804
27	SZÉKESFEHÉrvÁR MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	44 547 583

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
28	SZEGED MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	44 178 035
29	LECHNER TUDÁSKÖZPONT TERÜLETI, ÉPÍTÉSZETI ÉS INFORMATIKAI NONPROFIT KORLÁTOOLT FELELŐSSÉGŰ TÁRSASÁG	HU	41 429 218
30	MÁV-START VASÚTI SZEMÉLYSZÁLLÍTÓ ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	41 172 005
31	TATABÁNYA MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	41 005 518
32	BELÜGYMINISZTÉRIUM	HU	40 820 591
33	SOPRON MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	40 582 117
34	SZOMBATHELY MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	40 026 760
35	KAPOSVÁR MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	39 301 053
36	FORSTER GYULA NEMZETI ÖRÖKSÉGVÉDELMI ÉS VAGYONGAZDÁLKODÁSI KÖZPONT	HU	37 284 001
37	ZALAEGERSZEG MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	36 548 543
38	VESZPRÉM MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	36 500 297
39	SZÉCHENYI ISTVÁN EGYETEM	HU	35 806 266
40	MISKOLCI EGYETEM	HU	35 457 964
41	BÉKÉSCSABA MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	34 504 943
42	ORSZÁGOS TISZTIFŐORVOSI HIVATAL	HU	33 827 774
43	ERZSÉBET A KÁRPÁT-MEDENCEI GYERMEKEKÉRT ALAPÍTVÁNY	HU	31 540 322
44	NISZ NEMZETI INFOKOMMUNIKÁCIÓS SZOLGáltATÓ ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	30 981 664
45	BUDAVÁRI INGATLANFEJLESZTŐ ÉS ÜZEMELTETŐ NONPROFIT KORLÁTOOLT FELELŐSSÉGŰ TÁRSASÁG	HU	30 901 878
46	SÁTORALJAÚJHELY VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	30 014 709
47	SZÉCHENYI ISTVÁN EGYETEM	HU	29 649 757
48	TEGER MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZATA	HU	29 493 547
49	SZEGED MEGYEI JOGÚ VÁROS ÖNKORMÁNYZAT	HU	29 421 914
50	MAGYAR TUDOMÁNYOS AKADÉMIA SZEGEDI BIOLÓGIAI KUTATÓKÖZPONT	HU	28 921 127

Table A9.15 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Ireland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	NUI GALWAY	IE	17 920 784
2	SUSTAINABLE ENERGY AUTHORITY OF IRELAND	IE	14 701 678
3	DONEGAL COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	12 563 742
4	HEALTH SERVICE EXECUTIVE	IE	10 452 266
5	DUNDALK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	IE	6 336 933
6	MONAGHAN COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	4 741 140
7	DONEGAL COUNCIL	IE	4 714 276
8	THE SUSTAINABLE ENERGY AUTHORITY OF IRELAND	IE	4 539 770
9	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND GALWAY	IE	4 297 397
10	LETTERKENNY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	IE	3 410 224
11	CORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	IE	3 159 003
12	HEALTH RESEARCH BOARD	IE	2 990 358
13	INLAND FISHERIES IRELAND	IE	2 535 656
14	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, CORK	IE	2 389 256
15	WESTERN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	IE	2 084 016
16	MARINE INSTITUTE	IE	2 064 321
17	INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SLIGO	IE	1 995 832
18	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN	IE	1 966 430
19	TUSLA - THE CHILD AND FAMILY AGENCY	IE	1 893 778
20	CAVAN COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	1 817 651
21	BIRDWATCH IRELAND	IE	1 743 385
22	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY	IE	1 667 426
23	EUROPEAN REGIONS NETWORK FOR THE APPLICATION OF COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	IE	1 589 512
24	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK	IE	1 547 968
25	WESTMEATH COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	1 500 000
26	LETTERKENNY UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL	IE	1 441 047
27	DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY	IE	1 361 724
28	INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SLIGO	IE	1 313 885
29	LOUTH COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	1 207 631

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
30	NATIONAL AMBULANCE SERVICE - IRELAND	IE	1 203 255
31	ORMONDE UPGRADING LIMITED	IE	1 112 117
32	THE GAELTACHT AUTHORITY	IE	1 109 113
33	GOLDEN EAGLE TRUST	IE	1 045 894
34	UNIVERSITY OF LIMERICK	IE	1 002 150
35	WATERFORD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	IE	913 285
36	SOUTHERN REGIONAL ASSEMBLY	IE	832 074
37	SMARTBAY IRELAND	IE	829 688
38	SLIGO COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	823 742
39	CLONDALKIN BEHAVIOURAL INITIATIVE LTD	IE	822 658
40	NORTHERN AND WESTERN REGIONAL ASSEMBLY	IE	778 754
41	LOCAL ENTERPRISE OFFICES	IE	744 047
42	CITY OF DUBLIN ENERGY MANAGEMENT AGENCY LTD	IE	720 254
43	CORK CITY COUNCIL	IE	689 098
44	INDIGO ROCK MARINE RESEARCH STATION	IE	670 134
45	INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY TRALEE	IE	666 834
46	TIPPERARY COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	652 430
47	GEOLOGICAL SURVEY IRELAND	IE	649 400
48	LIMERICK CITY AND COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	648 856
49	EAST COAST BAKEHOUSE LIMITED	IE	648 000
50	CORK COUNTY COUNCIL	IE	630 956

Table A9.16 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Italy

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	RFI SPA - RETE FERROVIARIA ITALIANA	IT	1 216 633 073
2	REGIONE CAMPANIA	IT	827 255 462
3	ANAS SPA	IT	566 594 856
4	REGIONE CALABRIA	IT	511 475 402
5	GESTIONE GOVERNATIVA FERROVIA CIRCUMETNEA	IT	416 457 920
6	MISE - MINISTERO DELLO SVILUPPO ECONOMICO	IT	408 911 084
7	COMUNE DI NAPOLI	IT	355 727 631

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
8	REGIONE SICILIANA	IT	350 813 129
9	FONDO EUROPEO PER GLI INVESTIMENTI	IT	290 250 000
10	BEI - BANCA EUROPEA PER GLI INVESTIMENTI	IT	222 000 000
11	BANCA DEL MEZZOGIORNO MEDIO CREDITO CENTRALE SPA	IT	218 169 387
12	INVITALIA SPA - AGENZIA NAZIONALE PER L'ATTRAZIONE DEGLI INVESTIMENTI E LO SVILUPPO D'IMPRESA	IT	217 366 987
13	REGIONE PUGLIA	IT	213 058 746
14	INPS - ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DELLA PREVIDENZA SOCIALE	IT	183 662 201
15	MISE - MINISTERO DELLO SVILUPPO ECONOMICO - DGIAI	IT	179 585 451
16	REGIONE LAZIO	IT	166 088 527
17	E-DISTRIBUZIONE SPA	IT	145 506 347
18	ACQUEDOTTO PUGLIESE SPA	IT	135 038 093
19	COMUNE DI FIRENZE	IT	123 131 306
20	ADSP DEL MARE TIRRENO CENTRALE	IT	121 344 974
21	ENTE REGIONALE PER IL DIRITTO ALLO STUDIO E PROMOZIONE DELLA CONOSCENZA (DISCO)	IT	117 212 866
22	CNR - CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE	IT	116 910 615
23	TERNA - RETE ELETTRICA NAZIONALE	IT	114 880 481
24	CITTÀ METROPOLITANA DI REGGIO CALABRIA	IT	114 270 126
25	REGIONE LOMBARDIA	IT	105 543 877
26	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II	IT	104 243 643
27	REGIONE AUTONOMA DELLA SARDEGNA	IT	104 088 316
28	TELECOM ITALIA SPA	IT	102 315 937
29	FINPIEMONTE SPA	IT	100 952 179
30	PUGLIA SVILUPPO S.P.A.	IT	94 000 000
31	INFN - ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE	IT	93 526 974
32	REGIONE BASILICATA	IT	87 821 565
33	COMUNE DI PALERMO	IT	86 447 850
34	MINISTERO DELL'INTERNO - DIPARTIMENTO DELLA PUBBLICA SICUREZZA	IT	82 692 087
35	MINISTERO DELL'ECONOMIA E FINANZE	IT	81 041 808

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
36	REGIONE EMILIA-ROMAGNA	IT	74 435 920
37	FINLOMBARDA SPA - FINANZIARIA PER LO SVILUPPO DELLA LOMBARDIA	IT	72 375 000
38	LAZIO INNOVA SPA	IT	72 100 000
39	ADSP DEL MARE DI SICILIA OCCIDENTALE	IT	70 725 000
40	AUTORITA' DI SISTEMA PORTUALE DEL MAR TIRRENO CENTRALE	IT	70 428 151
41	UCOMIDROGEOSICILIA	IT	65 144 152
42	COMUNE DI CATANIA	IT	64 850 570
43	REGIONE TOSCANA	IT	63 348 210
44	COMUNE DI REGGIO CALABRIA	IT	63 109 623
45	UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DELLA CALABRIA	IT	61 573 330
46	PARCO ARCHEOLOGICO DI POMPEI	IT	60 290 196
47	COMUNE DI MESSINA	IT	60 247 636
48	SVILUPPO CAMPANIA SPA	IT	59 575 195
49	ANPAL SERVIZI SPA	IT	59 556 645
50	PROVINCIA DI SALERNO	IT	56 470 922

Table A9.17 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Lithuania

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIJA PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	408 802 435
2	LIETUVOS DARBO BIRŽA PRIE SOCIALINĖS APSAUGOS IR DARBO MINISTERIJOS	LT	277 556 531
3	EUROPOS INVESTICIJŲ BANKAS	LT	250 000 000
4	VILNIAUS Miesto savivaldybės administracija	LT	239 587 422
5	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "INVESTICIJŲ IR VERSLO GARANTIJOS"	LT	205 057 702
6	VILNIAUS UNIVERSITETAS	LT	202 198 120
7	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ VIEŠŲJŲ INVESTICIJŲ PLĖTROS AGENTŪRA	LT	176 499 513
8	AB „LIETUVOS GELEŽINKELIŲ INFRASTRUKTŪRA“	LT	166 086 696
9	UAB VILNIAUS KOGENERACINĖ JĒGAINĖ	LT	139 411 994
10	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "LIETUVOS GELEŽINKELIAI"	LT	126 394 683

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
11	VALSTYBĖS ĮMONĖ KLAIPĖDOS VALSTYBINIO JŪRŲ UOSTO DIREKCIJA	LT	126 319 471
12	KLAIPĖDOS MIESTO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	95 919 700
13	KAUNO MIESTO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	93 061 268
14	KAUNO TECHNOLOGIJOS UNIVERSITETAS	LT	84 103 661
15	LIETUVOS SVEIKATOS MOKSLŲ UNIVERSITETO LIGONINĖ KAUNO KLINIKOS	LT	62 357 234
16	LIETUVOS SVEIKATOS MOKSLŲ UNIVERSITETAS	LT	59 480 974
17	LITGRID AB	LT	59 334 330
18	VIEŠOJI ĮSTAIGA BŪSTO ENERGIJOS TAUPYMO AGENTŪRA	LT	57 409 552
19	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS APLINKOS MINISTERIJOS APLINKOS PROJEKTŲ VALDYMO AGENTŪRA	LT	56 706 140
20	ŠIAULIŲ MIESTO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	56 103 916
21	VALSTYBINĖ SAUGOMŲ TERITORIJŲ TARNYBA PRIE APLINKOS MINISTERIJOS	LT	54 979 400
22	INFORMACINĖS VISUOMENĖS PLĖTROS KOMITETAS PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	54 201 854
23	UŽDAROJI AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "VILNIAUS VANDENYS"	LT	54 180 947
24	VILNIAUS GEDIMINO TECHNIKOS UNIVERSITETAS	LT	53 986 905
25	VALSTYBINIS MOKSLINIŲ TYRIMŲ INSTITUTAS FIZINIŲ IR TECHNOLOGIJOS MOKSLŲ CENTRAS	LT	52 712 202
26	UŽIMTUMO TARNYBA PRIE LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS SOCIALINĖS APSAUGOS IR DARBO MINISTERIJOS	LT	51 235 731
27	AB "AMBER GRID"	LT	50 365 850
28	VIEŠOJI ĮSTAIGA "PLAČIAJUOSTIS INTERNETAS"	LT	49 745 731
29	AB "ENERGIJOS SKIRSTYMO OPERATORIUS"	LT	49 556 878
30	VYTAUTO DIDŽIOJO UNIVERSITETAS	LT	47 176 497
31	PANEVĖŽIO MIESTO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	46 348 774
32	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "LIETUVOS GELEŽINKELIŲ INFRASTRUKTŪRA"	LT	44 793 567
33	ŠILUTĖS RAJONO SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	40 758 678
34	LIETUVOS KARIUOMENĖ	LT	39 976 213
35	UGDYMO PLĒTOTĖS CENTRAS	LT	39 794 031

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
36	KAUNO RAJONOS AIVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	38 428 949
37	AKCINĖ BENDROVĖ "KAUNO ENERGIJA"	LT	38 398 276
38	VIEŠOJI ĮSTAIGA VILNIAUS UNIVERSITETO LIGONINĖ SANTAROS KLINIKOS	LT	33 337 064
39	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS SVEIKATOS APSAUGOS MINISTERIJA	LT	30 573 771
40	KĖDAINIŲ RAJONOS AIVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	30 027 397
41	VALSTYBĖS ĮMONĖ LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIJA	LT	29 434 500
42	LIETUVOS MOKSLO TARYBA	LT	29 238 280
43	ŠVIETIMO IR MOKSLO MINISTERIJOS ŠVIETIMO APRŪPINIMO CENTRAS	LT	28 771 821
44	LIETUVOS MOKSLINIŲ BIBLIOTEKŲ ASOCIACIJA	LT	27 138 766
45	KLAIPĖDOS UNIVERSITETAS	LT	26 668 171
46	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS APLINKOS MINISTERIJA	LT	26 183 274
47	LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS VYRIAUSYBĖS KANCELARIJA	LT	25 849 878
48	MARIJAMPOLĖS SAVIVALDYBĖS ADMINISTRACIJA	LT	25 527 692
49	LIETUVOS NACIONALINĖ MARTYNO MAŽVYDO BIBLIOTEKA	LT	25 357 851
50	VALSTYBĖS ĮMONĖ VIDAUS VANDENS KELIŲ DIREKCIJA	LT	24 111 078

Table A9.18 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Luxembourg

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG	LU	7 151 461
2	SYNDICAT INTERCOMMUNAL DE DÉPOLLUTION DES EAUX RÉSIDUAIRES DE L'OUEST	LU	4 749 516
3	VILLE DE LUXEMBOURG	LU	2 996 500
4	LUXEMBOURG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - LIST	LU	2 544 566
5	ADEM	LU	2 399 550
6	LUXINNOVATION G.I.E.	LU	2 200 000
7	MINISTÈRE DU DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE ET DES INFRASTRUCTURES - MDDI	LU	2 016 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
8	LUXEMBOURG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	LU	1 957 379
9	CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE	LU	1 865 550
10	MYENERGY	LU	1 738 826
11	UNIVERSITE DU LUXEMBOURG	LU	1 249 532
12	INSTITUT DE FORMATION SECTORIEL DU BÂTIMENT	LU	1 182 550
13	VILLE DE DUDELANGE	LU	968 223
14	SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE DES HABITATIONS À BON MARCHÉ S.A.	LU	959 350
15	INTERREG JUDO COOPERATION	LU	828 077
16	COMMUNE DE SCHIFFFLANGE	LU	782 439
17	CENTRE D'ORIENTATION SOCIO-PROFESSIONNELLE (COSP)	LU	650 000
18	LUXEMBOURG SCIENCE CENTER ASBL	LU	600 000
19	LUXEMBOURG SCIENCE CENTER	LU	590 000
20	LYCÉE TECHNIQUE AGRICOLE ETTELBRUCK	LU	585 102
21	LUXEMBOURG INSTITUTE OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC RESEARCH	LU	552 060
22	FEMMES EN DÉTRESSE (FED)	LU	545 850
23	CENTRE HOSPITALIER LUXEMBOURG	LU	492 000
24	NATUR&EMMWELT - FONDATION HELLEF FIR D NATUR	LU	490 494
25	FÉDÉRATION DES ARTISANS	LU	482 950
26	ADMINISTRATION DES SERVICES DE SECOURS DU GRAND DUCHÉ DE LUXEMBOURG (ASS)	LU	480 392
27	LUXEMBOURG INSTITUTE OF HEALTH - LIH	LU	473 000
28	CONVIS SOCIÉTÉ COOPÉRATIVE (LCONV)	LU	446 320
29	MEN	LU	439 000
30	MACROREFORME	LU	430 100
31	IMS LUXEMBOURG	LU	427 650
32	COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF CONSTRUCTION	LU	417 365
33	INTEGRATED BIOBANK OF LUXEMBOURG	LU	413 501
34	COOPÉRATIONS S.COOP.	LU	406 900
35	PNHS - PARC NATUREL DE LA HAUTE SURE	LU	395 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
36	PARC NATUREL MËLLERDALL – SYNDICAT MULLERTHAL (LPARK)	LU	384 515
37	COMMUNE DE SANEM	LU	374 830
38	MINISTÈRE DE LA MOBILITÉ ET DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS - DÉPARTEMENT DES TRANSPORTS	LU	361 500
39	COMMUNE DE MONDERCANGE	LU	355 878
40	COMMUNE DE MERTERT / GEMEINDE MERTERT	LU	329 581
41	CYPRESS INTERNATIONAL	LU	324 576
42	UNIVERSITÉ LUXEMBOURG, INTERDISCIPLINARY CENTRE FOR SECURITY,	LU	314 992
43	ECOTRANSFAIRE	LU	308 850
44	UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG - INTERDISCIPLINARY CENTRE FOR SECURITY, RELIABILITY AND TRUST	LU	308 164
45	MINISTÈRE DE L'EDUCATION NATIONALE ET DE LA JEUNESSE DU LUXEMBOURG	LU	302 748
46	CENTRE D'INITIATIVES ET DE GESTION LOCALE D'ESCH-SUR-ALZETTE	LU	301 564
47	COMMUNE DE PÉTANGE	LU	299 723
48	ASTI	LU	287 450
49	NUMERICALL	LU	280 350
50	SOIL CONCEPTS.A.	LU	279 823

Table A9.19 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Latvia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	SATIKSMES MINISTRIJA	LV	463 197 345
2	NODARBINĀTĪBAS VALSTS AĢENTŪRA	LV	162 189 038
3	VALSTS IZGLĪTĪBAS ATTĪSTĪBAS AĢENTŪRA	LV	128 287 135
4	AKCIJU SABIEDRĪBA "ATTĪSTĪBAS FINANŠU INSTITŪCIJA ALTUM"	LV	122 783 267
5	LATVIJAS INVESTĪCIJU UN ATTĪSTĪBAS AĢENTŪRA	LV	118 302 626
6	RĪGAS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	95 699 648
7	LATVIJAS UNIVERSITĀTE	LV	72 784 857
8	VALSTS IZGLĪTĪBAS SATURA CENTRS	LV	69 085 879
9	DAUGAVPILS PILSĒTAS DOME	LV	68 357 499

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	VALSTS SABIEDRĪBA AR IEROBEŽOTU ATBILDĪBU "PAULA STRADIŅA KLĪNISKĀ UNIVERSITĀTES SLIMNĪCA"	LV	64 334 618
11	RĪGAS TEHNISKĀ UNIVERSITĀTE	LV	60 266 752
12	VALSTS AKCIJU SABIEDRĪBA "LATVIJAS VALSTS RADIO UN TELEVĪZIJAS CENTRS"	LV	46 060 887
13	JELGAVAS PILSĒTAS DOME	LV	44 821 060
14	LIEPĀJAS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBAS IESTĀDE "LIEPĀJAS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBAS ADMINISTRĀCIJA"	LV	44 505 686
15	LATVIJAS REPUBLIKAS VESELĪBAS MINISTRIJA	LV	41 520 974
16	VALSTS SABIEDRĪBA AR IEROBEŽOTU ATBILDĪBU "KULTŪRAS UN SPORTA CENTRS "DAUGAVAS STADIONS""	LV	39 931 548
17	RĒZEKNES PILSĒTAS DOME	LV	39 371 173
18	CENTRĀLĀ FINANŠU UN LĪGUMU AĢENTŪRA	LV	38 082 358
19	VENTSPILS BRĪVOSTAS PĀRVALDE	LV	36 451 170
20	VALSTS SABIEDRĪBA AR IEROBEŽOTU ATBILDĪBU "ZEMKOPĪBAS MINISTRIJAS NEKUSTAMIE īPAŠUMI"	LV	35 174 693
21	LIEPĀJAS SPECIĀLĀS EKONOMISKĀS ZONAS PĀRVALDE	LV	32 275 905
22	LATVIJAS REPUBLIKAS LABKLĀJĪBAS MINISTRIJA	LV	31 898 429
23	JŪRMALAS PILSĒTAS DOME	LV	31 821 390
24	IZGLĪTĪBAS KVALITĀTES VALSTS DIENESTS	LV	31 489 387
25	SIA "GETLIŅI EKO"	LV	29 553 404
26	JĒKABPILS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	28 631 579
27	VALSTS AKCIJU SABIEDRĪBA "VALSTS NEKUSTAMIE īPAŠUMI"	LV	27 278 169
28	LR IZGLĪTĪBAS UN ZINĀTNES MINISTRIJA	LV	26 193 772
29	VALSTS VIDES DIENESTS	LV	25 437 967
30	RĪGAS BRĪVOSTAS PĀRVALDE	LV	24 704 209
31	VENTSPILS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBAS IESTĀDE "KOMUNĀLĀ PĀRVALDE"	LV	24 670 056
32	VALMIERAS PILSĒTAS PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	24 356 894
33	SABIEDRĪBA AR IEROBEŽOTU ATBILDĪBU "JŪRMALAS ŪDENS"	LV	23 980 094
34	LATVIJAS LAUKSAIMNIECĪBAS UNIVERSITĀTE	LV	23 270 113

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
35	VALSTS AKCIJU SABIEDRĪBA "LATVIJAS DZELZCEĻŠ"	LV	21 250 000
36	RĪGAS DOMES ĪPAŠUMA DEPARTAMENTS	LV	20 012 985
37	FINANŠU MINISTRIJA	LV	18 099 286
38	SABIEDRĪBA AR IEROBEŽOTU ATBILDĪBU "RĪGAS AUSTRUMU KLĪNISKĀ UNIVERSITĀTES SLIMNĪCA"	LV	17 755 197
39	ATVASINĀTA PUBLISKA PERSONA "LATVIJAS ORGANISKĀS SINTĒZES INSTITŪTS"	LV	17 295 525
40	OGRES NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	16 781 295
41	'VALSTS REĢIONĀLĀS ATTĪSTĪBAS AĢENTŪRA'	LV	16 699 437
42	LATVIJAS REPUBLIKAS VIDES AIZSARDZĪBAS UN REĢIONĀLĀS ATTĪSTĪBAS MINISTRIJA	LV	14 792 032
43	KULDĪGAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	14 655 574
44	SIGULDAS NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	14 068 949
45	DAUGAVPILS UNIVERSITĀTE	LV	13 952 411
46	LATVIJAS DARBA DEVĒJU KONFEDERĀCIJA	LV	13 882 579
47	LATVIJAS UNIVERSITĀTES CIETVIELU FIZIKAS INSTITŪTS	LV	13 625 496
48	BALVU NOVADA PAŠVALDĪBA	LV	13 493 946
49	RĪGAS STRADIŅA UNIVERSITĀTE	LV	13 406 259
50	AKCIJU SABIEDRĪBA "DAUGAVPILS SATIKSME"	LV	13 000 000

Table A9.20 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Malta

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	WATER SERVICES CORPORATION	MT	79 798 656
2	AUTHORITY FOR TRANSPORT IN MALTA (TRANSPORT MALTA)	MT	52 785 000
3	THE UNIVERSITY OF MALTA	MT	41 653 100
4	AUTHORITY FOR TRANSPORT IN MALTA	MT	28 741 121
5	MINISTRY FOR HEALTH - PRIMARY HEALTH CARE DEPARTMENT	MT	26 428 116
6	MALTA COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (MCAST)	MT	24 691 813
7	JOBSPLUS	MT	24 553 824
8	OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER	MT	22 800 000
9	WASTESERV MALTA	MT	20 481 561

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	MINISTRY FOR TRANSPORT, INFRASTRUCTURE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS	MT	19 217 700
11	ENEGRY AND WATER AGENCY	MT	18 031 282
12	TRANSPORT MALTA- ROADS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DIRECTORATE	MT	17 559 952
13	MALTA INDUSTRIAL PARKS LTD.	MT	14 251 582
14	MINISTRY FOR EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT	MT	12 877 288
15	RESTORATION DIRECTORATE	MT	12 852 881
16	REGULATOR FOR ENERGY AND WATER SERVICES (REWS)	MT	12 418 659
17	MINISTRY FOR FOREIGN AND EUROPEAN AFFAIRS	MT	12 000 000
18	MALTA COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	MT	10 117 630
19	MINISTRY FOR HEALTH	MT	8 870 347
20	DIRECTORATE FOR DIGITAL LITERACY & TRANSVERSAL SKILLS	MT	8 584 880
21	HOUSING AUTHORITY	MT	7 893 536
22	HERITAGE MALTA	MT	7 318 298
23	UNIVERSITY OF MALTA	MT	5 002 043
24	THE ENERGY AND WATER AGENCY	MT	4 601 529
25	MINISTRY FOR NATIONAL HERITAGE, THE ARTS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT	MT	4 540 130
26	PLANNING AUTHORITY	MT	4 185 837
27	MINISTRY FOR THE FAMILY, CHILDREN'S RIGHTS AND SOCIAL SOLIDARITY	MT	3 588 250
28	MINISTRY FOR TOURISM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION	MT	3 387 967
29	THE ANGLICAN CHURCH IN MALTA & GOZO	MT	3 360 000
30	PUBLIC BROADCASTING SERVICES LTD	MT	3 112 208
31	INSTITUTE FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICES	MT	2 948 976
32	ST. VINCENT DE PAUL LONG TERM CARE FACILITY	MT	2 774 946
33	THE ST JOHN'S CO-CATHEDRAL FOUNDATION	MT	2 770 850
34	FONDAZZJONI GHALL-PATRIMONJU KULTURALITA' L-ARCIDIOCESITA' MALTA	MT	2 528 253
35	MINISTRY FOR EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT	MT	2 400 000
36	PEOPLE AND STANDARDS DIVISION	MT	2 150 000

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
37	FONDAZZJONI WIRT ARTNA	MT	2 057 285
38	MINISTRY FOR GOZO	MT	1 969 768
39	AGENZIJA SAPPORT	MT	1 950 906
40	FONDAZZJONI CARITAS MALTA	MT	1 600 000
41	MINISTRY FOR FOREIGN AND EUROPEAN AFFAIRS	MT	1 600 000
42	PLANNING AUTHORITY	MT	1 595 308
43	TISBİH MALTA	MT	1 565 722
44	PORTO SALVO AND ST DOMINIC FOUNDATION	MT	1 520 000
45	FONDAZZJONI SOCJO-KULTURALI AMBJERNTALI AUGUSTINA FSKAA	MT	1 488 735
46	GOZO DIOCESE THROUGH ITS SPECIFICALLY SET UP KURA ASSOCIATION	MT	1 373 508
47	NATURE TRUST (MALTA)	MT	1 263 808
48	MINISTRY FOR GOZO	MT	1 078 089
49	RESPONSIBLE GAMING FOUNDATION	MT	880 206
50	MIEMA - MALTA INTELLIGENT ENERGY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	MT	865 318

Table A9.21 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Netherlands

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	STICHTING HAAGBOUW	NL	41 345 300
2	AMSTERDAM ECONOMIC BOARD	NL	30 305 454
3	JINC	NL	22 900 955
4	PROVINCIE DRENTHE	NL	19 000 000
5	REGIONALE ONTWIKKELINGSMIJ. INNOVATIONQUARTER BV	NL	14 300 000
6	PROVINCIE FRYSLÁN	NL	13 225 000
7	MAASTRICHT UNIVERSITY	NL	10 629 768
8	STICHTING SOFIE	NL	9 989 951
9	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT DELFT	NL	7 761 469
10	DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	NL	7 192 153
11	EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	NL	6 761 674
12	DEELNEMENDE ONDERNEMINGEN	NL	6 746 193
13	GEMEENTE ROTTERDAM	NL	6 656 468

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
14	VUURTORENEILAND	NL	6 153 968
15	UNIVERSITAIR MEDISCH CENTRUM GRONINGEN	NL	6 051 466
16	ONTWIKKELINGSMAATSCHAPPIJOOST-NEDERLAND N.V.	NL	6 015 299
17	ASTACO TECHNOLOGIES B.V.	NL	5 820 406
18	TNO	NL	5 732 373
19	STADSONTWIKKELING	NL	5 662 517
20	UNIIQ B.V.	NL	5 566 121
21	RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN	NL	5 398 105
22	STICHTING ED	NL	5 250 000
23	STICHTING KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT - RU	NL	5 168 583
24	VSO MAARLAND	NL	5 167 800
25	NUCLEAR REASEARCH AND CONSULTANCY GROUP	NL	5 030 104
26	INNOVATIEFONDS NOORD-HOLLAND BV	NL	5 000 000
27	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT EINDHOVEN	NL	4 987 317
28	NEDERLANDSE ORGANISATIE VOOR TOEGEPAST NATUURWETENSCHAPPELIJK ONDERZOEK TNO	NL	4 679 744
29	STICHTING VUMC	NL	4 667 794
30	FYECON D&I	NL	4 600 680
31	PROVINCIE FRYSLÂN	NL	4 573 946
32	HOLDINGFONDS ECONOMISCHE INVESTERINGEN D.H.	NL	4 559 670
33	SNN	NL	4 140 824
34	MUNICIPALITY OF MIDDELBURG	NL	4 127 701
35	TMI PROOF OF CONCEPT FONDS FLEVOLAND BV	NL	4 000 000
36	GERRIT DIENSTEN BV	NL	3 991 715
37	GEMEENTE BREDA	NL	3 872 644
38	GEMEENTE 'S-HERTOGENBOSCH	NL	3 764 620
39	GEMEENTE AMSTERDAM	NL	3 738 940
40	STICHTING FRED	NL	3 600 000
41	MIJNWATER B.V.	NL	3 588 239
42	HZ UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES	NL	3 572 870
43	EXPLOITATIEMAATSCHAPPIJ ACLWI B.V.	NL	3 502 500

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
44	GEMEENTE LEEUWARDEN	NL	3 487 750
45	UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE	NL	3 375 185
46	TILBURG UNIVERSITY	NL	3 296 199
47	TOCARDO SOLUTIONS	NL	3 223 385
48	ELVV	NL	3 150 000
49	WEENER XL WERK EN INKOMEN, DEN BOSCH	NL	3 149 765
50	BIO ENERGY NETHERLANDS HOLDING B.V.	NL	3 127 791

Table A9.22 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Poland

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	GENERALNA DYREKCJA DRÓG KRAJOWYCH I AUTOSTRAD	PL	8 370 365 244
2	PKP POLSKIE LINIE KOLEJOWE S.A.	PL	4 448 869 350
3	BANK GOSPODARSTWA KRAJOWEGO	PL	2 570 299 498
4	MIASTO STOŁECZNE WARSZAWA	PL	966 897 656
5	OPERATOR GAZOCIĄGÓW PRZESYŁOWYCH GAZ-SYSTEM S.A.	PL	489 234 313
6	WOJEWÓDZTWO MAŁOPOLSKIE	PL	463 468 762
7	WOJEWÓDZTWO PODKARPACKIE	PL	380 106 728
8	SAMORZĄD WOJEWÓDZTWA WIELKOPOLSKIEGO	PL	346 953 309
9	URZĄD MORSKI W SZCZECINIE	PL	340 756 266
10	WOJEWÓDZTWO KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE	PL	326 892 224
11	WOJEWÓDZTWO ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE	PL	319 531 705
12	MIASTO ŁÓDŹ	PL	309 941 566
13	WOJEWÓDZTWO ŚLĄSKIE	PL	303 799 395
14	POLSKIE SIECI ELEKTROENERGETYCZNE S.A	PL	302 620 023
15	WOJEWÓDZTWO LUBELSKIE	PL	276 716 464
16	FUNDACJA ROZWOJU SYSTEMU EDUKACJI	PL	274 954 667
17	MIASTO BIAŁYSTOK	PL	266 485 453
18	URZĄD MORSKI W GDYNIE	PL	262 450 466
19	MIEJSKIE PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWO WODOCIĄGÓWI KANALIZACJI W M.S.T. WARSZAWIE SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	259 461 799
20	GMINA LUBLIN	PL	248 833 386

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
21	WOJEWÓDZTWO PODLASKIE	PL	246 598 920
22	EUROPEJSKI BANK INWESTYCYJNY	PL	227 910 740
23	GMINA MIASTO ŚWINOUJŚCIE	PL	211 487 408
24	PAŃSTOWE GOSPODARSTWO WODNE WODY POLSKIE	PL	211 446 955
25	NARODOWE CENTRUM BADAŃ I ROZWOJU	PL	205 922 404
26	ORANGE POLSKA SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	192 585 082
27	GMINA OLSZTYN	PL	191 848 544
28	WOJEWÓDZTWO OPOLSKIE	PL	191 795 934
29	WOJEWÓDZTWO ŁÓDZKIE	PL	191 360 181
30	MIASTO POZNAŃ	PL	186 466 961
31	WOJEWÓDZTWO POMORSKIE	PL	183 789 737
32	GMINA MIASTA GDAŃSKA	PL	173 504 853
33	GMINA MIASTO SZCZECIN	PL	167 910 289
34	PAŃSTOWE GOSPODARSTWO WODNE WODY POLSKIE - RZGW W GLIWICACH	PL	164 215 512
35	PKP POLSKIE LINIE KOLEJOWE SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	163 103 867
36	KOMENDA GŁÓWNA PAŃSTWOWEJ STRAŻY POŻARNEJ	PL	162 015 315
37	INSTYTUT CHEMII BIOORGANICZNEJ POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK	PL	159 609 833
38	POLSKIE KOLEJE PAŃSTWOWE S.A.	PL	159 575 289
39	TRAMWAJE WARSZAWSKIE SP. Z O.O.	PL	156 492 664
40	KOLEJE MAZOWIECKIE-KM SP. Z O.O.	PL	148 777 283
41	PKP INTERCITY SPÓŁKA AKCYJNA	PL	143 820 611
42	MINISTERSTWO FINANSÓW	PL	141 818 863
43	WOJEWÓDZTWO WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE	PL	140 520 642
44	GMINA WROCŁAW	PL	139 213 791
45	MIASTO OPOLE	PL	135 973 528
46	FIBEE IV SP. Z O.O.	PL	133 647 441
47	GMINA MIASTO RZESZÓW	PL	132 473 599
48	MINISTERSTWO CYFRYZACJI	PL	125 493 403
49	GMINA MIASTA TORUŃ	PL	124 370 628
50	TRAMWAJE ŚLĄSKIE SA	PL	123 171 875

Table A9.23 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Portugal

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	INSTITUTO DO EMPREGO E FORMAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL, I.P.	PT	1 388 174 015
2	INFRAESTRUTURAS DE PORTUGAL, S.A.	PT	616 306 910
3	DIRECÇÃO-GERAL DO ENSINO SUPERIOR	PT	584 806 694
4	IFD - INSTITUIÇÃO FINANCEIRA DE DESENVOLVIMENTO, S.A.	PT	480 029 686
5	FUNDAÇÃO PARA A CIÊNCIA E A TECNOLOGIA	PT	401 697 200
6	ESTRUTURA DE GESTÃO DO IFRRU 2020 INSTRUMENTO FINANCEIRO PARA A REABILITAÇÃO E REVITALIZAÇÃO URBANAS ADMINISTRAÇÃO CENTRAL	PT	209 671 716
7	FUNDO REGIONAL DO EMPREGO	PT	190 197 631
8	SECRETARIA REGIONAL DE EQUIPAMENTOS E INFRAESTRUTURAS	PT	173 986 861
9	METROPOLITANO DE LISBOA, E.P.E.	PT	167 817 565
10	METRO DO PORTO S.A.	PT	162 336 935
11	BOSCH CAR MULTIMEDIA PORTUGAL, S.A.	PT	120 505 201
12	AGÊNCIA PARA O DESENVOLVIMENTO E COESÃO, I.P.	PT	106 590 218
13	AGÊNCIA PORTUGUESA DO AMBIENTE, I.P.	PT	103 366 250
14	PORTOS DOS AÇORES, S.A.	PT	102 129 782
15	SECRETARIA-GERAL DA EDUCAÇÃO E CIÊNCIA	PT	95 981 493
16	Privado	PT	85 105 368
17	PME INVESTIMENTOS - SOCIEDADE DE INVESTIMENTO S.A.	PT	80 854 863
18	UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO	PT	80 772 978
19	NAVIGATOR ISSUE AVEIRO, S.A.	PT	79 402 624
20	UNIVERSIDADE DO MINHO	PT	76 654 170
21	INSTITUTO DE EMPREGO DA MADEIRA, IP-RAM	PT	72 441 181
22	SECRETARIA REGIONAL DOS TRANSPORTES E OBRAS PÚBLICAS	PT	69 649 497
23	UNIVERSIDADE DE AVEIRO	PT	69 148 708
24	MUSAMI - OPERAÇÕES MUNICIPAIS DO AMBIENTE EIM, S.A.	PT	65 990 037
25	AGDA - ÁGUAS PÚBLICAS DO ALENTEJO, S.A.	PT	65 410 502
26	SECRETARIA REGIONAL DA EDUCAÇÃO E CULTURA	PT	62 697 157

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
27	ASSOCIAÇÃO PORTUGUESA DOS INDUSTRIAS DE CALÇADO,COMPONENTES E ARTIGOS DE PELE E SEUS SUCEDANEOS	PT	60 889 131
28	BIAL - PORTELA & CA S.A.	PT	58 549 803
29	EEM - EMPRESA DE ELECTRICIDADE DA MADEIRA S.A.	PT	57 950 378
30	UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA	PT	57 274 351
31	MUNICIPIO DE MATOSINHOS	PT	55 068 452
32	MUNICIPIO DO PORTO	PT	52 279 188
33	IAPMEI - AGÊNCIA PARA A COMPETITIVIDADE E INOVAÇÃO, I.P.	PT	49 251 790
34	AGÊNCIA PARA O INVESTIMENTO E COMÉRCIO EXTERNO DE PORTUGAL, E.P.E., ABREVIADAMENTE DESIGNADA POR AICEP, E.P.E.	PT	49 204 094
35	TURISMO DE PORTUGAL I.P.	PT	49 092 873
36	MUNICÍPIO DE VILA NOVA DE GAIA	PT	46 613 701
37	CENTRO DE FORMAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL DA INDUSTRIA METALURGICA E METALOMECHANICA (CENFIM)	PT	44 226 622
38	SELECTIVA MODA-ASSOCIAÇÃO DE PROMOÇÃO DE SALÕES INTERNACIONAIS DE MODA	PT	43 238 073
39	A.R.M. - ÁGUAS E RESÍDUOS DA MADEIRA, S.A.	PT	43 147 936
40	SECRETARIA-GERAL DO AMBIENTE E DA AÇÃO CLIMÁTICA	PT	42 370 790
41	REN - REDE ELÉCTRICA NACIONAL, S.A.	PT	42 144 059
42	EMBRAER PORTUGAL ESTRUTURAS METÁLICAS, S.A.	PT	41 845 730
43	DIRECÇÃO-GERAL DA EDUCAÇÃO	PT	38 302 475
44	BLUEPHARMA - INDÚSTRIA FARMACÊUTICA S.A.	PT	34 502 504
45	MUNICÍPIO DE COIMBRA	PT	34 453 730
46	ENSIPROF - ENSINO E FORMAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL LDA	PT	34 314 942
47	EFACEC ENERGIA - MÁQUINAS E EQUIPAMENTOS ELÉCTRICOS S.A.	PT	34 182 234
48	BOSCH TERMOTECNOLOGIA S.A.	PT	33 928 330
49	CONTINENTAL MABOR - INDÚSTRIA DE PNEUS, S.A.	PT	33 586 274
50	COMISSÃO DE COORDENAÇÃO E DESENVOLVIMENTO REGIONAL DO NORTE (CCDR NORTE)	PT	33 501 396

Table A9.24 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Romania

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	COMPANIA NAȚIONALĂ DE ADMINISTRARE A INFRASTRUCTURII RUTIERE S.A./DEPARTAMENT DOCUMENTATII OBTINERE FONDURI EXTERNE	RO	3 912 062 597
2	COMPANIA NATIONALA DE CAIFERATE "CFR" SA/SECTOR INVESTITII	RO	1 874 577 767
3	INSPECTORATUL GENERAL PENTRU SITUA?II DE URGEN?Ă	RO	751 908 575
4	AGENTIA NATIONALA PENTRU OCUPAREA FORTEI DE MUNCA/DCPNFEMBD	RO	627 071 864
5	MINISTERUL SANATATII	RO	595 007 315
6	ADMINISTRATIA NATIONALA "APELE ROMANE" - COD CAEN 3600-CAPTAREA, TRATAREA SI DISTRIBUTIA APEI/DEZVOLTARE INVESTITII	RO	559 981 848
7	AUTORITATEA NATIONALA PENTRU DREPTURILE PERSOANELOR CU DIZABILITATI, COPII SI ADOP?II	RO	501 604 426
8	RAJA SA	RO	438 025 653
9	MINISTERUL FONDURILOR EUROPENE/SERVICIUL IMPLEMENTARE POAD	RO	370 166 105
10	FONDUL EUROPEAN DE INVESTITII	RO	369 621 572
11	METROREX SA/DIRECTIA TEHNICA SI INVESTITII	RO	333 430 651
12	COMPANIA DE APA OLTE?IA SA	RO	294 767 043
13	COMPANIA DE APĂ SOME? SA	RO	265 497 434
14	MINISTERUL LUCRĂRILOR PUBLICE, DEZVOLTĂRII ?I ADMINISTRA?IEI/DIREC?IA GENERALĂ DEZVOLTARE REGIONALĂ ?I INFRASTRUCTURĂ	RO	257 749 408
15	AGENTIA NATIONALA DE CADASTRU SI PUBLICITATE IMOBILIARA	RO	254 588 133
16	SC COMPANIA DE APĂ S.A.BUZAU	RO	228 800 067
17	APA-CANALILFOV SA	RO	217 779 260
18	MUNICIPIUL BUCURE?TI/PRIMAR GENERAL	RO	200 505 484
19	COMPANIA DE APĂ OLTS SA	RO	190 474 894
20	ACET SA	RO	187 042 308
21	MUNICIPIUL BUCURE?TI/UIP GLINA 2	RO	179 609 020
22	APASERV SATU MARE SA	RO	176 484 722
23	JUDETUL GALATI	RO	175 042 902
24	MUNICIPIUL ORADEA	RO	173 701 140

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
25	AQUABIS SA	RO	166 345 462
26	COMPANIA DE UTILITATI PUBLICE SA	RO	164 342 189
27	UAT JUDEPUL TULCEA	RO	154 275 407
28	UNITATEA ADMINISTRATIV TERITORIALA JUDETUL CLUJ	RO	150 849 367
29	MUNICIPIUL CLUJ-NAPOCA	RO	149 128 845
30	AQUATIM S.A.	RO	141 154 701
31	JUDEPUL BISTRIPA-NASAUD	RO	138 644 433
32	SECTORUL 3 AL MUNICIPIULUI BUCURESTI	RO	134 670 931
33	INSTITUTUL NATIONAL DE CERCETARE-DEZVOLTARE PENTRU FIZICA SI INGINERIE NUCLEARA "HORIA HULUBEI" - IFIN - HH/SUBUNITATE ELI-NP	RO	129 424 892
34	MINISTERUL DEZVOLTĂRII REGIONALE SI ADMINISTRATIEI PUBLICE/DIREC?IA GENERALĂ DEZVOLTARE REGIONALĂ ?I INFRASTRUCTURĂ	RO	120 460 660
35	APA CANAL SA	RO	105 857 237
36	COMPANIA DE APA ARIES SA	RO	105 483 432
37	MUNICIPIUL IA?I	RO	103 119 448
38	MUNICIPIUL TIMISOARA	RO	98 719 233
39	UAT JUDETUL MEHEDINTI	RO	98 009 279
40	SERVICIUL ROMÂN DE INFORMA?II PRIN UNITATEA MILITARĂ 0929 BUCURE?TI	RO	97 405 041
41	APA TÂRNAMEI MARI SA	RO	97 325 860
42	UNITATEA ADMINISTRATIV-TERITORIALA JUDEPUL DOLJ	RO	95 516 295
43	JUDEPUL VÂLCEA	RO	93 150 897
44	ADMINISTRATIA FONDULUI PENTRU MEDIU	RO	90 887 239
45	MUNICIPIUL CRAIOVA	RO	85 041 291
46	MINISTERUL EDUCATIEI ?I CERCETĂRII	RO	83 735 193
47	MUNICIPIUL RE?IPA	RO	83 684 244
48	SERVICIUL DE TELECOMUNICATII SPECIALE	RO	83 665 789
49	APA-CTTA S.A.	RO	82 072 421
50	JUDEPUL IA?I	RO	81 794 119

Table A9.25 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Sweden

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ARBETSFÖRMEDLINGEN	SE	115 391 044
2	STATENS ENERGIMYNDIGHET	SE	33 310 910
3	ALMI INVEST GREENTECH AB	SE	31 299 658
4	TILLVÄXTVERKET	SE	30 267 938
5	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I VÄSTERNORRLANDS LÄN	SE	27 062 931
6	EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE ERIC	SE	22 353 667
7	MITTUNIVERSITETET	SE	20 150 956
8	SAMORDNINGSFÖRBUNDET ÖSTRA SÖDERTÖRN	SE	19 518 558
9	EUROPEAN INVESTMENT FUND	SE	18 683 488
10	LULEÅ TEKNISKA UNIVERSITET	SE	18 574 983
11	REGION ÖSTERGÖTLAND	SE	16 406 858
12	REGION DALARNA	SE	15 954 948
13	REGION GÄVLEBORG	SE	14 997 960
14	REGION JÄMLAND HÄRJEDALEN	SE	14 585 015
15	SVERIGES KOMMUNER OCH REGIONER	SE	14 405 872
16	REGION GÄVLEBORG	SE	13 330 204
17	KARLSTADS KOMMUN	SE	13 276 847
18	REGION VÄRMLAND	SE	12 448 899
19	SWEDISH LAPLAND VISITORS BOARD EKONOMISK FÖREN	SE	12 307 521
20	REGION VÄSTERBOTTEN	SE	12 047 036
21	SYDSVENSK ENTREPRENÖRFOND AB	SE	11 075 264
22	VÄSTERÅS SCIENCE PARK AB	SE	10 534 110
23	SKL	SE	10 120 867
24	TRYGGHETSFONDEN TSL	SE	10 002 545
25	PITEÅ HAMN AB	SE	9 630 664
26	MALMÖ KOMMUN	SE	9 613 199
27	ALMI FÖRETAGSPARTNER ÖSTERGÖTLAND AB	SE	9 543 148
28	LANTBRUKARNAS EKONOMI AB	SE	9 471 524
29	BOOST BY FC ROSENGÅRD	SE	8 934 309
30	PARTNERINVEST ÖVRE NORRLAND AB	SE	8 908 364

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
31	LÄNSSTYRELSEN I VÄSTERBOTTENS LÄN	SE	8 734 359
32	UMEÅ UNIVERSITET	SE	8 395 126
33	ALMI INVEST STOCKHOLM AB	SE	7 704 531
34	IP-ONLY NETWORKS AB	SE	7 663 560
35	HÖGSKOLAN DALARNA	SE	7 632 158
36	LANDSKRONA STAD	SE	7 492 607
37	ALMI INVEST NORRA MELLANSVERIGE AB	SE	7 222 998
38	ALMI INVEST ÖSTRA MELLANSVERIGE AB	SE	7 222 998
39	ALMI INVEST MITT AB	SE	7 222 998
40	REGION VÄSTERBOTTEN	SE	7 091 725
41	REGION VÄSTERNORRLAND	SE	7 070 575
42	REGION JÄMTLAND HÄRJEDALEN	SE	6 937 858
43	NORRBOTTENS LÄNS LANDSTING	SE	6 815 841
44	KUNGLIGA TEKNISKA HÖGSKOLAN	SE	6 739 873
45	UMEÅ HAMN AB	SE	6 562 094
46	SAMORDNINGSFÖRBUNDET RAR	SE	6 523 649
47	REGION NORRBOTTEN	SE	6 421 768
48	SJUHÄRADS SAMORDNINGSFÖRBUND	SE	6 284 840
49	SKELLEFTEÅ KOMMUN	SE	6 273 039
50	TEKNIKFÖRETAGEN	SE	6 259 877

Table A9.26 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Slovenia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	ZAVOD RS ZA ZAPOSLOVANJE	SI	340 022 575
2	SID BANKA, D.D.	SI	253 000 000
3	DIREKCIJA RS ZA INFRASTRUKTURO	SI	106 237 077
4	JAVNI ŠTIPENDIJSKI, RAZVOJNI,	SI	92 925 284
5	MESTNA OBČINA LJUBLJANA	SI	65 631 994
6	MOP RS - DIREKCIJA ZA VODE	SI	64 610 537
7	DARS D.D.	SI	63 528 967
8	JAVNI SKLAD RS ZA PODJETNIŠTVO	SI	37 125 344
9	MINISTRSTVO ZA JAVNO UPRAVO	SI	31 664 293

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
10	OBČINA DRAVOGRAD	SI	29 733 309
11	SLUŽBA VLADERS ZA RAZVOJ IN	SI	29 177 674
12	UNIVERZA NA PRIMORSKEM	SI	27 820 608
13	MINISTRSTVO ZA OKOLJE IN	SI	27 079 780
14	UNIVERZA V MARIBORU	SI	25 946 365
15	OBČINA POSTOJNA	SI	24 785 393
16	AKADEMSKA IN RAZISKOVALNA	SI	24 023 291
17	MINISTRSTVO ZA DELO, DRUŽINO,	SI	22 737 851
18	OBČINA ŽUŽEMBERK	SI	22 398 634
19	OBČINA KAMNIK	SI	22 021 343
20	MESTNA OBČINA NOVO MESTO	SI	20 965 247
21	DIREKCIJA REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE	SI	20 627 178
22	UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI	SI	20 420 108
23	OBČINA KOČEVJE	SI	20 417 498
24	MINISTRSTVO ZA IZOBRAŽEVANJE,	SI	19 666 244
25	MINISTRSTVO ZA PRAVOSODJE	SI	17 526 845
26	SPIRIT	SI	17 101 529
27	NACIONALNI INŠTITUT ZA	SI	16 351 648
28	MINISTRSTVO ZA ZDRAVJE	SI	15 285 285
29	ŠOLSKI CENTER NOVA GORICA	SI	14 814 913
30	ZAVOD REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE ZA	SI	14 317 369
31	MINISTRSTVO ZA GOSPODARSKI	SI	13 407 568
32	MESTNA OBČINA KRANJ	SI	12 789 966
33	MINISTRSTVO ZA INFRASTRUKTURO	SI	12 099 881
34	INSTITUT JOŽEF STEFAN	SI	11 897 522
35	OK SLO-ZDRAŽENJE ŠPORTNIH ZVEZ	SI	9 600 000
36	VRHOVNO SODIŠČE RS	SI	9 432 000
37	MESTNA OBČINA VELENJE	SI	8 924 097
38	NACIONALNI INŠTITUT ZA JAVNO	SI	8 782 520
39	Ministrstvo za kulturo	SI	8 719 727
40	MINISTRSTVO ZA FINANCE	SI	8 277 075
41	MESTNA OBČINA MARIBOR	SI	7 465 294

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
42	MESTNA OBČINA MURSKA SOBOTA	SI	7 348 718
43	MESTNA OBČINA CELJE	SI	7 326 445
44	ZAVOD ZA ŠPORT REPUBLIKE	SI	7 276 281
45	GORENJE D.O.O.	SI	6 788 118
46	MESTNA OBČINA KOPER	SI	6 613 707
47	TPV D.O.O.	SI	6 467 058
48	INŠITUT ZA KOVINSKE MATERIALE	SI	6 389 835
49	FUNDACIJA ZA IZBOLJŠANJE	SI	6 385 371
50	SIEVA D.O.O.	SI	6 312 010

Table A9.27 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Slovakia

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	UNIVERZITA KOMENSKÉHO V BRATISLAVE, FAKULTA TELESNEJ VÝCHOVY A ŠPORTU	SK	1 970 057 393
2	NÁRODNÁ DIAĽNIČNÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ, A.S.	SK	1 279 486 962
3	ÚSTREDIE PRÁCE, SOCIÁLNYCH VECÍ A RODINY	SK	1 010 423 485
4	SLOVAK INVESTMENT HOLDING, A. S.	SK	854 824 977
5	ŽELEZNICE SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	365 374 755
6	ŽELEZNIČNÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ SLOVENSKO, A.S.	SK	325 911 311
7	MINISTERSTVO VNÚTRA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	299 271 636
8	SLOVENSKÁ SPRÁVA CIEST	SK	245 974 745
9	SLOVENSKÁ INOVAČNÁ A ENERGETICKÁ AGENTÚRA	SK	133 493 097
10	MINISTERSTVO INVESTÍCIÍ, REGIONÁLNEHO ROZVOJA A INFORMATIZÁCIE SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	117 978 525
11	MINISTERSTVO ŽIVOTNÉHO PROSTREDIA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	110 679 884
12	STREDOSLOVENSKÁ VODÁRENSKÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ, A.S.	SK	103 215 470
13	SLOVENSKÝ VODOHOSPODÁRSKY PODNIK, ŠTÁTNY PODNIK	SK	86 935 788
14	MESTO KOŠICE	SK	85 087 027
15	U. S. STEEL KOŠICE, S.R.O.	SK	77 489 389
16	MINISTERSTVO FINANCIÍ SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	74 531 742

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
17	METODICKO-PEDAGOGICKÉ CENTRUM	SK	65 938 809
18	MINISTERSTVO DOPRAVY A VÝSTAVBY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	65 842 015
19	HLAVNÉ MESTO SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY BRATISLAVA	SK	59 254 590
20	ÚRAD VLÁDY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	53 307 232
21	SLOVENSKÝ HYDROMETEOROLOGICKÝ ÚSTAV	SK	53 242 232
22	NITRIANSKY SAMOSPRÁVNY KRAJ	SK	51 694 019
23	CENTRUM VEDECKO-TECHNICKÝCH INFORMÁCIÍ SR	SK	51 638 627
24	SLOVAK BUSINESS AGENCY	SK	50 468 896
25	TRENČIANSKY SAMOSPRÁVNY KRAJ	SK	49 649 413
26	SLOVENSKÁ TECHNICKÁ UNIVERZITA V BRATISLAVE	SK	48 154 338
27	ZÁPADOSLOVENSKÁ VODÁRENSKÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ, A.S.	SK	47 800 147
28	DOPRAVNÝ PODNIK BRATISLAVA, AKCIOVÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ	SK	44 606 336
29	ŠTÁTNY FOND ROZVOJA BÝVANIA	SK	42 038 221
30	SEVEROSLOVENSKÉ VODÁRNE A KANALIZÁCIE, A. S.	SK	41 433 648
31	ŽILINSKÝ SAMOSPRÁVNY KRAJ	SK	40 351 883
32	VÝCHODOSLOVENSKÁ VODÁRENSKÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ, A.S. KOŠICE	SK	40 290 865
33	ŽILINSKÁ UNIVERZITA V ŽILINE	SK	39 022 552
34	IMPLEMENTAČNÁ AGENTÚRA MINISTERSTVA PRÁCE, SOCIÁLNÝCH VECÍ A RODINY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	38 324 572
35	SLOVENSKÁ AGENTÚRA ŽIVOTNÉHO PROSTREDIA	SK	37 319 253
36	ŠTÁTNY INŠTITÚT ODBORNÉHO VZDELÁVANIA	SK	34 963 941
37	ŠTÁTNA OCHRANA PRÍRODY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	34 012 228
38	MINISTERSTVO SPRAVODLIVOSTI SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	33 190 694
39	REGIONÁLNA VODÁRENSKÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ VLÁRA- VÁH, S.R.O.	SK	33 019 067
40	FINANČNÉ RIADITEĽSTVO SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	30 210 198
41	DATACENTRUM ELEKTRONIZÁCIE ÚZEMNEJ SAMOSPRÁVY SLOVENSKA	SK	29 702 394

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
42	MINISTERSTVO PRÁCE, SOCIÁLNYCH VECÍ A RODINY SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	28 932 249
43	PREŠOVSKÝ SAMOSPRÁVNY KRAJ	SK	26 998 305
44	MINISTERSTVO PÔDOHOSPODÁRSTVA A ROZVOJA VIDIEKA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY	SK	26 438 103
45	UNIVERZITA KOMENSKÉHO V BRATISLAVE	SK	26 241 860
46	DOPRAVNÝ PODNIK MESTA ŽILINY S.R.O.	SK	25 725 878
47	VÝSKUMNÝ ÚSTAV VODNÉHO HOSPODÁRSTVA	SK	25 310 996
48	GENERÁLNE RIADITEĽSTVO ZBORU VÄZENSKEJ A JUSTIČNEJ STRÁŽE	SK	23 892 054
49	DOPRAVNÝ PODNIK MESTA KOŠICE, AKCIOVÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ	SK	23 042 621
50	TRNAVSKÝ SAMOSPRÁVNY KRAJ	SK	22 005 632

Table A9.28 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – United Kingdom

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	EDUCATION AND SKILLS FUNDING AGENCY (ESFA CFO)	UK	574 004 676
2	WG - DEPARTMENT FOR ECONOMY, SCIENCE AND TRANSPORT	UK	396 432 920
3	WG - DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATION AND SKILLS	UK	382 836 966
4	BIG LOTTERY FUND (CFO)	UK	175 444 419
5	SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT	UK	172 021 895
6	BRITISH BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD	UK	155 881 913
7	HER MAJESTY'S PRISON AND PROBATION SERVICE (HMPPS CFO); FORMERLY NOMS NATIONAL OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SERVICES	UK	146 761 459
8	SWANSEA UNIVERSITY	UK	143 863 923
9	WELSH GOVERNMENT	UK	132 564 609
10	WG - DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITIES	UK	131 431 742
11	DEPARTMENT FOR WORK AND PENSIONS (DWP CFO)	UK	127 503 642
12	FINANCE WALES PLC	UK	123 164 745
13	DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT	UK	88 760 428

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
14	BANGOR UNIVERSITY	UK	88 110 434
15	DEPARTMENT FOR BUSINESS, ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL STRATEGY ("BEIS")	UK	87 237 067
16	SCOTTISH FUNDING COUNCIL	UK	81 137 705
17	BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	UK	79 613 339
18	LIVERPOOL CITY REGION COMBINED AUTHORITY	UK	78 804 519
19	INVEST NI	UK	77 696 006
20	CORNWALL COUNCIL	UK	68 623 647
21	GREATER MANCHESTER COMBINED AUTHORITY	UK	66 888 399
22	THE NORTH EAST FUND LIMITED	UK	64 969 681
23	LEEDS CITY COUNCIL	UK	61 157 526
24	CARDIFF UNIVERSITY	UK	59 465 941
25	WALES COUNCIL FOR VOLUNTARY ACTION	UK	54 374 615
26	NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	UK	54 167 395
27	DURHAM COUNTY COUNCIL	UK	48 280 247
28	AMBER INFRASTRUCTURE LTD	UK	47 755 492
29	OXFORD INNOVATION SERVICES LTD	UK	46 894 426
30	DEPARTMENT FOR INFRASTRUCTURE	UK	45 250 640
31	BLAENAU GWENT COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL	UK	44 082 937
32	SKILLS DEVELOPMENT SCOTLAND	UK	42 703 904
33	SCOTTISH ENTERPRISE	UK	41 737 273
34	ZERO WASTE SCOTLAND	UK	41 435 996
35	TRAINING PROGRAMMES BRANCH	UK	41 299 599
36	SME WHOLESALE FINANCE (LONDON) LTD ON BEHALF OF GLIF LTD	UK	38 870 749
37	GM BUSINESS SUPPORT LIMITED	UK	38 683 255
38	DUDLEY METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	UK	37 760 156
39	LANCASHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	UK	37 665 907
40	TRAFFORD COUNCIL (OPERATING ON BEHALF OF GREATER MANCHESTER COMBINED AUTHORITY)	UK	36 316 386
41	CORNWALL AND ISLES OF SCILLY INVESTMENTS LIMITED	UK	35 538 971
42	COVENTRY CITY COUNCIL	UK	34 438 041

Rank	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	UNIVERSITY OF EXETER	UK	34 009 002
44	GLASGOW CITY COUNCIL	UK	32 216 590
45	SCOTTISH NATURAL HERITAGE	UK	32 004 817
46	GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY	UK	31 740 452
47	CORNWALL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LTD	UK	30 940 872
48	LANCASTER UNIVERSITY	UK	30 681 490
49	NEATH PORT TALBOT COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL	UK	29 127 568
50	ABERYSTWYTH UNIVERSITY	UK	28 962 701

Table A9.29 Preliminary Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – Total

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
1	GENERALNA DYREKCJA DRÓG KRAJOWYCH I AUTOSTRAD	PL	8 370 365 244
2	PKP POLSKIE LINIE KOLEJOWE S.A.	PL	4 448 869 350
3	COMPANIA NAȚIONALĂ DE ADMINISTRARE A INFRASTRUCTURII RUTIERE S.A./DEPARTAMENT DOCUMENTATII OBTINERE FONDURI EXTERNE	RO	3 912 062 597
4	BANK GOSPODARSTWA KRAJOWEGO	PL	2 570 299 498
5	UNIVERZITA KOMENSKÉHO V BRATISLAVE, FAKULTA TELESNEJ VÝCHOVY A ŠPORTU	SK	1 970 057 393
6	ŘEDITELSTVÍ SILNIC A DÁLNIC ČR	CZ	1 923 258 003
7	COMPANIA NATIONALA DE CAIFERATE CFR SA/SECTOR INVESTITII	RO	1 874 577 767
8	SPRÁVA ŽELEZNIC, STÁTNÍ ORGANIZACE	CZ	1 691 769 710
9	ELLINIKI ANAPTXIAKI TRAPEZA A.E.	GR	1 479 456 619
10	JUNTA DE ANDALUCIA	ES	1 473 807 097
11	MFB MAGYAR FEJLESZTÉSI BANK ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	1 446 504 671
12	INSTITUTO DO EMPREGO E FORMAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL, I.P.	PT	1 388 174 015
13	NÁRODNÁ DIAĽNIČNÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ, A.S.	SK	1 279 486 962
14	RFI SPA - RETE FERROVIARIA ITALIANA	IT	1 216 633 073
15	ATTIKO METRO ANONUMOS ETAIREIA	GR	1 029 154 658
16	ÚSTREDIE PRÁCE, SOCIÁLNYCH VECÍ A RODINY	SK	1 010 423 485
17	ADIF-ALTA VELOCIDAD	ES	1 009 510 011

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
18	MIASTO STOŁECZNE WARSZAWA	PL	966 897 656
19	SLOVAK INVESTMENT HOLDING, A. S.	SK	854 824 977
20	REGIONE CAMPANIA	IT	827 255 462
21	FONDO EUROPEO DE INVERSIONES (FEI)	ES	800 036 600
22	INSPECTORATUL GENERAL PENTRU SITUA?II DE URGEN?Ă	RO	751 908 575
23	ÚŘAD PRÁCE ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY	CZ	732 701 451
24	NEMZETGAZDASÁGI MINISZTÉRIUM	HU	711 988 124
25	NIF NEMZETI INFRASTRUKTÚRA FEJLESZTŐ ZÁRTKÖRŰEN MŰKÖDŐ RÉSZVÉNYTÁRSASÁG	HU	693 336 994
26	AGENTIA NATIONALA PENTRU OCUPAREA FORTEI DE MUNCA/DCPNFEMBD	RO	627 071 864
27	INFRAESTRUTURAS DE PORTUGAL, S.A.	PT	616 306 910
28	MINISTERUL SANATATII	RO	595 007 315
29	DIREC?AO-GERAL DO ENSINO SUPERIOR	PT	584 806 694
30	EDUCATION AND SKILLS FUNDING AGENCY (ESFA CFO)	UK	574 004 676
31	ANAS SPA	IT	566 594 856
32	ADMINISTRATIA NATIONALA APELE ROMANE - COD CAEN 3600-CAPTAREA, TRATAREA SI DISTRIBUTIA APEI/DEZVOLTARE INVESTITII	RO	559 981 848
33	REGIONE CALABRIA	IT	511 475 402
34	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA APASCHOLISIS KAI KOINONIKIS OIKONOMIAS	GR	502 060 378
35	AUTORITATEA NATIONALA PENTRU DREPTURILE PERSOANELOR CU DIZABILITATI, COPII SI ADOP?II	RO	501 604 426
36	OPERATOR GAZOCI?GÓW PRZESYŁOWYCH GAZ-SYSTEM S.A.	PL	489 234 313
37	HRVATSKI ZAVOD ZA ZAPOŠLJAVANJE	HR	481 725 779
38	IFD - INSTITUI?AO FINANCEIRA DE DESENVOLVIMENTO, S.A.	PT	480 029 686
39	MAANTEEAMET	EE	476 923 752
40	NEMZETGAZDASÁGI MINISZTÉRIUM	HU	475 751 919
41	EPITELIKI DOMI ESPA UPOURCEIOU PAIDEIAS & THRISKEUMATON	GR	471 938 668
42	WOJEWÓDZTWO MAŁOPOLSKIE	PL	463 468 762

The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

NR	Beneficiary owner	Home country	Union contribution [EUR]
43	SATIKSMES MINISTRIJA	LV	463 197 345
44	RAJA SA	RO	438 025 653
45	GESTIONE GOVERNATIVA FERROVIA CIRCUMETNEA	IT	416 457 920
46	ELLINIKI ETAIREIA TOPIKIS ANAPTXIS KAI AUTODIOIKISIS A. E.	GR	416 150 507
47	MISE - MINISTERO DELLO SVILUPPO ECONOMICO	IT	408 911 084
48	LIETUVOS AUTOMOBILIŲ KELIŲ DIREKCIIJA PRIE SUSISIEKIMO MINISTERIJOS	LT	408 802 435
49	FUNDAÇÃO PARA A CIÊNCIA E A TECNOLOGIA	PT	401 697 200
50	WG - DEPARTMENT FOR ECONOMY, SCIENCE AND TRANSPORT	UK	396 432 920

This report provides the preliminary findings of the study on "The Largest 50 beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds" prepared at the request of the Budgetary Control committee.

It provides the results of an assessment of almost 300 systems for the public disclosure beneficiaries of the common agricultural policy (CAP) and cohesion policy. Moreover, it provides the preliminary results for the analysis of about 10 million beneficiaries of the CAP in 2018 and 2019 and more than 500 000 projects receiving cohesion funds between 2014 and 2020.

Finally, it assesses the barriers to more data transparency and possibilities to enhance the transparency.
